Joint Communique from the Revolutionary Communist Party of Chile and the Revolutionary Communist Party, USA

In October 1979, an important meeting was held between Bob Avakian, Chairman of the Central Committee of the RCP, USA, and Jorge Palacios, a founding member of the Revolutionary Communist Party of Chile and a member of the Secretariat of its Central Committee. These discussions on a wide range of subjects marked the beginning of formal relations between our two Parties.

Since that time, and in accordance with the agreements reached during that meeting, representatives of the Central Committees of the PCRCh and the RCP, USA have continued to hold discussions on many topics, including especially the crisis in the international movement and the struggle for unity on the basis of Marxist-Leninist principle. Through the course of this process a unity of views has been achieved on many questions, including:

1) Opposition to the counter-revolutionary coup in China which overthrew the dictatorship of the proletariat and replaced it with the rule of a new bureaucratic bourgeoisie. The new revisionist rulers are, with breakneck speed, dismantling socialism and undoing the accomplishments of the Chinese revolution under the leadership of Mao Tse-tung and subjugating the masses once again to exploitation and all the misery typical of capitalist society. Internationally the new ruling Chinese bourgeoisie is capitulating to imperialism, which at this time is taking the form of integrating China into the sphere of Western imperialism and hitching China onto the U.S.-led war bloc. The revisionist usurpers have concocted the "strategic theory of the three worlds" and tried to pass off these tarnished revisionist theses as the work of Mao Tse-tung. They have even repudiated the polemics against Khrushchevite revisionism. They are trying to spread capitulation and betrayal around the world.

2) The need to wage a vigorous defense of the great contributions of Mao Tse-tung to the science and practice of Marxism-Leninism and the revolution in the face of the attacks on his line from all quarters. Mao Tse-tung developed and enriched Marxism-Leninism in the fields of making revolution in the colonial and semi-colonial countries; the theory of people's war and military affairs; political economy and socialist construction; literature and art; Marxist philosophy; and, most important, the theory of continuing the revolution under the dictatorship of the proletariat. Mao Tse-tung led the struggle in the international communist movement against modern revisionism and developed a thorough critique of the latter. Mao Tse-tung, at the head of the Chinese Marxist-Leninists, unleashed and led the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution which, for ten years, prevented the restoration of capitalism in China, further revolutionized the socialist society, trained revolutionary successors who today are fighting for the revolutionary overthrow of the new capitalist ruling class in China, and left precious and vital lessons for the world proletariat. For all these reasons the attacks on Mao Tse-tung are, in fact, attacks on Marxism-Leninism and must be treated as such.

3) The Soviet revisionists remain mortal enemies of the revolutionary proletariat. Under no circumstances can Marxist-Leninists abandon the struggle against them or the revisionist parties with whom they collaborate and generally lead. All forms of opportunism, including Trotskyism, Castroism, "focism," and social democracy must continue to be fought.

4) The recognition of the growing danger of a third world war. The deepening crisis of the Western imperialist countries and that of the Soviet bloc states is forcing all the imperialist powers to seek a new, more favorable (for themselves) division of the world. The two imperialist superpowers, the U.S. and the Soviet Union, are heading up rival imperialist blocs to prepare for a new imperialist war that looms more menacing every passing day.

Our Parties condemn recent acts of aggression by the imperialists and those linked to them including the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan and the U.S. interference in Iran. In this light, the Chinese invasion of Vietnam and the Vietnamese invasion of...
Mohawks Remain Vigilant At Akwesasne

The Mohawk Indians have been given at least two ultimatums if they didn’t submit to the government’s 9-point proposal by July 10, for example, that they must accept the idea of a community police body, and in the other, that they must submit to the idea of a special federal police force. This is a situation in which the Mohawks have consistently pointed out, “We are not given at least two ultimatums that if they didn’t submit to the government’s 9-point proposal by usage of the Mohawk Indians at Akwesasne community police force does not mean that the government’s demands. The 7 points of that was the existing police force and the tribal code which would legalize the authority of the state-recognized tribal council to participate in the development of the council’s jurisdiction in this area. In the context of tribal code, they want us to go into a discussion as to what minor crimes would be under the jurisdiction of the council. This is the so-called tribal code which smacks again of federal involvement. Now the government wants only to participate in that at all, in enhancing federal jurisdiction, let alone state jurisdiction, and with its plan to gobble up the Indians’ wall Island (the Canadian section of St. Regis Island to Racquete Point) under state jurisdiction in this area. In the context of tribal code, they want us to go into a discussion as to what minor crimes would be under the jurisdiction of the council. This is the so-called tribal code which smacks again of federal involvement. Now the government wants only to participate in that at all, in enhancing federal jurisdiction, let alone state jurisdiction, and with its plan to gobble up the Indians’ wall Island (the Canadian section of St. Regis Island to Racquete Point) under state jurisdiction in this area.

