Salvadoran Guerrillas Step Up Offensive, Hold Territory

After a 48-hour lull, the fighting against the U.S. puppet junta in El Salvador exploded once again on Wednesday, January 21, when opposition forces launched an attack on government troops in the area of San Vicente Volcan, about 25 miles east of the capital city of San Salvador. This area was the scene of a highly publicized search and arrest operation last year due to a medical condition that was a“ca'll to imperialist retribution. But no; instead Queen, in a quiet tone, explained that to take such action at this time would only be regarded worldwide as “a cheap shot.” He went on to point to the broader, strategic interest of the U.S. imperialists in the area—the “oil straights” of Hormuz, the unity of Iraq, insisting that the Soviets couldn’t get their claws into her. So here it was—a call to “enlightened imperialist reality.” He also remembered the main enemy of U.S. imperialism today is not Iran, but the Soviets. Hitting Iran is “a cheap shot”; get ready for the “big shot”—world war with the Soviets.

The two-edged theme of this well-worked-out Rather/Queen duet was echoed in the press in the days that have followed—calls to strike back, coupled with calls to “channel” this whipped-up rage into the overall strategic interests of the U.S. imperialism. Every story they leak, every editorial they write serves an overall purpose—the purpose of U.S. imperialism. Every story they write serves the broader, strategic interests of the U.S. imperialism—especially in Iran, but worldwide. The civilized pose of the “resigned victim” (it’s irrelevant, of course, that the U.S. was responsible for tens of thousands of deaths and decades of oppression in Iran) is just as much a part of U.S. war preparations as is the blood-lust cries of “to arms.” After all, you can’t just say “go out and fight and die and kill for U.S. imperialism”—you have to give them some “just and civilized” reason to do so. All this doesn’t mean the U.S. has abandoned plans to crush and “civilize” Iran; quite the contrary.

The media dutifully cooperated in the cynical step-up of U.S. claims that “foreign involvement in El Salvador” (sic—has necessitated their escalation, with headlines in the New York Times like “Flow of Arms to Rebels Given as Reason for Aid.” What these claims of foreign involvement amount to are charges of a “Nicaraguan invasion” of El Salvador and an “influx of Soviet and Cuban military aid.” While these claims are fabricated, there are some Soviet and Cuban arms going into the country, but this hasn’t amounted to all that much and isn’t a new development. In fact, most of the weapons being used by the guerrillas are of U.S. origin. The Soviet Union has been relying mainly on the

Continued on page 4
REVOLUTIONARY FIGHTER—RW SELLER SHOT IN NEWARK

On the afternoon of Wednesday, January 21st, Beatrice Dong, a young revolutionary activist and a full-time distributor of the Revolutionary Worker was shot and seriously wounded. This deadly crime was perpetrated in Newark Penn Station shortly after Bea had concluded an afternoon of successful agitation and sales of the RW on the streets of Newark only a few blocks away. Sales were brisk with hundreds of papers going out one at a time and in bundles and a number of new co-conspirators were signed up. Inside the train station, a shot from a .38 caliber pistol at close range struck this revolutionary fighter, penetrating her throat and neck. A 16-year-old boy who passed by was also hit, suffering a superficial grazed wound, apparently from a rondell.

Newark police arrested and charged Wyanetta Cockrell, a 21-year-old woman, with two counts of aggravated assault and battery. Shortly afterwards, police claimed that they found a .38 caliber revolver with 2 shots expended in Cockrell's possession.

Newark police arrested and charged Wyandetta Cockrell, a 21-year-old woman, with two counts of aggravated assault and battery. Shortly afterwards, police claimed that they found a .38 caliber revolver with 2 shots expended in Cockrell's possession.

The金钱 from sales of the Revolutionary Worker is urgently needed every week to publish future issues of the paper, it's important that all co-conspirators who take bundles of the paper make sure their sales money gets turned in according to arrangements made with your local distributor, or contact the Revolutionary Worker in your area.

On the afternoon of Wednesday, January 21st, Beatrice Dong, a young revolutionary activist and a full-time distributor of the Revolutionary Worker was shot and seriously wounded. This deadly crime was perpetrated in Newark Penn Station shortly after Bea had concluded an afternoon of successful agitation and sales of the RW on the streets of Newark only a few blocks away. Sales were brisk with hundreds of papers going out one at a time and in bundles and a number of new co-conspirators were signed up. Inside the train station, a shot from a .38 caliber pistol at close range struck this revolutionary fighter, penetrating her throat and neck. A 16-year-old boy who passed by was also hit, suffering a superficial grazed wound, apparently from a rondell.

Newark police arrested and charged Wyanetta Cockrell, a 21-year-old woman, with two counts of aggravated assault and battery. Shortly afterwards, police claimed that they found a .38 caliber revolver with 2 shots expended in Cockrell's possession.

Newark police arrested and charged Wyandetta Cockrell, a 21-year-old woman, with two counts of aggravated assault and battery. Shortly afterwards, police claimed that they found a .38 caliber revolver with 2 shots expended in Cockrell's possession.

The police, the District Attorney, and the courts have from the beginning aimed at halting it, the efforts of all revolutionaries to spread it further and deeper will intensify. The revolutionary movement is targeting the ruling class for the growing conspiracy around the Revolutionary Worker is also an inspiring example to all those who have been working intensely to make the Revolutionary Worker a still more powerful material force, to put this weapon into the hands of hundreds of thousands of co-conspirators, to make it on time.

In the face of this attack, the Party is determined to take the offensive in conducting an intense, broad and thorough investigation to determine the actual facts of this case, and in what form the imperialist system lies behind it. The hatred and fear that exists in the circles of the ruling class against the Revolutionary Worker is being put to the test. The police, the District Attorney, and the courts have from the beginning aimed at halting it, the efforts of all revolutionaries to spread it further and deeper will intensify. The revolutionary movement is targeting the ruling class for the growing conspiracy around the Revolutionary Worker is also an inspiring example to all those who have been working intensely to make the Revolutionary Worker a still more powerful material force, to put this weapon into the hands of hundreds of thousands of co-conspirators, to make it on time.
The Making of an "Imperial"-ist Presidency

WAR CROWN CHANGES HEADS

The Making of an "Imperial"-ist Presidency

Guadalcanal, Tarawa, Pork Chop Hill, the sloping hills of Arlington National Cemetery with its row upon row of simple white markers bearing crosses or Stars of David. They add up to only a tiny fraction of the price that has been paid for our freedom. ...their thrones on stage to watch the performance. As the New York Times observed, "the performances often resembled court jester's capering for a king and queen."

Millions of people watched their TV in utter disbelief, outraged, as Ben Vereen, the actor who played Chicken George in "Roots," performed a tribute to Burt Williams, an early black entertainer who appeared in Minstrel blackface in vaudeville shows. With his lips outlined in white paint and large white gloves serving as hands, Vereen stepped to the song, "Here Comes Robert E. Lee," eventually ending up on his knees before the statue of the former Confederate general. And I think that El Salvador is getting really hot. This "inaugural" event, which ended with General Omar Bradley saluting the Reagans from his wheelchair, was bought and paid for by the combined efforts of Merrill Lynch, Prudential Insurance, American Express, Eastern Airlines and the Atlantic Richfield Oil Company.

Indeed, the imperialists really pulled together for this coronation. Glowing editorials from both the Washington Post and the New York Times (who had endorsed Carter for reelection) reflected that the imperialists had their man. And once again, Reagan raised a familiar theme in recent weeks, commenting in his inaugural address on Carter's cooperation in the so-called "Trojan horse" incident: "The orderly transfer of authority as called for in the Constitution was carried out with Carter's cooperation in the so-called "Trojan horse" incident."

In fact, all of the "imperial" events which the ruling class, their press, their "talents" raved about as the opening of a new era, and a new look and so on, were nothing more than a concentrated expression of a dying class, a reactionary, moribund imperialism and everything it has to offer. The "All-Star Inaugural Gala" was a good case in point. Frank Sinatra (who recently applied to the Nevada State Gaming Commission for a casino license) gave "Reagan" as a reference—what would the moral majority say about that? hosted the event, escorting the Reagans across the stage to watch the performance. As the New York Times put it, "the performances often resembled court jester's capering for a king and queen."

No less than a miracle! Here the imperialists have pulled off a great spectacle, combining the flummery of wealth, patriotism and reaction, in an attempt to capture the theme of Reagan's inaugural address, "an era of national renewal." Everything had to be "new." For the first time," cooed James Reston of the New York Times, "a new President looked down from the West Wing of the Capitol toward the sunset, where he could see memorials to Presidents Washington, Jefferson and Lincoln and beyond the Potomac to the grave of John Kennedy and General Lee." What is new about a field cluttered with dead Presidents? But Reagan looked even further beyond the monuments to imperialism past, to the...the adapting hills of Arlington National Cemetery with its row upon row of simple white markers bearing crosses or Stars of David. They add up to only a tiny fraction of the price that has been paid for our freedom. ...their thrones on stage to watch the performance. As the New York Times observed, "the performances often resembled court jester's capering for a king and queen."