Mohawks’ 7-point counterproposal which is being discussed in meetings of the Mohawk Indians at Akwesasne community police force does not mean that the government’s demands. The 7 points of that was the existing police force and the tribal code which would legalize the authority of the state-recognized tribal council to participate in the development of the council’s jurisdiction in this area. In the context of tribal code, they want us to go into a discussion as to what minor crimes would be under the jurisdiction of the council. This is the so-called tribal code which smacks again of federal involvement. Now the government wants only to participate in that at all, in enhancing federal jurisdiction, let alone state jurisdiction, and with its plan to gobble up the Indians’ wall Island (the Canadian section of St. Regis Island to Racquete Point) under state jurisdiction in this area.

The Revolutionary Worker will not publish the week of July 25. The next issue will be on August 1, and with it we will announce and begin bold plans to increase its distribution and influence.
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PLEDGE OF INTERNATIONALISM

The following Pledge of Internationalism is being reprinted from the front page of the July issue of Revolutionary Communist Youth, the national newspaper of the Revolutionary Communist Youth Brigade, where it appeared on the occasion of the government's announcement of draft registration.

We will not shoot down the people of other lands in the service of those who rule the United States today.

We truly and loudly pledge to the world's people at a time when the war preparations of the U.S. and Soviet imperialists are moving into high gear.

We clearly understand that World War III is exactly what's on the agenda for these two superpowers, and that this is why draft registration is here and why the draft and bootcamp assignments won't be far behind.

We will never become a card-carrying American patriot—we are INTERNATIONALISTS. We have no country, we just live here. We do not own the giant companies, the banks, the land in the U.S. — these all belong to the tiny class of U.S. imperialists who exercise their dictatorship of corporate empires over the vast majority of people living within the borders of the U.S.

We are one with the masses of people worldwide in battle against imperialism, headquartered today in the U.S. and USSR. We support all struggles against imperialism as our own because they are our own. We are not interested in the "treaties" the U.S. imperialists offer us, their "own people," which come directly from their bloody domination of foreign markets and peoples.

We have no desire to "Keep America Number One." We welcome each and every defeat the U.S. suffers. We laugh straight in the face of decrepit American Legionnaires who wheeze and pant as American choppers eat desert sand in Iran. "You must restore America to her rightful place in the world." We will never submit to pleas for "National Unity, especially in times of trouble." We will strike hardest precisely at these times.

We will not answer their hideous calls to attack the people here or around the world. We will not be used to further their modern-day piracy by dropping their human-incinerator nukes from 60,000 feet, by occupying other lands so that "God's chosen rulers," the rulers of the U.S., can maintain and expand their power and control over vast sections of the world, by raising and robbing "the enemy" to help spread the American way of life, while the Wall Street bankers and Houston oil kings sit locked in velvet-lined bomb shelters, "so there will be somebody left to run things." Their frantic war cries echo hollow in our ears: this is the third time this century when imperialist powers have sought to rally their slaves behind national flags to fell other slaves of the "wrong flags." Our flag is red. It is the flag of the international working class, and of revolution. Those who raise the red flag are part of another army, the army made up of every nationality on every continent whose message is: the working people of the world have everything in common with each other, and nothing in common with the imperialists.

We will be inside and outside the imperialist military machine, men and women of all nationalities, hidden and yet everywhere. We, the prisoners of capitalist society, will seize the ever-growing opportunities presented to us—because the imperialists will be stretched to the limits internationally—to influence and arouse millions to put an end to this periodic global genocide the only way possible: end the problem by ending the source.

War lays bare the essence of this system. All the ugly features of imperialism that lie hidden in "peaceful times" become blatantly clear in war. We pledge to use our war preparations and the war itself to help people wake up, to jolt people out of meek obedience or at least keeping-on-keeping-on.

We will be told to go off and fight Russian soldiers no different than ourselves, except that they have been bombarded with the poison of patriotism in Russian instead of English, and called on to carry out the crimes of their own ruling class. We will be told to put down rebellions of people fighting to be free in this country, like the one recently in Miami. We will be told to clamp down on anyone who does not go along with the program, "USA All The Way." But we will not shoot our brothers and sisters. We will be told to go off and fight Russian soldiers no different than ourselves, except that they have been bombarded with the poison of patriotism in Russian instead of English, and called on to carry out the crimes of their own ruling class. We will be told to put down rebellions of people fighting to be free in this country, like the one recently in Miami. We will be told to clamp down on anyone who does not go along with the program, "USA All The Way." But we will not shoot our brothers and sisters. We will be told to go off and fight Russian soldiers no different than ourselves, except that they have been bombarded with the poison of patriotism in Russian instead of English, and called on to carry out the crimes of their own ruling class. We will be told to put down rebellions of people fighting to be free in this country, like the one recently in Miami. We will be told to clamp down on anyone who does not go along with the program, "USA All The Way." But we will not shoot our brothers and sisters.

We will shoulder the great responsibilities that we have in this country to deliver the final blows to U.S. imperialism, and by doing so lift a gigantic weight off the backs of oppressed people here and in every corner of the globe.
Imperialists Deliver “Message” — UN 2 Sentenced

"One year and one day in jail for fellow assault. Three years for fellow conspiracy, sentence suspended. Five years probation." Steven Yip and Glenn Can, known the world over as the UN 2, stood proud and undaunted as the Grand Inquisitor intoned the sentence. A year’s hard time for denying the U.S. and Soviet UN ambassadors with red paint on the eve of revolutionary May Day 1980, and three more years hanging over their heads.