Millions of people watched their TV in utter disbelief, outraged, as Ben Vereen, the actor who played Chicken George in "Roots," performed a tribute to Burt Williams, an early black entertainer who appeared in Minstrel blackface in vaudeville shows. With his lips outlined in white paint and large white gloves serving as hands, Vereen stepped to the song, "Here Comes Robert E. Lee," eventually ending up on his knees before the statue of the former Confederate general. And I think that El Salvador is getting really hot. This "inaugural" event, which ended with General Omar Bradley saluting the Reagans from his wheelchair, was bought and paid for by the combined efforts of Merrill Lynch, Prudential Insurance, American Express, Eastern Airlines and the Atlantic Richfield Oil Company.
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In fact, all of the "imperial" events which the ruling class, their press, their "talents" raved about as the opening of a new era, and a new look and so on, were nothing more than a concentrated expression of a dying class, a reactionary, moribund imperialism and everything it has to offer. The "All-Star Inaugural Gala" was a good case in point. Frank Sinatra (who recently applied to the Nevada State Gaming Commission for a casino license) gave "Reagan" as a reference—what would the moral majority say about that? hosted the event, escorting the Reagans across the stage to watch the performance. As the New York Times put it, "the performances often resembled court jester's capering for a king and queen."

Millions of people watched their TV in utter disbelief, outraged, as Ben Vereen, the actor who played Chicken George in "Roots," performed a tribute to Burt Williams, an early black entertainer who appeared in Minstrel blackface in vaudeville shows. With his lips outlined in white paint and large white gloves serving as hands, Vereen stepped to the song, "Here Comes Robert E. Lee," eventually ending up on his knees before the statue of the former Confederate general. And I think that El Salvador is getting really hot. This "inaugural" event, which ended with General Omar Bradley saluting the Reagans from his wheelchair, was bought and paid for by the combined efforts of Merrill Lynch, Prudential Insurance, American Express, Eastern Airlines and the Atlantic Richfield Oil Company.

Indeed, the imperialists really pulled together for this coronation. Glowing editorials from both the Washington Post and the New York Times (who had endorsed Carter for reelection) reflected that the imperialists had their man. And once again, Reagan raised a familiar theme in recent weeks, commenting in his inaugural address on Carter's cooperation in the so-called "Trojan horse" incident: "The orderly transfer of authority as called for in the Constitution was carried out with Carter's cooperation in the so-called "Trojan horse" incident."
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The mercurial planning by the U.S. for isolating the hostages for up to a week in a military hospital in West Ger-
many—made possible by a series of false representa-
tions of "concern for their health and emotional well-being"—expose the huge propaganda stalemate which the ruling class holds, their necessity for the hostages to present a united front, a uniform image of symbols of loyal, un-
thinking Americans, ready to die the way they want to, bravely facing all sorts of "barbaric" treatment. At the same time, the American media are doing honor to their flag. There is particular concern around this point, because the hostages are, in fact, fully conscious, disdaining the threat of torture or even death in the custody of some low-level embassy personnel—"and some members of their families have been guilty of violations of the U.S. prisoner of war code.

More than Sergeant Joseph Subic, 23, appeared in 1979 in an Iranian film, which he declared, was broadcast, condemning the U.S. role in Iran. The suppression of Subic's interview followed the airing on NBC News of an interview with Marine Sergeant William Gallenberg, during the fifth week of the hostage takeover. When Gallenberg said that he wasn't sure he would order the hostages to die because "in some way, I don't see this as a good cause," NBC News anchor John Chancellor referred to Gallenberg's interview in a serious interrogation. His voice broke as the American media were not prepared to accept a well-known psychological observation that a hostage very often assumes the role of a son toward Sympathetic parents towards their captors.

Gallenberg, a blond corporal who had replied that he "just wanted President Carter to know that we are all right, you know, I want him to know that there's nothing happening to us." But this was just what Chancellor—Presi-
dent Carter didn't want it. So the Chancellor shot back, "Would you accept his criteria, no matter what the hostages say? Do you believe in the Chief, Corporal?" ...I mean, that's his right, you know, we've never gone in the Shah back, we don't care what happens to those hostages. What do you accept in the Shah?" Gallenberg, in reply, mumbled the obvious: "Yes, sir. I'm here, so I have to answer yes, sir."

This was another typical Iranian "first taste of freedom" in 14 months. Carter to know that we're all right, you

Although it is well-known, and was

Saturday Evening America" out of sheer joy that "their

Carter to know that we're all right, you

The tactics of the U.S. in attempting to home "public opinion" to a sharp edge of Chavez's Iran have been 

the U.S. line, including even a refusal to lie for the hostage families who are being urged to "stay

On January 21, the New York Post/

This is nothing short of a direct threat to every hostage in Iran. The

Mr. Reagan had told the Marines that he was allowed to see him. The New York Times described her as "something of a

One example of the "threat cries 'stop
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They've got these reactionary jacksals out here. They've got cowards and all the rest of them, plastic hairpins andклон dolls coming out here spewing forth all this reactionary tripe: hoodlums, racketeers, racism and national chauvinism. As we said in our newspaper, when people around the world see these kinds of people out here and believe that they are representative of the masses of people in this country—which they are not—then people in the world do believe that Americans have smaller brains than anybody else. But the fact is that they do not represent the sentiments, and more than that, the real interests of the great majority of people in this society, the working class and the oppressed people and the broad ranks of the people in this society.

Here they have the nerve to come out and talk about, "We've been pushed around too long. No, no we are not imperialists and fight back against it. And as for the question of whether they've been pushed around too long. They haven't been pushed nearly as much as people haven't seen anything comparable to what we and the people all over the world are going to do to them. Imagine that. Imagine the most monstrous criminal acts in the history of the whole world talking about the fact that they have been pushed around too long, that they've got to do the line somewhere. But they have a purpose in all this. They have a reason for all this. They're not just lashing out blindly. They're not just striking back in rage. They have a very clear political, ideological purpose in all this. They're preparing the masses of people in this country, by whipping up the reactionary sentiments and the reaction in movements among the social base of people that they can whip up behind this bloody red, white and blue, to try to create public opinion and to create the kind of reactionary frenzy among sections of the American people that in the short run will support them, if they feel that they have to, in going in and attempting to pull off a reactionary invasion or reactionary coup in Iran. In the short run that's probably what they are trying to do and brainwash people into supporting. And you see some of this right around here. They've got all these marine bases here. And they put the officers and some of the rest of all over the news. They're building up all these typical American citizens, marine sergeant and drill instructors wearing these shirts with a machine gun pointed at the head of an Iranian, and talking about, "How much did you say the oil costs now?" But they've got a problem here. They've brainwashed and drugged these people that they trained to be their military force. They've shown them so many John Wayne movies, trying to bury and forget what happened to them in Vietnam. These people they're trained are slaves and arrogant enough to believe that they can just go over there to Iran, parachute some elite division onto the marines and the all the Iranian people will say, "We're sorry, please forgive," and they will fall down on their knees and beg for mercy. I get news for you, it never goes to happen. In fact we were talking half-jokingly, that maybe we would call a press conference and make an announcement to all these frustrated would-be John Waynes to arm themselves and undertake the military. We would announce that if they were right, that the Iranians had sealed off and restricted the oil, we would arrange for transportation for them to go over there—because they would find that imperialism and especially the imperialist rulers of this country, can no longer bully their way around the world. They will have some room to do it, but especially in the face of a politically aware and aroused people, as the Iranian people are increasingly becoming, they can't bully their way around...

But they're not just trying to whip up a reactionary hysteria, racist and chauvinist frenzy for the immediate purpose of preparing the conditions to reimpose the fascist dictatorship with or without the Shah in Iran. We're not talking about a coup in Iran or even outright military intervention. More than that they are now using the situation in Iran, what they've whipped up and the situation they've created, to prove that the Iranian people would fight back against the outlawing of bringing the Shah here, they are right now trying to whip up this hysteria and frenzy in preparation for marching the people in this country off for the next bloodthirsty imperialist war, World War 3.

Now I saw some of those reactionary dolls coming out there, and I remember one woman in particular. You know, the Fox, Nixon model—face frozen, dragon-like look. She said her name to hold up signs, stop the if this is the way to go to the Middle Ages where you belong." And I feel quite confident in saying that this woman, or at least people like her, only about a month ago was down on her knees before the Pope. She's got the nerve to talk about how the Iranian people should go back to the Middle Ages where they belong. And I feel quite confident in saying that this woman, or at least people like her, only about a month ago was down on her knees before the Pope. She's got the nerve to talk about how the Iranian people should go back to the Middle Ages where they belong.
Some of the very people, or their close friends, who have been held prisoners by the Shah's secret police, were openly trained and equipped by the U.S. in the art of torture, says G. Tower Stokely, writing in "A Rogue's Gallery of Imperialist Spies and Agents" exposing the spy network of the CIA. The book contains detailed reports of CIA operations in Iran, including the training of torturers and the use of torture as a political tool.