The next move, a continuation of the political railroad of the UN 2, has produced the struggle for their freedom to a new and higher level. This outrageous attack is clearly a sign of the times and a vivid demonstration of how to come as the bourgeoisie make every move into the best position for world war 3, a crucial part of that is revolutionary May Day 1980, and three political railroad of the UN 2, has progressed.

The historic significance of their action was spoken by one of the defense lawyers in court when he said, “This is a new decade where world war is a central issue... this was a salvo... a first act of resistance to the course (of pacification and jingoism) the politicians offer us... history will and has absolved us.”

The atmosphere in the courtroom was charged, the stakes quite high. The U.S. attorney got up to say about the defense lawyers’ claim that the UN 2 were sincere: “Well, so was Hitler!” Reality that this was a dangerous and provocative move for the UN 2, but it is not the best of it. When Glenn Can read from Front Line, the courtroom was repeatedly interrupted by Judge Ward, who kept defending the “wrongdoers” and their role in the “peacekeeping role of the UN.”

What had the UN done to the Palestinian people but carved out the Zionist state of Israel from Palestine, silencing all voices, silencing Palestinian people and driving them off their homeland. Under the flag of the UN, American troops were stationed in Germany for the first time, only to get their assets kicked. Ward buried in immediately “This and Mr. the Chinese... let get straight for the record it’s so simple, isn’t it?”

That’s right!” Glenn shot back. “When U.S. marines crossed the Yangtze, the Chinese people did their part to resist U.S. imperialism. Not insignificantly is the force in opposition to the stepped-up war moves of the UN, which is why they have come down with this sentence against the UN 2, a stern signal from the ruling class as a whole. As Steve stated in the judge in court, “The U.S. and the Soviet Union are moving towards world war, when millions of people will be incinerated. The red paint flew, the red flag flew, and that is why the rulers here went for the demonstration of railroading us.” Glenn later added, “The $200 (referring to those who had signed the statement of support) is not enough, but it is growing. That is why you wanted this conviction and sentencing, the UN 2 has grown to become the UN thousands.”

The full amount of this money was never released until July 15, the day the ad—successfully—did appear. Judge Ward refused to allow four people to speak on behalf of the defendants in the courtroom: Fred Mills, a Black Vietnam veteran from West Virginia; Ann French, a member of the Revolutionary Communist Youth Brigade; Mohamed, a brother from Afghanistan; and Philip Rice, a medical student at Howard University whose father is a military attaché for U.S. embassies abroad. “I’ve already received voluminous correspondence. I will hear no more!” screamed Judge Ward—yet in the same breath he tried to make the 300 telegrams he had received seem insignificant compared to the “200 million people in this country.”

The demonstration in support of the UN 2 in the Morris Black Housing Project in Cleveland, the money was not available. The woman received a threatening phone call: Did she know the FBI might be interested in all this? Why should she get mixed up in it? The caller, who refused to identify himself, wanted the woman to know he didn’t want anything to do with the program. The full amount of this money was never released until July 15, the day the ad—successfully—did appear. Judge Ward refused to allow four people to speak on behalf of the defendants in the courtroom: Fred Mills, a Black Vietnam veteran from West Virginia; Ann French, a member of the Revolutionary Communist Youth Brigade; Mohamed, a brother from Afghanistan; and Philip Rice, a medical student at Howard University whose father is a military attaché for U.S. embassies abroad. “I’ve already received voluminous correspondence. I will hear no more!” screamed Judge Ward—yet in the same breath he tried to make the 300 telegrams he had received seem insignificant compared to the “200 million people in this country.”

“Not insignificant at all is the force in opposition to the stepped-up war moves of the UN, which is why they have come down with this sentence against the UN 2, a stern signal from the ruling class as a whole. As Steve stated in the judge in court, “The U.S. and the Soviet Union are moving towards world war, when millions of people will be incinerated. The red paint flew, the red flag flew, and that is why the rulers here went for the demonstration of railroading us.” Glenn later added, “The $200 (referring to those who had signed the statement of support) is not enough, but it is growing. That is why you wanted this conviction and sentencing, the UN 2 has grown to become the UN thousands.”
The Republican Convention: Reviewing Stand For War

The 1980 Republican Convention in Detroit was a week of dodging live up to many people's expectations—it was excruciatingly and profoundly boring, not to mention a crisis and shameless display of the unbridled and completely vacillating reaction to the characteristics of the U.S. ruling class. American flags littered the hall, and red, white, and blue drapes and tassels went from every corner. The air was polluted with a foul stench—an oily vapor of virtually every reactionary and jingoistic slogan coined in recent years spewed from the mouths of drunken and boated Republican faithful. Many varieties of loyal American conservative hideous parasites were delegates. There were the 60 Black delegates attending out of over 2000 delegates. To attend they all had to be officially certified as honorary whites by virtue of their unyielding devotion to the principles that made America great--Delegates clenched American flags in their teeth, stuck them in their hair, rolled flags in both hands, and stomped their feet to the music of the I Love America Singers from Liberty Baptist College.