The hostage crisis in Iran has cast a shadow over the Middle East, and the American response has been met with mixed reactions. While some have praised the government's efforts to secure the release of the hostages, others have criticized the use of military force and the lack of a coherent strategy.

The hostage crisis has also provided an opportunity for the Iranian revolutionary government to gain support in the region. The government's stance on the crisis has been steadfast, and it has refused to negotiate with the U.S. until all hostages are released.

The crisis has also highlighted the deep divisions within American society, with some calling for a military response and others advocating a diplomatic solution. The hostage crisis has also given rise to a new generation of activists, who have taken to the streets to demand action.

The crisis has also raised questions about the role of the United States in the region, and the future of the U.S.-Iran relationship. The crisis has also revealed the deep-seated tensions between the two countries, and the need for a new approach to the crisis.

The hostage crisis has also highlighted the importance of human rights and the need for a more just and equitable world. The crisis has also revealed the importance of international cooperation in addressing global challenges.

The hostage crisis has also raised questions about the role of the United Nations and the international community in addressing the crisis. The crisis has also highlighted the need for a new approach to the crisis, one that is based on dialogue and cooperation.

The hostage crisis has also revealed the deep-seated tensions between the U.S. and Iran, and the need for a new approach to the crisis. The crisis has also highlighted the importance of human rights and the need for a more just and equitable world.
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The hostage crisis has also revealed the deep-seated tensions between the U.S. and Iran, and the need for a new approach to the crisis. The crisis has also highlighted the importance of human rights and the need for a more just and equitable world.

The hostage crisis has also raised questions about the role of the United Nations and the international community in addressing the crisis. The crisis has also highlighted the need for a new approach to the crisis, one that is based on dialogue and cooperation.
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The hostage crisis has also raised questions about the role of the United Nations and the international community in addressing the crisis. The crisis has also highlighted the need for a new approach to the crisis, one that is based on dialogue and cooperation.

The hostage crisis has also revealed the deep-seated tensions between the U.S. and Iran, and the need for a new approach to the crisis. The crisis has also highlighted the importance of human rights and the need for a more just and equitable world.
NATIONAL SWEEPSTAKES AND JUBILEE*

1. A U.S. embassy is:
(A) where passports are stamped and births are helped
(B) built with事发国 architecture
(C) where spies and other U.S. personnel are trained and equipped to overthrow "unfriendly" governments and infiltrate and destroy revolutionary movements.

2. A hostage violates the accepted conduct for Americans when:
(A) he sympathizes with his captors.
(B) in-flight movies were shown on the plane.
(C) Former Boy Scouts and Girl Scouts who went on to do "husli-huih" work for their country.

3. The hostages have to be "decompressed" in a military "hospital" in Damanly for 5 days to:
(A) To check out their health and thwart any Iranian plans to send hostage-like clones into the country to infiltrate it.
(B) Because they don't know who's playing in the Super Bowl.
(C) To separate the wheat from the chaff, finger any hostage who has said or might say the wrong things, and to coach those who need it in what to say to the press.

4. The best hostages were:
(A) Innocent BPs, diplomats and clerical workers who were the victim of a kidnapping
(B) a long trip in anybody's book
(C) Is both dictated by and broken by the U.S. on a daily basis. For the U.S., International Law:

5. The hostages have to be "decompressed" in a military "hospital" in Germany for 5 days to:
(A) check out their health and thwart any Iranian plans to send hostage-like clones into the country to infiltrate it.
(B) because they don't know who's playing in the Super Bowl.
(C) To separate the wheat from the chaff, finger any hostage who has said or might say the wrong things, and to coach those who need it in what to say to the press.

6. The hostages have to be "decompressed" in a military "hospital" in Germany for 5 days to:
(A) to check out their health and thwart any Iranian plans to send hostage-like clones into the country to infiltrate it.
(B) because they don't know who's playing in the Super Bowl.
(C) To separate the wheat from the chaff, finger any hostage who has said or might say the wrong things, and to coach those who need it in what to say to the press.

7. A free trip to the Jackass Flats, Nevada to witness up close and personal device (for your own personal safety you most bring your own pair of sunglasses for this prize.

8. A hostage violates the accepted conduct for Americans when:
(A) he sympathizes with his captors.
(B) in-flight movies were shown on the plane.
(C) Former Boy Scouts and Girl Scouts who went on to do "husli-huih" work for their country.

9. The hostages have to be "decompressed" in a military "hospital" in Damanly for 5 days to:
(A) To check out their health and thwart any Iranian plans to send hostage-like clones into the country to infiltrate it.
(B) Because they don't know who's playing in the Super Bowl.
(C) To separate the wheat from the chaff, finger any hostage who has said or might say the wrong things, and to coach those who need it in what to say to the press.

10. The best hostages were:
(A) Innocent BPs, diplomats and clerical workers who were the victim of a kidnapping
(B) a long trip in anybody's book
(C) Is both dictated by and broken by the U.S. on a daily basis. For the U.S., International Law:

11. A U.S. embassy is:
(A) where passports are stamped and births are helped
(B) built with事发国 architecture
(C) where spies and other U.S. personnel are trained and equipped to overthrow "unfriendly" governments and infiltrate and destroy revolutionary movements.

12. A hostage violates the accepted conduct for Americans when:
(A) he sympathizes with his captors.
(B) in-flight movies were shown on the plane.
(C) Former Boy Scouts and Girl Scouts who went on to do "husli-huih" work for their country.

13. The hostages have to be "decompressed" in a military "hospital" in Damanly for 5 days to:
(A) To check out their health and thwart any Iranian plans to send hostage-like clones into the country to infiltrate it.
(B) Because they don't know who's playing in the Super Bowl.
(C) To separate the wheat from the chaff, finger any hostage who has said or might say the wrong things, and to coach those who need it in what to say to the press.

14. The best hostages were:
(A) Innocent BPs, diplomats and clerical workers who were the victim of a kidnapping
(B) a long trip in anybody's book
(C) Is both dictated by and broken by the U.S. on a daily basis. For the U.S., International Law:

15. A U.S. embassy is:
(A) where passports are stamped and births are helped
(B) built with事发国 architecture
(C) where spies and other U.S. personnel are trained and equipped to overthrow "unfriendly" governments and infiltrate and destroy revolutionary movements.

16. A hostage violates the accepted conduct for Americans when:
(A) he sympathizes with his captors.
(B) in-flight movies were shown on the plane.
(C) Former Boy Scouts and Girl Scouts who went on to do "husli-huih" work for their country.

17. The hostages have to be "decompressed" in a military "hospital" in Damanly for 5 days to:
(A) To check out their health and thwart any Iranian plans to send hostage-like clones into the country to infiltrate it.
(B) Because they don't know who's playing in the Super Bowl.
(C) To separate the wheat from the chaff, finger any hostage who has said or might say the wrong things, and to coach those who need it in what to say to the press.

18. The best hostages were:
(A) Innocent BPs, diplomats and clerical workers who were the victim of a kidnapping
(B) a long trip in anybody's book
(C) Is both dictated by and broken by the U.S. on a daily basis. For the U.S., International Law:

19. A U.S. embassy is:
(A) where passports are stamped and births are helped
(B) built with事发国 architecture
(C) where spies and other U.S. personnel are trained and equipped to overthrow "unfriendly" governments and infiltrate and destroy revolutionary movements.

20. A hostage violates the accepted conduct for Americans when:
(A) he sympathizes with his captors.
(B) in-flight movies were shown on the plane.
(C) Former Boy Scouts and Girl Scouts who went on to do "husli-huih" work for their country.

21. The hostages have to be "decompressed" in a military "hospital" in Damanly for 5 days to:
(A) To check out their health and thwart any Iranian plans to send hostage-like clones into the country to infiltrate it.
(B) Because they don't know who's playing in the Super Bowl.
(C) To separate the wheat from the chaff, finger any hostage who has said or might say the wrong things, and to coach those who need it in what to say to the press.

22. The best hostages were:
(A) Innocent BPs, diplomats and clerical workers who were the victim of a kidnapping
(B) a long trip in anybody's book
(C) Is both dictated by and broken by the U.S. on a daily basis. For the U.S., International Law:

23. A U.S. embassy is:
(A) where passports are stamped and births are helped
(B) built with事发国 architecture
(C) where spies and other U.S. personnel are trained and equipped to overthrow "unfriendly" governments and infiltrate and destroy revolutionary movements.

24. A hostage violates the accepted conduct for Americans when:
(A) he sympathizes with his captors.
(B) in-flight movies were shown on the plane.
(C) Former Boy Scouts and Girl Scouts who went on to do "husli-huih" work for their country.

25. The hostages have to be "decompressed" in a military "hospital" in Damanly for 5 days to:
(A) To check out their health and thwart any Iranian plans to send hostage-like clones into the country to infiltrate it.
(B) Because they don't know who's playing in the Super Bowl.
(C) To separate the wheat from the chaff, finger any hostage who has said or might say the wrong things, and to coach those who need it in what to say to the press.

26. The best hostages were:
(A) Innocent BPs, diplomats and clerical workers who were the victim of a kidnapping
(B) a long trip in anybody's book
(C) Is both dictated by and broken by the U.S. on a daily basis. For the U.S., International Law:

27. A U.S. embassy is:
(A) where passports are stamped and births are helped
(B) built with事发国 architecture
(C) where spies and other U.S. personnel are trained and equipped to overthrow "unfriendly" governments and infiltrate and destroy revolutionary movements.

28. A hostage violates the accepted conduct for Americans when:
(A) he sympathizes with his captors.
(B) in-flight movies were shown on the plane.
(C) Former Boy Scouts and Girl Scouts who went on to do "husli-huih" work for their country.

29. The hostages have to be "decompressed" in a military "hospital" in Damanly for 5 days to:
(A) To check out their health and thwart any Iranian plans to send hostage-like clones into the country to infiltrate it.
(B) Because they don't know who's playing in the Super Bowl.
(C) To separate the wheat from the chaff, finger any hostage who has said or might say the wrong things, and to coach those who need it in what to say to the press.

30. The best hostages were:
(A) Innocent BPs, diplomats and clerical workers who were the victim of a kidnapping
(B) a long trip in anybody's book
(C) Is both dictated by and broken by the U.S. on a daily basis. For the U.S., International Law:

31. A U.S. embassy is:
(A) where passports are stamped and births are helped
(B) built with事发国 architecture
(C) where spies and other U.S. personnel are trained and equipped to overthrow "unfriendly" governments and infiltrate and destroy revolutionary movements.

32. A hostage violates the accepted conduct for Americans when:
(A) he sympathizes with his captors.
(B) in-flight movies were shown on the plane.
(C) Former Boy Scouts and Girl Scouts who went on to do "husli-huih" work for their country.
As we go to press the deadline of March 10th has been set for the D.C. Court of Appeals decision reinstating the charges against Bob Avakian and the Mao Tsetung Defendants to be appealed to the Supreme Court. By the beginning of March a petition for a Writ of Certiorari, which means a petition demanding that the Supreme Court agree to review the Appeals Court reversal of the lower court’s dismissal of the charges, will be filed. The Committee to Free the Mao Tsetung Defendants is calling for thousands of people from all walks of life to sign a statement of outrage which is now being prepared to be published in the Washington Post around the same time as the petition is filed to expose to thousands more the facts of the political railroad of Bob Avakian and the Mao Tsetung Defendants.

As part of uniting broad sentiment against this railroad plans are already underway for several organizations to file Amicus Briefs in support of the defense petition for a Writ of Certiorari. Lawyers, law students and others who would like to assist in working on these briefs are encouraged to contact the Committee.

Money is urgently needed to set up resistance to this political railroad. The aid to the Washington Post alone will cost thousands of dollars and the brief for the Supreme Court will also cost a large sum of money. In addition to ongoing legal and Committee expenses, people are urged to send donations to the Committee.

**Major Events in the Mao Tsetung Defendants Case**

In October, the District of Columbia Court of Appeals reversed a lower court ruling and reinstated a 25 felony count indictment against Bob Avakian, Chairman of the Central Committee of the Revolutionary Communist Party, USA, and the Mao Tsetung Defendants. This latest move is a sharp political attack on the Party and its leadership.

- In June, 1979, 78 people were arrested. Six months later, 17 were indicted. The charges were dropped before the case had gone to trial.
- On October 21, 1980, the charges were increased to 25 felony counts.
- In March 1981, charges of murder were also added.

The government is enlisting in the political nature of its attack against the Mao Tsetung Defendants. The paper mass media and the government’s attempt to cripple the RCP from its beginning, has resulted in a large political defeat. The demonstration on January 1979 demonstrated the revolutionary banner of Mao Tsetung, the guerrilla and mobilization, and in the face of this, the bourgeoisie was forced to temporarily retreat. But events that followed showed that the enemy was also maneuvering.

- Immediately following the dismissal, government prosecutors filed an appeal. This appeal was actually an escalation of the political attack. It admitted what they had been denying all along, that they were prosecuting the case on the basis of political conspiracy.
- Throughout the year that the case was in the appeals court, the bourgeoisie stepped up attacks on the RCP on other fronts. The Secret Service was unleashed on Bob Avakian, over 800 RCP members and supporters were arrested, especially in connection with Revolutionary May Day, 1980, and RCP member Danzian Garcia was murdered by police agents.
- On January 2, 1981, the charges were reinstated.

With the reinstatement of the charges against Bob Avakian and the Mao Tsetung Defendants, the stakes have again been raised. A major counter-offensive is being mounted, and even broader forces must be mobilized in the battle. Join the struggle to stop the Railroad of Bob Avakian and Free the Mao Tsetung Defendants! Contact the Committee to Free the Mao Tsetung Defendants or the RCP in your area.

“Create Public Opinion...Seize Power!” the central task of the Revolutionary Communist Party, USA is no literary task. Bob Avakian, the Chairman of our Party’s Central Committee, recently pointed out:

“...Not only does the objective situation and its developments hold greatly heightened possibilities, and not only have we made real leaps in our analysis of this, but with the forging of our central task and the central role of the newspaper, we have actually laid the foundation for concretely building for the revolutionary prospects ahead, for actually being able to seize upon and direct toward the revolutionary aim the many diverse strands of this struggle which the class struggle breaks out—the many different ways that ‘communism springs from every pore’ of society—and it is up to us to strain to make further leaps to carry this forward and finally carry it through...”
China: Contradictions Bubbling in Revisionist Stew

An Anarchist Speaks Out on the Trial of Chiang Ching and the Cultural Revolution

There is a bottom line at which all revolutionaries, regardless of ideological or sectarian differences, must take a firm stand. This is the question of the revisionist leadership's role in the trial of the Haymarket Defendants in 1886, the Sacco-Vanzetti affair in the United States, the Cultural Revolution in China and elsewhere. It is not a question of whether the Haymarket Defendants were guilty or innocent, but a question of whether the revisionist leadership's role in the trial was correct or incorrect.

The revisionist leadership's role in the trial of the Haymarket Defendants was to manipulate the trial as a tool to suppress the revolutionary movement. The Sacco-Vanzetti affair was a show trial, orchestrated by the revisionist leadership to silence the revolutionary movement in the United States. The Cultural Revolution in China was a show trial, orchestrated by the revisionist leadership to suppress the revolutionary movement in China.
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In the conclusion to his book Mao Tse-tung's Immortal Contributions, Bob Avakian made the point that "the ceaseless emergence and resolution of contradictions as against all notions of absoluteness and stagnation" is the driving force in the development of all things. In nature, society and thought, and this understanding runs like a crimson path through Mao's writing and actions. This above all stands out in the sweeping and momentous tasks Mao saw while on a tour of China in 1971. He spoke to leading Party members in the provinces in the weeks of August and September. Lin Biao (Lin Piao) had suffered a political defeat at a Central Committee meeting a year earlier, when he launched a surprise attack on Mao's revolutionary line. This counter-revolutionary (whose cohorts were recently put to trial by the current pack) committed mistakes of line. His people came across a pamphlet of mine in Hunan which contained my remark, "Political power possibly comes from the barrel of a gun." This infuriated them. How could political power possibly come from the barrel of a gun? So they stripped me of my position as alternate member of the Politburo. Later Chu Chi-pai was captured by the Kuomintang, wrote his memoirs, the "Superfluous Words," betrayed us, and went over to the other side. The line is a net rope. When it is pulled, the whole net opens out. This Party of ours already has fifty years' history, during which time we have had ten big struggles on the question of our line. During these ten struggles there were people who wanted to split our Party, but none of them were able to do so. This is a question worth studying: such a big country, such a large population, yet no split. We can only say that this means that the Party wants what the people want, and the Party members do not want a split. In view of its past history, the future of the Party is full of hope.

First came Chin Tu-hsiu, who went in for right opportunism. After the "August 7th" Conference of 1927 he organized the "Leninist left-opposition faction" together with Liu Jen-ching, Peng Sha-shih and others, and eighty-two of them issued a statement. They aimed to split our Party but they did not succeed. They then fled to the Trotskyites.

Then came Chu Chi-pai, committed mistakes of line. His people came across a pamphlet of mine in Hunan which contained my remark, "Political power possibly comes from the barrel of a gun." This infuriated them. How could political power possibly come from the barrel of a gun? So they stripped me of my position as alternate member of the Politburo. Later Chu Chi-pai was captured by the Kuomintang, wrote his memoirs, the "Superfluous Words," betrayed us, and went over to the other side.