As usual, even the stunningly dull Walter Cronkite, Dan Rather, and Frank Reynolds with their mutinous and elaborate press coverage grew openly bored over the wet and sticky weather in Detroit, interviewing protesters working the convention, and speculating with Al Landon, whose shriveled corpse addressed the convention. Speaking in a voice that all the drawn out speech over who would be embarrassed leading man Reagan picked over, the delegates clenched American flags in their teeth, stuck them in their hair, rolled flags in both hands, and stomped their feet to the music of the I Love America Singers from Liberty Baptist College.

The Reagan for Shah delegation has come to the Detroit convention to inform our peers that the time is ripe to install Ronnie Reagan as the country's first native born Shah. The Presidency is outmoded and boring, and lacks the dynamic power of our peers that the time is ripe to install Ronnie Reagan as the country's first native born Shah. The Presidency is outmoded and boring, and lacks the dynamic power of every single American born Reagan's father, whose publicbben our Reagan for Shah banner right or wrong with the stars and stripes. Take a stand for freedom from the riff-raff! Join us at our open caucus in formal attire or dress uniform requested. Men in blue are particularly welcome. The Reagan for Shah delegation has come to the Detroit convention to inform our peers that the time is ripe to install Ronnie Reagan as the country's first native born Shah.

The Presidency is outmoded and boring, and lacks the dynamic power of every single American born Reagan's father, whose public挂在 the corner of the hall, and red, he addressed the delegates who rose to cheer him. "Weakness leads to war."

"Read: "You know who leads to war?" (If we had leadership worthy of the name, terrorism would not have lasted more than a few days.)

Then at the end he took off his glasses and spoke to a hushed audience with near silence fearing spread across his face. "My friends, I'm convinced that this may be the last Republican convention we ever have." And, he added, "the last Democratic one was an open announcement from the bourgeoisie--all our stakes are on the line.

The consistent and well worked out theme of this convention was "We are in a real crisis and must not make precautions, and it's time to prepare to move decisively to take on the Struggle Speech after speech at a time of crisis. This theme that everything must be readied both internally and externally for the coming showdown which will come soon. This was quite clearly the intent of the ruling class as a whole for the purpose of setting the terms for this year's election to act as an important step in preparing public opinion for the war effort. The Republican Convention played the "point man" role, but the Democrats soon will be along to play their equally reactionary part."

Passed and part of this was the unleashing of a broad front of reaction to appeal to the various backward and reactionary forces in society to come out and play their role as a social base for the big war effort. Anti-abortion was a big thing, including a platform plank saying that all newly appointed federal judges have to be opposed to abortion (or pro-life if they put it). and the Phyllis Schlafly's had a field day. The platform is also pre-nuclear power and full of all kinds of general garbage about the American values of Family, Neighborhood, Work, Justice and Freedom (to make profit). The convention was kicked off by a captive nation parade at which two of Reagan's kids spoke, etc. etc. A real reactionary populism was spun out to go right along with the shrill war cry of "Let's make America great again"--by crushing anyone and anything in the way of our domination of the world.

Driven by necessity, the theme of the 1976 GOP platform—opposition to "big government" and cuts in federal spending—was chucked out the window in November. In its place the 1980 platform begins: "The Republican Party convenes, presents this platform, and selects its nominees at a time of crisis. America is adrift. Our country moves agonizingly, aimlessly, almost helplessly into one of the most dangerous and disorderly periods in history. Reviewing the decline of U.S. imperialism, the preamble continues: "These events are not isolated, or unrelated. They are symptomatic. They mark a continuing downward spiral in the economic vitality and international influence. Should the trend continue, the 1980s promise to be the most dangerous decade since World War 2. We must pull ourselves together as a people before we slide irrevocably into the abyss."

Interviewed by the R.W. one member of the platform drafting committee explained the dramatic change and emphasis this way: "There was a coming war. It's here now."

Some of the more class-conscious among the ruling class politicians spoke directly to this question, most notably Henry Kissinger, who was a major member of the convention. In his address to the convention, he ran down in a few points the view that the Soviets are now enjoying a 5-year "window of opportunity" during which they have superiority and a great opportunity to launch attacks. The recommended U.S. response was: "Launch the attacks first to grab the initiative! Not only is this Reagan's view, but increasingly it is the view that the bourgeoisie as a whole is coming to accept. Earlier this year, Kissinger said this way: "We face a period of maximum danger in the next five years, while the military balance is still tipping against us and the cycle of local revolutions is playing itself out. After that, the certainty is that Soviet domestic problems will mount, and our new defense programs can restore the equilibrium. But before then, Soviet reformers and Soviet conservatives may be able to unite on only one set of goals to secure their international environment brutally and urgently before realistic domestic system. It is within our power to close off the avenue of adventure, but the time is growing short."
With outrage we have heard that two American revolutionaries are threatened with extraordinarily high sentences for a deed which pointed toward the growing danger of war. It might seem that we here in W. Germany are very far off and should keep nothing to do with such a war. But this is exactly the face the growing threat of war puts all people in the same situation. This is why we also have an interest in stopping this conviction and in carrying out the heavy sentence against such people who expose and oppose these war moves.