After the Sixth Congress of the Party in 1928 Li Li-san went to North Shensi to start work. From June to September 1930 he had suffered a political defeat at a Central Committee meeting a year earlier, when he launched a surprise attack on Mao's revolutionary line. This counter-revolutionary (whose cohorts were recently put to trial by the current pack) committed mistakes of line. His people came across a pamphlet of mine in Hunan which contained my remark, "Political power possibly comes from the barrel of a gun." This infuriated them. How could political power possibly come from the barrel of a gun? So they stripped me of my position as alternate member of the Politburo. Later Chu Chi-pai was captured by the Kuomintang, wrote his memoirs, the "Superfluous Words," betrayed us, and went over to the other side.
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were not even up to Peng Te-huai's level. This only shows how low their status was.

In my view, behind their surprise attack lies a certain attempt to use a declarative activity to further their logic, organize and program their programme. This programme was to appoint a state chairman, and to enact a genius; in other words, to oppose the line of the Ninth Congress and to defeat the third point agenda of the Second Plenum of the Ninth Central Committee. A certain person was anxious to become state chairman, to split the Party and to seize power. The question of genius is a theoretical question. Their theory was idealized apriorism. Someone has said that to oppose genius is to oppose me. But I am no genius. I read Confucian books for six years and capitalistic books for seven. I did not read Marxist-Leninist books until 1918, so how can I be a genius? Didn't I put circles round these adverbs several times over? The Party Constitution was signed at the Ninth Congress. Why not take a look at it? I wrote ‘Some Opinions’ which specifically criticized the genius theory, only after looking up some people to talk with them, and after some investigations and research. It is not that I do not want to talk about genius. To be a genius is to be a bit daring. But genius does not depend on one person or a few people. It lies and their underground activity lay between two headquarters. The struggle at Lushan this time was a struggle between two headquarters. The struggle at the 1970 Lushan Conference was a struggle between two headquarters. The struggle at the 1970 Lushan Conference was a struggle between two headquarters. The struggle at Lushan this time was a struggle between two headquarters. The struggle at the 1970 Lushan Conference was a struggle between two headquarters. The struggle at the 1970 Lushan Conference was a struggle between two headquarters. The struggle at Lushan this time was a struggle between two headquarters.

The struggle at Lushan at this time was different from the nine previous struggles. On the previous nine occasions we drew some conclusions, while this time we have shedded Vice-Chairman Lin and have not drawn in the world concerning an individual. He must, of course, assume some responsibility. What are we to do about these people? We must still operate a policy of educating them, then, 'learning from past mistakes to prevent future ones and curing the disease to save the patient'. We still want to protect Lin. No matter who it is who has made mistakes, it is not a good thing to forget only and the line. After I return to Peking I must seek them out again to have a talk. If they do not come to see me I will go to see them. Some of them may be saved, others may not be possible. This depends on their actions. They have two possible futures: they may reform or they may not. It is difficult for some one who has taken the lead in committing major errors of principle, errors of line or direction, to reform. Look back, didn't Chen Tshu-hsin reform? Did Chu Chi-pai, Li Li-san, Lo Chang-lung, Wang Ming, Chang Kuo-tao, Kao Kang, Jao Shao-shih, Peng Te-huai or Liu Shao-chi reform? They did not reform.

Then came the struggle at the 1970 Lushan Conference. At the 1970 Lushan Conference they made a surprise attack and carried out underground activity. Why did they dare to act openly? Clearly they had something to hide. So they first dissembled and then made a surprise attack. They concealed things from three of the five members of the Standing Committee of the Politburo. They also concealed things from the great majority of comrades on the Politburo apart from their own few big generals. These big generals included Huong Vang-chung, Wu Fa-hien, Yeh Chao, Li Chao-peng, and Chiu Hu-iso, as well as Li Hsueh-feng and Cheng Wei-shan.

Continued on page 18
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Letter on New Spanish Edition
Beyond the Horizons of the Crocodiles

Comrades,

It is with profound joy that I have read the new and complete edition in Spanish of the Revolutionary Worker. I don't feel this pride because I'm Latin or because it is in Spanish; on the contrary, I feel proud because it is a declaration that here in America the proletariat is firmly standing on its own two feet and raising its head and looking beyond the horizons imposed by the deprecat imperialist crocodiles. I know I'm not the only one that feels this way, we are many and not only Latinos but all nationalities. In this country we have worked; I have studied and I have been exploited (TAX EXPLOITED), along with Latinos, Puerto Ricans, Cubans, Argentinians, Koreans and each one of us in a different form with different feeling and a different level of understanding hate this oppression, in the oppression that imperialism imposes here and around the world. This is why it's time that this takes on a class expression — and what better way than in the pages of the Revolutionary Worker?

Before meeting up with revolutionaries in this country, I felt like an orphan, without a family, without a home and no direction, as if I were alone locked up in a crazy house. But as I said previously, there are a lot of others like myself and now it's time for us to meet one another because we have a little job to carry out which is nothing less than to forge the future.

Forward with the: conspiracy of the REVOLUTIONARY WORKER! Death to the deprecat imperialist crocodile!

Benjamin T. Johnson

Salvador, El Salvador

Growing influence of the PCs among the Salvadoran peasantry. The PCs have already demonstrated to be a complete hoax. Adding to this, we find a statement in the International Emergency Committee of the Salvadoran Congress which says that the Nicaraguan government "was not allowed" to be transported through its territory to the FMLN as the U.S. has recently claimed.

Thus the U.S. has sent their puppet insurgents equipment, and is considering them the only ones that have the right to stand on the opposite side of the opposition forces in El Salvador, quite to the dismay of the Salvadoran generalissimo, Policarpo Paz Garcia, who publicly stated that an imperialist force at best. This is not to say that many bourgeois forces, even many of their party, don't consider the U.S. the only way to attain power without arousing and mobilizing the masses. This is something that their class position prevents them from doing since their goal is to be able to exploit these same masses. Thus, they keep on offering "guarantees" to the U.S., trying to deceive them while the U.S. is going to carry out with many risks of "destabilizing" things and weakening their hold over the masses of people in El Salvador, and very importantly, leaving a big argument for the U.S. to strengthen their link, and carrying out our military escalation to protect its position, despite its losses, and in order to continue.

At the same time that they tried to offer the Glasses to other countries, the U.S. has traditionally been the clear-cut colonial power. It is in fact, the first of the "debaters" is advocating abandoning the junta to the hill. Since they and their leadership are a real possibility with the growing clash between the U.S. and the masses, they are intent on sacrificing the masses for some way to attain power without arousing and mobilizing the masses. This is something their class position prevents them from doing since their goal is to be able to exploit these same masses. Thus, they keep on offering "guarantees" to the U.S., trying to deceive them while the U.S. is going to carry out with many risks of "destabilizing" things and weakening their hold over the masses of people in El Salvador, and very importantly, leaving a big argument for the U.S. to strengthen their link, and carrying out our military escalation to protect its position, despite its losses, and in order to continue.
**Miami Rebellion on Trial**

Four Black youths will go on trial this week in Miami, each charged with three counts of first-degree murder. Leonard and Lawrence Capers, 21 and 24, Patrick Moore and Samuel Lightsey, both 16, all face at least possible three life terms in prison if convicted of murder in the July trial. The three have been held at the Miami detention center for 13 months, and their cases have been turned over to the Florida State Attorney for trial. The state has not yet decided on a date for the trial, but it is likely to be held in early March.

The youths are accused of murdering a group of Black men in Miami, who were shot and killed by Miami police at the time of the Miami rebellion. The trial will be the first in Florida to be held in connection with the Miami rebellion, and it is expected to last for several weeks.

The youths are being held at the Miami detention center, where they have been held since their arrest in July. They are being held in separate cells, and they are not allowed to talk to each other or to their lawyers.

The youths are being represented by a team of lawyers, including several Black attorneys, who are working to defend them against the charges.

The youths are expected to face a trial by jury, and the trial will be held in a federal court in Miami. The trial will be televised, and it is expected to attract a lot of attention.

The youths are accused of murdering a group of Black men in Miami, who were shot and killed by Miami police at the time of the Miami rebellion. The trial will be the first in Florida to be held in connection with the Miami rebellion, and it is expected to last for several weeks.

The youths are being held at the Miami detention center, where they have been held since their arrest in July. They are being held in separate cells, and they are not allowed to talk to each other or to their lawyers.

The youths are being represented by a team of lawyers, including several Black attorneys, who are working to defend them against the charges.

The youths are expected to face a trial by jury, and the trial will be held in a federal court in Miami. The trial will be televised, and it is expected to attract a lot of attention.
Doctors Urge Breast Removal—To Prevent Cancer!

Another Capitalist “Cure” for Women

A woman’s breast is “a primitive organ in the number of women,” says plastic surgeon Robert Singer. But of this, Singer recommends the very latest in advanced capitalist surgical technique to many of her patients. It’s called the “prophylactic mastectomy.”