2 lawyers and 2 employees from an attorney's office in Heidelberg, W. Germany.

On April 30th when Glenn Gan and Steve Yip took their bold action in the UN they spoke for us and millions like us around the world. The rapid fire convictions on felony charges for their preparations of the resistance against imperialist war preparations and for raising the banner of revolution in opposition to them (not another example of the ruling class' growing oppression and need to launch such a war. The pig has been stuck and how loudly does it squeal). The GIs in Europe are of course very aware of the preparations for war. An officer in the 3/8th Cavalry Unit stationed in Mannheim, W. Germany sent his troops off on the Fourth of July weekend with the following words, "Have a good weekend and remember when you get back Monday there'll still be plenty of Russians to kill." Glenn and Steve did a very important thing when they made clear to people all over the world that our choice is not one of having to side with one set of exploiters or the other to carry out a mutual slaughter for their benefit at our expense. But that the workers and oppressed people have another course of action, that of choosing to unite together peoples of all countries to get rid of these exploiters and their wars. Through the pages of our paper we intend to make thousands of GIs in Europe aware of this act of political repression and the reasons behind it. As we said on April 30, Glenn and Steve spoke for us. We will not sit by while our rulers try to cut our tongues. We say straight up to the bourgeoisie, your railroading of Steve and Glenn to jail is but another nail in your coffin. We demand they be freed at once.

The Staff of Fight Back
Revolutionary Voice of GIs in Europe

We have heard that two progressive colleagues Glenn Gan and Steven Yip have been arrested and convicted by the U.S. Imperialists. The reason was that the two brothers entered the UN Security Council meeting on April 30, 1980 and poured red paint over the envoys from the U.S. and USSR. The envoys of Soviet social imperialism and the envoys of the U.S. Imperialists.

And we also know that the USA and USSR are two imperialist nations from the large imperialists of the world and for this reason are enemies of all workers and peoples of the world. The hearts of these oppressed peoples lie with those two comrades and we therefore protest the conviction of the two brothers. Free the UN 2.

Federation of Workers from Turkey in Germany
Federation of Students from Turkey in Germany

The terror conviction of the two RCP comrades shows once more on which side of the fence the true terrorists are standing. This decision stands right in the tradition of American class justice like the Haymarket trials; the trial of Sacco and Vanzetti; the Wilmington, etc., etc. Especially in the face of the war preparations by the U.S. ruling class this railroading takes on particular meaning. This short trial is aimed at silencing the opposition to war.

Lokomotive in Chaos
(student group coalition member of ASTA student government University of Heidelberg)

The UN 2's righteous paint job on the U.S. and Soviet envoys, representatives of the 2 superpowers who are waging a new division of the world is one of the many signs that show us here in Germany that there is struggle going on in the U.S. against imperialist war preparations. This conviction shows that the U.S. bourgeoisie wants to break the resistance against the war propaganda with all possible means. Free the UN 2.

Tageteitung
Heidelberg group
(Daily independent leftist newspaper)

The people in Europe are following the armaments buildup for World War 3 with much fear and horror, especially since we have just rid ourselves of the scars of World War 2. While using propaganda type justifications, like defense of freedom or the freeing of the hostages, or the freeing of the oilfields, the two superpowers, the USA and the USSR are marching into foreign territory. These acts of aggression serve no benefit to the peoples of the U.S. or the Soviet Union. In many rank and file union groups and political gatherings in the Federal Republic of Germany there is growing protest against these imperialist policies which are being carried out on the backs of the working people. We support these protests. Glenn and Steve also went up against the war moves of the superpowers with their action at the UN. For this they are threatened with long term prison sentences. We strongly condemn this judgment which is nothing more than a flagrant misuse of the state apparatus in an attempt to intimidate all those who dissent from the power structure. This conviction should not be allowed to be carried out.

Agnes B. Imgrund H.
Karl H.
Jorg H.
Renate S.
5 Teachers from W. Germany

Who are the criminals? The international terrorists? Those who hold the bomb, those who threaten first strike, those who make millions from producing doomsday weaponry. Not those who pour water paint on UN representatives to unmask complicity in atomic bloodletting.

Philip Berrigan

The U.S. imperialists and their allies and the Russian Imperialists and their allies are in a deep dream, they are proud of their powers as superpowers, they don't even think that the people are more powerful than any power that they have and that they are able to crack the walls of the enormous building of the UN in spite of its well-armed security guard. The revolutionary action that was done by Comrades Glenn Gan and Steven Yip in entering that huge building of the UN is the best proof that the American people are able to conquer the most difficult places to demand their rights all over the world.

The Kurdish people as well as any other nation have rights to regain. For this purpose those brave people have set up revolutionary activities especially in Iraq and Iran demanding their autonomous Kurdistan, but their imperialist attackers were able to divide this area into five pieces; five different countries kept defeating these brave people's revolution, one after another, and the latest revolution that was defeated by America and its allies by means of the deposed Shah was in 1979. But this action does not mean that the Kurdish people will go back into a deep sleep. They continue the revolution with the support of all progressive parties all over the world in spite of the imperialists' and their allies' wishes. The imperialists will never think that the people are stronger than their power, but there is much proof that imperialism and reactionaries regimes all over the world are weaker than a worm. The Iranian people's revolution and the Kurdish people's revolution and Vietnam plus the Shah and Somali all prove imperialism is on the way to dying. We are the Kurds, and very proud that we have people in struggle supporting us and we do the same as well. And we are sure that we will achieve victory.

Down with U.S. Imperialism All Over!
Down with Zionism and Reactionary Regimes All Over the World!
Long Live the Struggles of the People!

Association of Kurdish Students Abroad, U.S. Organization

As workers and students from many countries we have seen imperialism's true face with our own eyes in many parts of the globe and we've seen all sides of here in the USA. Your foul ranting and ruthless oppression of the UN 2 is a vain attempt to stem the tide of history. The force you fear most, class conscious workers of all nationalities, is growing before your very eyes, the force that will sweep away your whole rotten system. Free the UN 2! Down with U.S./Soviet War Moves!

55 Taxi Cab Drivers in Chicago

Continued on page 16
IV. CENTRISム CLINGS TO REVISIONISM

There is no better example of eclecticism than In Struggle's attempt to balance between Mao and Hoxha. In fact, the term "mishmash" is more than a metaphor to describe the mixture of Hoxhaite elements and Marxist-Leninist principles under the same roof. This is particularly evident in their struggle against revisionism, which is more than an effort to mislabeled and demoralized the enemies. They must be named and branded as traitors, like the Eclecticism is a golden opportunity to mislabeled revisionism itself. What else can be the explanation other than the desire to advance, and that Mao should have tried harder to save revisionism by pulling a more revolutionary current in the party. However, what In Struggle want to advance, and that Mao should have tried harder to save revisionism by pulling a more revolutionary current in the party.

We even feel that at the present time, the ap­
proach of the lives and works of certain leaders or par­
ties cannot be a starting point for defining revi­
sionism. In fact, those who have used these methods have rapidly strayed from a materialist and dialectical point of view in the development of the communist movement's past and present.

"Since the starting point for this tendency is to de­
fend the 'purity' of Marxist-Leninist prin­
ciples—which some find in the support of this or that leader, while others find it in the criticism of those same leaders—congresses and conferences are held, studies and analyses are made, and uncritical energy is spent in determining the merit of one, and the mistakes of another. This results in a very special understanding of the communist movement. A few months ago, it was claimed that the Communist Party of China and Mao Zedong never based themselves on Marxism-Leninism. But they weren't alone, since French communists have informed us that the Communist Party of France was never of the name. And more recently, it was announced that Ho Chi Minh and the Vietnamese Workers Party were nothing but modern revisionism, and questions are being raised about the Party of Labour of Albania. Why not, after one has got a good thing going for you?"

"The struggle against revisionism will be fruitless if it is not firmly based on the understanding of why it is so terribly important for the French proletariat to reject Mao Zedong Thought (or to relentlessly defend it, which is being done by a number of communist organizations and groups), and for the French working class to put forward on their readers and members. Either way, those groups have strayed from a materialist and dialectical point of view in the development of the communist movement. A few months ago, it was claimed that the Communist Party of China and Mao Zedong never based themselves on Marxism-Leninism. But they weren't alone, since French communists have informed us that the Communist Party of France was never of the name. And more recently, it was announced that Ho Chi Minh and the Vietnamese Workers Party were nothing but modern revisionism, and questions are being raised about the Party of Labour of Albania. Why not, after one has got a good thing going for you?"
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There’s a new awakening in my Vietnamese for some reason, and the Vietnamese. What I thought of the Vietnamese was that their struggle was, I had no understandings of what their struggle was, I had no understandings of what the Vietnamese were doing, and I had no understandings of what the Vietnamese were doing.

Back in June 1975, we had a combatant who was well aware of the American Librarians Association and the American Library Association. People from Liberation Distributors went to these conventions to talk with them about what was going on in the world, and they were interested in what was going on in the world. These books can be powerful weapons, arming people with the knowledge and the power to create change.

In contrast, the American Librarians Association (New York, June 1980) is an annual professional conference of librarians. Workshops are held on everything from computer operating to getting literature into prisons to the social responsibilities of librarians. Here too all the major publishers come to display their wares, and the books are much more substantial. The free booze is limited to the evening parties.