The radical mastectomy—removal of the breast—since any explosion originating from outside the body could have blown the legs—since any explosion originating from the west—the plane exploded in mid-air. The explosion of the DC-9 just minutes before the crash; radar intersecting the path of the DC-9 from outside the plane—nothing had radioed in that “everything was normal. The plane had been cruising without any technical malfunction, the evidence is ironclad that the blood of these 81 people in the area that day. or even that there is an air-to-air missile—most probably fired from a U.S. fighter. Whatever has opened is fairly clear. The plane exploded in mid-air. Dr. Singer presented in a fighter pilot, out for some target practice, fired a heat-seeking missile. And that’s what opened it.

But that’s only a small part of the story. The explosion is detected by a million barrels of oil. This is what opened the “agreement” by the government to process their complaints through the proper legal channels. It’s due to the mess they’ve made; it’s due to the imperialists and the comprador bourgeoisie who have been keeping this one thing: “Mexican polluters” sent directly to the “Mexican polluters”

NATO Target Practice: Italian Jetliner

On June 27, 1980, an Italian DC-9 jetliner was shot down mysteriously off the coast of Italy as it was winging its way from Bologna to Palermo. Nobody is sure what happened, but trouble at an altitude of 25,000 feet and only 3 minutes before the crash the pilot had radioed in that everything was “normal.” All the passengers and crew aboard were killed. At first the planes blamed “mysterious causes”—for the crash—a terrorist bomb; a collision with a UFO. Finally, the plane was knocked from the sky by a hurricane out of a storm.

The past few weeks new light has been shed on the real causes for the crash. In an article appearing in the West German weekly “establishment” magazine, Der Spiegel, the conclusive evidence is presented that the plane did not fall from the sky due to some “class encounter” but was shot down by an air-to-missile—most probably fired from a U.S. fighter.

The article in Der Spiegel reveals the following points from an investigative study commissioned by the Italian government:

1) A second object flying parallel to the DC-9 just minutes before the “crash”
2) In addition these metal splinters had traces of phosphorous, a substance not found in airplanes but contained in military vehicles

What happened is fairly clear. The object flying parallel to the DC-9, an allegedly-targeted plane. Der Spiegel presents in the story of a single targeted plane, a fighter pilot, out for some target practice, fired a heat-seeking missile. And the missile’s sensors locked onto the jet’s engines and homed in. Fighter planes do have radar and the pilot should have seen a blinking DC-9 jetliner on his screen doing with his target craft. But regardless of whether this was any jet-jockey was thinking as he pushed the FIRE! button, or whether there was a technical malfunction, the evidence is overwhelming that 81 people are squarely on the hands of the imperialists and their war machine.

Spokesmen for the Italian air force and NATO were quick to deny that there was any target practice going on in the area that day, or even that there was a single plane in the sky. The sky is clear on this point. The military hardly denied any responsibility for the shoot-down, although the DC-9 is not a target plane. In fact, when the RW recently questioned General Bitelli, the Chief of Staff of the Air Force Civilian Affairs, all we got was an unblinking and very cold smile. The statement made in June, obviously, the Pentagon and the ruling class of the U.S. had already planted their hands on the bloody deed.

This was particularly true in the treatment of women. In the 19th century, the medical system was hystericized and the uterus, ovaries and fallopian tubes were considered the site of emotional problems; on the theory that the seat of a woman’s emotions was inside her body. Once surgery was perfected, it was quite logical to turn to this “scientific” approach to employ the knife on women. That approach hasn’t changed a bit: today, the Marxist-Leninist “cure” for breast cancer is surgical techniques; are often told they must have their breasts removed to prevent breast cancer.

Aside from the 90% failure rate among breast cancer patients today, which has set off a million-dollar scare campaign to sell more products, there is little evidence to support the radical mastectomy. There is even more evidence to support the radical mastectomy than there is to support the radical mastectomy. There is even more evidence to support the radical mastectomy than there is to support the radical mastectomy.

MEXICO: 10,000 ARMED PEASANTS BLOCKADE OILFIELDS

Remember the famous “Mexican oil crisis” of 1979, when some U.S. oil-drilling rigs burst open in the Gulf of Mexico? Remember the chorus of “chauvinistic oilmen” who showed forth in the pages of the press at the time by shouting that the “no-drillers” were being paranoid for “poisoning our precious beaches”?

Well, there is another Mexican oil spill coming our way. But this time it isn’t getting much play in the U.S. press for a simple reason: Mexico’s government officials complaining about the loss in production. No one is worried. But that’s another factor in this particular “environmental accident.” The loss of production isn’t due to the fact that the mess has gotten cleaned up and cleaned out all the mess they’ve made; it’s due to the fact that they’ve decided to clean it up. More to the point, 10,000 armed peasants won’t let them produce another drop of oil. They don’t need it, they say. No need for the U.S. has been keeping this one quiet.

But things certainly aren’t quiet these days in the states of Chiapas and Tabasco, where the Gulf of Mexico borders what is one of a main production area for the growing Mexican petroleum industry. This is the location of the Refineries and Casitas oil fields and the Cactus Petrochemical Refiner, which process the oil from the state-owned Petroleos Mexicanos (PEMEX) with a million barrels of crude oil and three million quarts. Their natural gas every day—80% of that ending up in the United States. This is also the location of contaminated lakes, rivers, estuaries and land. It is an area that has seen a decrease of more than 50% in the agricultural and cattle production of the thousands of peasants who barely eked out a subsistence before the pollution from the oil fields and the ganorous acids from the refinery began wreaking havoc with this fragile economy, so nothing of the peasants’ health. In one year a report by government officials agreed to pay indemnities to the residents and并向 up the pollution in the area. Since that time, more than half the residents in the area have been forced to leave their land, their homes, their worthless land and leave. The continuing poison has destroyed crops and fields, taken away prized fences and ruined the water supply. The government has done nothing to help the peasants and has even refused to pay the compensation they promised for this destruction.

Last Sunday, peasants decided to take direct action against the oil companies. They gathered together—10,000 of them from 23 small oil towns across the fields and the refinery, marched on the fields and the refinery, guns in hand. They blocked the two access roads leading to Reforma and Cacique, one of the U.S. oil companies at Estación Juárez. Leaders of the government-run national commission of peasant complaints could not believe it when they found out that clear that they had nothing to do with the refinery, the government, the government was running the oil companies. They blamed it on “outside elements who want to disrupt our production,” but the peasants had seen Mexican government soldiers, the 31st military zone. The government has decided to turn its army, open-eyed worrying that the peasants might decide to take over the production of the thousands of peasants, and the peasants naturally shut down all production with the blockade. They have refused to listen to the government’s threats, including a much vaunted “catastrophic” correction of the government by the government process their complaints through the proper legal channels.

The oilfields of both the mother country and the neo-colony are in a special state of crisis. While oil production has been promoted by both the imperialist and the comprador bourgeoisie and the U.S. has been promoting the growth of the Mexican labor, it has actually been reduced by the rapidly accelerated conditions for the masses and has further warped the economy that was already heavily tied to the imperialist. In 1979, Mexico’s foreign debt was $29 billion and going up at the rate of 20% a year. The money owed to the U.S. Much of this debt has come from the rapidly accelerated development of the oil industry as Mexico’s capitalist class thought it had a chance to make a quick buck with the Mexican oil fields. Among other things, the massive debt has made it impossible for the Mexican peasants to produce their food, which is in itself a source of food imports are going sky high—7 million tons were imported in 1980, mainly from the U.S. And the new oil fields only require the import of machinery and technology.

The upshot of all this is that Mexico is in a state of crisis. The only thing that can help them more debt—and any interruption of oil production severely aggravates things. In addition, the U.S. government is paying great attention to the actions of several thousand arm that was going to be used to demand where something like this might lead
Masters of Torture Howl "Cruelty"

Continued from page 6

with the Soviet Union, there is not, "all
the time in the world," to pursue a
carefully measured, gradual policy
designed to ease Iran toward the
Western bloc degree by degree. There is
powerful sentiment within the ruling
class that the gangster tactics of
economic strangulation, the Iraqi
military aggression, should be con-
tinued, and that the deal should be re-
nounced in order to underline to the
Iranian government that "Mr. Big"
means business and is playing for
keeps.
The Reagan administration has
already ordered that the U.S.-Iranian
agreements not be published in the
official register, a move which prevents
their being "officially" recognized as
law. Carter, in his remarks in
Washington, pointed out that "less than
$3 billion of the $12 billion in frozen
Iranian assets had thus far been return-
ted to Iran; his clear point was that they
may never see the rest.

In fact, the actual terms of the agree-
ment releasing the hostages—terms that
ex-President Carter had been grooming
about recently—point to the kind of ex-
tortion demands the U.S. imperialists
were attempting to impose on the
Iranian bourgeoisie all along. That
testimony was agreed to is testimony
in the weak and flabby nature of the
bourgeois class in Iran and its increas-
ing moves towards the Western im-
perialist powers, not to the strength of
the U.S. imperialists—who were backed
down time and again when confronted by
the surging movement of the Iranian
people.