With the exhibit hall packed full of booths selling mass market paperbacks and encyclopedias, hundreds were drawn to the “small press section” where much of the “alternative” literature was located. Many commented that it was “the most interesting part of the whole convention” and “the particular” Liberation Distributors booth was of interest to revolutionary books that talk for real about what’s going on in the world. Sometimes that’s limited to a fear of the imperial world order, but the right possibilities, that there’s got to be a revolutionary weapon against the imperialist revolutions, in opposition to the future the ruling class has planned. The new wave of right-wing propaganda, coming from the big publishers won’t stop people’s burning work, no matter more to the point, “they’ll answer you wrong.”

In fact, there’s a lot of books coming out right now trying to analyze, help or just explain the economic crisis of America—none put forward the thorough, scientific and optimistic analysis of America in Decline that capitalism is doomed and the revolution on the horizon. Or take the books being published on Iran—by the former Deputy Prime Minister of the Shah’s sister, Iran. A People in Revolution: The American Librarians Association, which related to what was going on for Blacks in the U.S. at that time. There was a lot of Black power stuff.

Revolutionary workers talked with the NLF troopers or the Vietnamese people that influenced you. The American Librarians Association was mostly with kids, although we did have some contact with the NLF and Vietnamese workers and peasants. A lot of kids read about the situation, come over from the other side to fight on our side—and we never had much respect for them, because we could see that they were rotten. With the people who play this game “Ho Chi Minh Number 1,” they all had respect for Ho Chi Minh. Particularly the American troops had a lot of respect for Ho Chi Minh.
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During the Vietnam war, there developed within the U.S. Armed forces a widespread anti-war GI movement and a cult of discipline and "morale," punctuated by outbreaks of resistance by individual GI's against the war at home and in Vietnam, that filled high-ranking military officials and the ruling class as a whole with fear of some kind of systemic, growing unwillingness of U.S. troops to fight in Vietnam, the increasing inability of officers to effectively command the troops and the very real fear that military discipline might dissolve altogether, was an important contributing factor to the defeat of the U.S. Army at the hands of the Vietnamese, leading to the hastening of the withdrawal of U.S. troops.

The Vietnam war, in particular, was characterized by widespread anti-war activity among the American troops in Vietnam, as well as among American troops at home. This activity took many forms, including mutiny, desertion, and the formation of underground newspapers and other underground organizations. The most significant of these was the GI movement, which emerged during the Vietnam war and continued to exist after the war ended.

The GI movement was a response to the growing disillusionment with the war among American soldiers. Soldiers were often sent to Vietnam with little information about the war or its goals, and they were expected to fight and die for a cause they did not understand. This led to a widespread feeling of frustration and anger among the soldiers, who saw themselves as being used as cannon fodder in a war that was not their own.

The GI movement began with small groups of soldiers who organized underground newspapers and other organizations to express their opposition to the war. These groups grew in size and influence, and by 1970, there were dozens of such organizations across the country, often initiated by civilian anti-war activists. These newspapers were frequently declared "off limits" to GI's by military authorities and were subjected to systematic harassment and surveillance by military intelligence and local police. Some newspapers were the targets of bombings and other physical attacks. But despite these efforts at suppression, the existence of these groups of resistance provided a crucial means of politically educating and organizing GIs.

The GI movement was one of the most important of the anti-war movements that emerged during the Vietnam war. It was a response to the growing disillusionment with the war among American soldiers, and it played a crucial role in the eventual defeat of the U.S. Army in Vietnam.

The situation today, both in the military and in the world at large, is very different from that of the '60s and '70s. The war that is approaching—a worldwide imperialist war—will be, obviously, far different in scope and character than the Vietnam war. But in World War III, as in the Vietnam war, the imperialists will still be dependent upon the rank and file of the GI's, his willingness to carry out orders, to obediently kill and lay down his own life as directed, fundamentally by his political loyalty to the U.S. ruling class. And more they will be dependent on these GIs not only in the fight against their foreign rivals, but quite likely for the very survival of their system against their "own subjects" here at home.

The Vietnam war, the seat of U.S. imperialism's military planning, was in the early and mid-'60s filled with the spirit of smug, cool "can do" self-confidence. Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara,喟ed into the administration by President Kennedy fresh from his job as head of the Ford Motor Company, smiled reporters at briefing sessions with his crisp delivery of据统计, a computer output "staff" and optimistic reports on the progress of the "pacification" campaign. But a few thousand more "U.S. advisors"—or maybe 50,000 of all—were "Viet Cong" threat to "our allies in Saigon" would be wiped out for good.

The computer's bleakness of the brightest scholars in the defense "think tanks" said it all; everything was fall safe and foolproof.

By 1969, there were 530,000 U.S. troops fighting in Vietnam, 700,000 at the age of 18 and 26 were being drafted by the hundreds of thousands every year. They were dragged into uniform, shaved bald, and put through the systematic process of degradation, humiliation and indoctrination known as "basic training." Drill sergeants worked 24 hours a day to stamp out any flicker of rebelliousness, to instill fear of and unquestioning obedience to military authority. "I want to be an airborne ranger" I want to live a life of danger I want to go to Vietnam I want to kill the Viet Cong—but that's what the recruits had to chant day and day out, at 5:00 a.m. and forced marches and anyone who didn't "eat this shit up" was a "maggot," a "pussy," a "dip." These "naggies" after all carried the M-16; these "tacum" were the ones who were going to Vietnam to protect the vital outposts of U.S. imperialism's worldwide empire. It was essential that they fight and die, "waste geeks" and be wasted, reliably, willingly and blindly. There was no room in the computer models for rebellion in the military.