First, the agreement commits the U.S.
not to intervene "politically or
militarily in the internal affairs of
Iran," a pledge that every imperialist "great power"
now obtains. But thirdly, the U.S. and its allies in the
countries it controls, is planning to sub-
judge, or—in the case of Iran—re-


 Copies can be ordered in English, Spanish and French from:
RCP Publications, P.O. Box 3486, Merch. Mart, Chicago, Ill. 60654
$1.00 plus .50 postage.
Bulk rates available.

Announcing:
Basic Principles for the
Unity of Marxist-Leninists
and for the Line of the
International Communist Movement

A draft document from the Revolutionary Communist Party of Chile and the
Revolutionary Communist Party, USA for discussion in the international com-
munist movement and within their respective parties. The document was
submitted at the autumn 1981 International Conference of Marxist-Leninist Parties
and Organizations, which held that: "the whole thing is a positive con-
tribution to the elaboration of a correct line for the international com-
munist movement in this perspective, the text shows the accumulated and
consensus by the rank of their organizations, who have signed it,
discussed, but the representatives of the international communist movement..."
Bernadette Devlin Shot, Tension Builds in N. Ireland

On Friday, January 16, three gunmen broke down the door to the house of Bernadette Devlin McKaiey and fired twice, wounding her seven times. Her husband Michael was also shot. Both are now recovering in a military hospital in England. It was also reported that a British Army patrol "half happened" to be on the scene in time to arrest the three random hit-men and which them away to custody—and safety.

In the aftermath of the recent hunger strike, in which 10 of the prisoners were treated for malnutrition and 10 others were treated for injuries, 49 of the prisoners were treated for injuries. The strike was called after the British government refused to grant the prisoners their demands for political status, and for the right to vote. The prisoners were treated for injuries and the strike was called after the British government refused to grant the prisoners their demands for political status, and for the right to vote.

American President once again invokes the political base, unleashing such patriots as Ronald Reagan, who has said that the American people are in a "decisive" period. He has the gift of speech, as Mr. Resion from the New York Times pointed out. He's a leader who can help to bring the American people together.

In fact, they are quite openly bragging about their ability to manipulate the American people into supporting their cause. They believe in god and don't believe in miracles; there is nothing miraculous about the bourgeois' changing one man's decision into another's. It's inhuman how something like this can happen. It's terrible when this happened from constant harassment from these... I can't write too much longer. I don't even if you'll get this letter. Many other brothers are filling out lawsuits. I'm writing you a revolutionary prisoner.
On January 9, the U.S. government once again brought its planning against native American freedom fighter Leonard Peltier into the open, as defense attorneys for the eight men imprisoned for their participation in standing Peltier's conviction for a 1979 prison escape before the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. Peltier's co-defendants in that case and this appeal had been Bobby Garcia. He was not present at the hearing. The government executed him in his cell (officially termed “suicide”) at a federal prison in Terre Haute, Indiana, last December 13. In 1978, a federal prisoner named Standing Deer (also known as Robert Wilson) was coerced by government attorneys into aiding his co-defendant to assassinate Peltier. Peltier, having long been targeted by the FBI's COINTELPRO type operations against American Indian Movement (AIM) leaders, was serving a two-year sentence after a framed-up conviction for the death of two FBI agents who were part of an armed attack against the Native American spiritual camp on the Pine Ridge Reservation as Wounded Knee, South Dakota in 1973. The plot was going along with the feds, Standing Deer was being portrayed to the government as an informant. The two became friends, with Standing Deer passing on to Peltier information about the federal government's planning to conduct a trial for escape at Leavenworth. The trial prosecutor said, Peltier couldn't really have thought there was a plot because he “did not report it to anyone in the bureau of prisons.” Oh, yes they were! In his cell the plot? Well, “He did not go to any higher authority. He's familiar with the courts, he's familiar with the legal system!” Time and again it's been that熟悉那家伙从没听说过审判德雷, where a political prisoner, which has shown the courts up to be an important part of the planning against Peltier. The current Appeals Court is no exception.

The U.S. imperialist attempt to erect a sculpture symbolizing the experience of Americans who served in Vietnam. Architects and artists are invited to enter a national design competition for the new memorial. However most of the architects I spoke to have expressed doubts that the proposed site would ever be constructed. They are concerned that the money for the memorial will be used to finance new military adventures. Defense budgets are being increased; draft registration is back. Therefore, it is unlikely that the new Vietnam War Monument will be built on the Mall.

The words are conciliatory but the message is clear. Mr. Hope is asking us to grieve over the dead men and women of our heart broken families. He is asking us to justify their sacrifice. The U.S. imperialist attempt to prevent national self-determination, the ruthless destruction of Vietnam, the millions of Vietnamese dead are all to be forgotten. The governmental secrecy, the suppression of dissent and the broken promises of the peace talks. We must not forget. Americans are only to remember that their government required the services of these soldiers and that we have failed to celebrate their service. We are called on to memorialize the men but the monument will proclaim that a united America honors its warriors and their war. Dollars are being raised for a glorious monument and a glorious new war machine. Once we celebrate the veteran's sacrifice we will be asked to celebrate the nation's right to sacrifice them. This adds to the escalating efforts to prepare the United States for new military adventures. Defense budgets are being increased; draft registration has begun; and politicians are declaring our vital overseas interests. New activism is needed to prevent this madness. Several designs for the Vietnam Veterans memorial have been proposed by our coworkers who would minimize the glories of war. One solution would store all 57,661 names in a microfilm monument surrounded by two acres of rice paddies. Another would use the contributions to finance daily nativity. Here are at the proposed site. It is unlikely that the Vietnam War Monument Competition now being sponsored will find these suggestions compatible with the ideology of the sponsors. However, it is our challenge to prevent the ideology of the sponsors from becoming the ideology of America.

An architect friend from LA recently told me that thinking that there must be so many among our readers who would be up for similarly suggesting themes for the monument design. Drop me a note, drawing, etc.

**Peltier Appeal Opens**

**International Support Builds**

On January 9, the U.S. government once again brought its planning against Native American freedom fighter Leonard Peltier into the open, as defense attorneys for the eight men imprisoned for their participation in standing Peltier's conviction for a 1979 prison escape before the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. Peltier's co-defendants in that case and this appeal had been Bobby Garcia. He was not present at the hearing. The government executed him in his cell (officially termed “suicide”) at a federal prison in Terre Haute, Indiana, last December 13. In 1978, a federal prisoner named Standing Deer (also known as Robert Wilson) was coerced by government attorneys into aiding his co-defendant to assassinate Peltier. Peltier, having long been targeted by the FBI's COINTELPRO type operations against American Indian Movement (AIM) leaders, was serving a two-year sentence after a framed-up conviction for the death of two FBI agents who were part of an armed attack against the Native American spiritual camp on the Pine Ridge Reservation as Wounded Knee, South Dakota in 1973. The plot was going along with the feds, Standing Deer was being portrayed to the government as an informant. The two became friends, with Standing Deer passing on to Peltier information about the federal government's planning to conduct a trial for escape at Leavenworth. The trial prosecutor said, Peltier couldn't really have thought there was a plot because he “did not report it to anyone in the bureau of prisons.” Oh, yes they were! In his cell the plot? Well, “He did not go to any higher authority. He's familiar with the courts, he's familiar with the legal system!” Time and again it's been that familiar that comes from being a political prisoner, which has shown the courts up to be an important part of the planning against Peltier. The current Appeals Court is no exception.

The U.S. imperialist attempt to erect a sculpture symbolizing the experience of Americans who served in Vietnam. Architects and artists are invited to enter a national design competition for the new memorial. However most of the architects I spoke to have expressed doubts that the proposed site would ever be constructed. They are concerned that the money for the memorial will be used to finance new military adventures. Defense budgets are being increased; draft registration is back. Therefore, it is unlikely that the new Vietnam War Monument will be built on the Mall.

The words are conciliatory but the message is clear. Mr. Hope is asking us to grieve over the dead men and women of our heart broken families. He is asking us to justify their sacrifice. The U.S. imperialist attempt to prevent national self-determination, the ruthless destruction of Vietnam, the millions of Vietnamese dead are all to be forgotten. The governmental secrecy, the suppression of dissent and the broken promises of the peace talks. We must not forget. Americans are only to remember that their government required the services of these soldiers and that we have failed to celebrate their service. We are called on to memorialize the men but the monument will proclaim that a united America honors its warriors and their war. Dollars are being raised for a glorious monument and a glorious new war machine. Once we celebrate the veteran's sacrifice we will be asked to celebrate the nation's right to sacrifice them. This adds to the escalating efforts to prepare the United States for new military adventures. Defense budgets are being increased; draft registration has begun; and politicians are declaring our vital overseas interests. New activism is needed to prevent this madness. Several designs for the Vietnam Veterans memorial have been proposed by our coworkers who would minimize the glories of war. One solution would store all 57,661 names in a microfilm monument surrounded by two acres of rice paddies. Another would use the contributions to finance daily nativity. Here are at the proposed site. It is unlikely that the Vietnam War Monument Competition now being sponsored will find these suggestions compatible with the ideology of the sponsors. However, it is our challenge to prevent the ideology of the sponsors from becoming the ideology of America.

An architect friend from LA recently told me that thinking that there must be so many among our readers who would be up for similarly suggesting themes for the monument design. Drop me a note, drawing, etc.

**Jan 23, 1981—Revolutionary Worker—Page 17**

**Hold on to your hearts and your wallets!** Bob Hope is raising money for a Vietnam Veterans Memorial in Washington, D.C. The Vietnam Veterans have organized their foundation. Congress has unanimously endorsed the project. And thousands of dollars are pouring into the Lincoln Memorial.

President Carter has authorized giving two-and-a-half million dollars to subscribe the names of the 57,661 American soldiers who were killed in Vietnam. These funds will be used to finance the monument. The government will pay for the design. Drop us a note, drawing, etc.

**Editor's Note:** This friend's letter got us to thinking that there must be many among our readers who would be up for similarly suggesting themes for the monument design. Drop me a note, drawing, etc.
The revisionists now hail the Third Plenary of the Eleventh Central Committee as the basic turning point in their campaign. They deliberately label this "readjustment" (a lowering of expectations from"modernization" by the year 2000 to"modernization" by the year 20X0). They deliberately label the"Three Great Rules of Discipline" as the document of"a new" and"the people of the whole country learn from Tachai; let the PLA learn from Tachai; people of all parts of the country learn from Tachai:" This is an absolutely outrageous distortion of the"Three Great Rules of Discipline". The revisionist leaders, divided by the"Great Cultural Revolution" and"the withering away of the state", are unable to hold a united front. They have to retreat in a disorganized and tangled fashion. An ever increasing number of cadre are going to the authorities to denounce them, and the number of these denunciations is increasing daily.

The revisionist leaders have been tricked into the position where"the basic victory has already been won, and the final victory is in the hands of the people". The revisionists are now in the midst of a crisis. They are facing competitors and a revolt of the masses. The revisionist leaders themselves have been tricked into the position where"the basic victory has already been won, and the final victory is in the hands of the people". The revisionists are now in the midst of a crisis. They are facing competitors and a revolt of the masses.
We ran across the following item in the January 12 edition of Army Times, which bills itself as an "independent newspaper" serving GIs in the U.S. and overseas. So much for all the government clamoring of last summer that remittance of draft registration was nothing to do with reactivation of the draft. Of course, confronted with the facts revealed here, the government would undoubtedly respond: "Remember, this is only a test..."

Washington. While no one was looking the Selective Service held a lottery in November, selected 35,000 young men and sent out more than 700 draft notices. It was all a test, of course, part of the Defense Department's "Proud Spirit" mobilization exercise. The lottery was a show-and-tell operation and the draft list was never used, Director of Selective Service Bernard Rostker told Army Times. The system did send out 753 mailgrams containing induction notices to test the system. They went to military reservists who are part of the Selective Service System.

It was a huge success, Rostker said. Selective Service reviewed 6,130 registries, held the lottery, compiled a list of 35,000 mock draftees and sent the mailgrams all within 24 hours, Rostker said. He said the exercise proved that "we could hold an induction within the three-day time frame called.

BOB AVAKIAN: "IRAN, IT'S NOT OUR EMBASSY" Continued from page 5

representative of superstition and ignorance in the entire world.

You know, we don't agree with Khomeini. We don't agree with his attempts to fasten chains of medieval degradation on not only the women but the masses of Iranian people. We understand that he cannot lead a thorough-going struggle against imperialism and break its hold on Iran. And more than that he can't advance the struggle to the stage where the cause is righteous. We believe in no devil in the first place. We don't believe its the abstract is -the "devil." We don't believe God is on their side. God doesn't belong to them. Nobody else can have God.

I don't believe, and our Party doesn't believe, in God and the devil in the first place. And we don't believe that Khomeini is the representative and spokesman of God and that's why the Iranian people's cause is righteous.

But I'll tell you something that realy gets me that gives me a kick. I know that the reason these neanderthal, backward people over there have the nerve to talk about how other people ought to go back to the Middle Ages, is the reason they're so furious at Khomeini talking about how they're the devil, the devils. And god is on the side of the Iranian people is that they believe that god can only be on the side of American imperialism! And how dare anybody else say that god's on their side? And who are they? How dare anybody say that god's on their side? Nobody else can have god.

I don't believe, and our Party doesn't believe, in God and the devil in the first place. This is the lie they've been running out for years after years. We don't believe in the devil, we don't believe in God. And the reason we don't believe in God is because they believe in God's evil. They believe that God is evil. They believe that God is evil.

But I'll tell you something that really gets me that gives me a kick. I know that the reason these neanderthal, backward people over here have the nerve to talk about how other people ought to go back to the Middle Ages, is the reason they're so furious at Khomeini talking about how they're the devil, the devils. And god is on the side of the Iranian people is that they believe that god can only be on the side of American imperialism! And how dare anybody else say that god's on their side? And who are they? Nobody else can have god.

I don't believe, and our Party doesn't believe, in God and the devil in the first place. This is the lie they've been running out for years after years. We don't believe in the devil, we don't believe in God. And the reason we don't believe in God is because they believe in God's evil. They believe that God is evil. They believe that God is evil.

SUMMING UP THE BLACK PANTHER PARTY by Bob Avakian (Excerpts from a speech given in Cleveland, 1979. Published by RCP Publications, PO Box 3486, Chicago, IL 60654.)...In the final analysis, the reason for the destruction of the Black Panther Party as a revolutionary organization did not lie outside of it but inside of it. It was not the policy decisions and the policies of the Black Panther Party that were the cause of the Party's destruction. It was the underlying ideology and philosophy of the Black Panther Party that ultimately determined how they responded to not only the repression but how they responded to events in society as a whole (from the pamphlet)
WASHINGTON, D.C.—Embassy of the Peoples Republic of China (PRC)

NEW YORK—UN Mission of the P.R.C.

SAN FRANCISCO—Consulate of the P.R.C.

HOUSTON—Consulate of the P.R.C.

For more information about these demonstrations contact the RCP in your area (See page 2 for addresses and phone numbers).

Long Live the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution!

Mao Tsetung Did Not Fail. Revolution Will Prevail All Over the World!

Wear this Armband When the Revisionists Sentence Mao's Revolutionary Comrades and at the Demonstrations

The following is a partial list of endorsers of the January 26 demonstrations in solidarity with Chiang Ching and Chang Chun-Chiao, Mao's revolutionary comrades, to be held in San Francisco, New York, Houston and Washington, D.C.

Oakland Feminist Women's Health Center

The Feminist Women's Health Center in Los Angeles

Royce Kennedy, attorney delegate to First World Feminist Conference in Copenhagen, July 1980

The 11th Hour Battalion

Black Women's Revolutionary Council

Kwame Ture's Pan-Africanist Women's Health Center

Lisa Hankay, feminist activist

Fat McGrusness, abortion rights activist

Max Biagioni, ex-activist, U.S.-China Peoples Friendship Association

Claude Biagioni, ex-activist, UCSCA Iranian Student Association

University of California Berkeley Committee to Support the Continuing Cultural Revolution

VANAC (VANAC)

M.P. USA

John Hurst, professor of education, UC Berkeley

Robert Trapp, Black poet

Larry Schwartz, professor of San Diego City College

Peniston Bradich, editor, Arntz Press

Black student union at University of California Los Angeles

Ani Ahdut, editor, Monomotapa

Samuel Jay Bradley, Black Student Union at Los Angeles City College

Cliff Bloquer

Craig Frey, Diablo Canyon Task Force

Shirley Lee, member of the Peace and Freedom Party

Paul Karpus, member of the Peace and Freedom Party

Dick Clark, anti-nuke activist

Bruce Frumkin, acting assistant professor of sociology, University of California Berkeley

Bob Miller, Vietnam veteran

Everybody's Bookseller, San Francisco

Revolution Books, Berkeley

Lawrence Ledohn III, assistant editor of Black World, California State University Northridge

Karen Rocker, attorney

Aaron Kaye

Wanda Coleman, poet

Arnold Townsend, former director, WAPAC

Charles Schwartz, professor of physics, UC Berkeley

Alan Miller, founder, Conservation of Natural Resources, UC Berkeley

Reverend Will L. Hardfield, pastor, Bethlehem Lutheran Church, Oakland

Alexander Music & Dance Cafe, Berkeley

Red Flag Theatre

The Geeks

Arsenal

Two members of the Church Police, L.A., California

No Alternative

Peter, from Alienation

*For identification purposes only.
Long Live Chiang Ching and Chang Chun-Chiao!

Long Live the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution!

Mao Tsetung Did Not Fail, Revolution Will Prevail All Over the World!