Army Not Insulted

But the soldiers were not and could not be isolated from the upheavals and mass movements against the war that were rocking U.S. society as a whole—or the national movements including especially the Black rebellions and Black liberation movements. In the early outpouring from the "Black revolution" movement, which had a powerful effect on Black GIs in the military. The first significant instance of a group of Black soldiers in the Army, called a "movement," was a protest in the spring of 1967, in Detroit, Michigan. A group of Black soldiers at Camp Pendleton called a mass meeting to protest why "Black soldiers should fight a white man's war" in Vietnam. The leaders of the group were arrested and charged with "incitement to disloyalty" and "harmful disloyalty"—and sent to 6 to 10 years at hard labor. The same year, prisoners at the Presidio and Alcatraz and other local prisons and the initiative of a worldwide GI movement was born. The first such "coffeehouses" opened near Fort Jackson, South Carolina, in 1969. In 1970, there were dozens of such centers around the country, often initiated by civilian anti-war activists. These newspapers were frequently charged "off limits" to GI's by military authorities and were subjected to systematic harassment and surveillance by military intelligence and local police. Some newspapers were the targets of bombings and other physical attacks. But despite these efforts at suppression, the existence of these groups of resistance provided a crucial means of politically educating and organizing GIs.

But the most important tool of the organized GI movement was the underground press. In his article, "On the Collapse of the Armed Forces," referred to above, Colonel Hanoi estimated that in the spring of 1971 some 144 underground newspapers were published or aimed at U.S. military bases. In March of 1972 the Department of Defense estimated the total number to be 245 including overseas. These underground papers varied widely in their quality, policies and frequency of publication. Some put forward a strong anti-imperialist program and exposed the imperialist roots of the U.S. military involvement in Vietnam and were condemned, and others reflected more of a pacifist orientation, or appealed primarily to the general rebel spirit emanating from the slogan, "Fuck the army!" (ETA). The Department of an extra-wide dissemination of military information led to fundraising to support various local papers and the initiation of a worldwide GI movement press service, CAMP News, headed by
Afghan Revolutionary Captured by Russian Imperialists

We received the following from the Afghan Support Committee.

STOP THE RAILROAD OF MAJID KALAKANI

For many years Majid Kalakani has been a keen social activist, one of the leading representatives of the reactionary regimes of Zahir Shah, Daoud, Taraki-Amin, and now Najibullah. Majid Kalakani is a prominent Afghan Marxist-Leninist who was born in Kabul in 1942. He was a prominent figure among the masses, and his struggle against the imperialist regime of Zahir Shah earned him the respect of the people. Majid Kalakani passed away in 1972, but his memory lives on as a symbol of the struggle against imperialism.

We commend the Afghan masses for their struggle against the imperialist regime. The Afghan people have always fought against imperialism, and their struggle continues today. The Afghan masses are demanding a just and equitable society, free from the grip of imperialism and its agents.

We urge the international community to support the Afghan masses in their struggle against imperialism. The Afghan masses are fighting for a better future, and their struggle deserves the support of the international community.

We also urge the Afghan government to listen to the demands of the masses and work towards a just and equitable society.
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Inside the Den of Spies in Tehran
Embassy Militants Expose U.S. Crimes

The following article was sent to the RW by a revolutionary writer and journalist who has recently returned from a five-month trip to Iran.

"When travelling in disturbed areas," a U.S. passport reads, "you should keep in touch with the nearest U.S. Embassy." This is reassuring to patriotic Americans anywhere in the world. Just take a moment to see comforting signs of home: the Holiday Inn, American Express offices, and Coca-Cola. As Karl Malden might say, "Don't leave home without it." It must be doubly comforting to know that the U.S. government has its 'outposts' all over the world, looking after its citizens and its interests; offices that are respected and backed by the prestige and strength of the United States of America.

I won't say there was utter chaos when we arrived at our destination, that we were being fired upon, but there were signs of unrest. Instead of porters, the airport was filled with armed soldiers.

As we passed through the downtown area on our way to our hotel, everyone seemed agitated: they were congregating on street corners engaged in some kind of heated discussion. And when we got to our hotel, there was no sign of foreign tourists chattering, over whisky or scotch, about their fascinating travels. Someone had even smeared the hotel walls with posters. By now we were convinced that must be one of those 'disturbed' areas.

There was only one thing to do: head toward home. We called for any god-fearing, upstanding citizen of the greatest country on earth, the US of A, this was even more "disturbing" than the rest of the disturbed country. There were metal barricades all over the place; the brick walls had been covered with sheets and pictures of foreign revolutionaries; a bunch of bearded youth with machine guns were going around and there were thousands of people milling around the streets like they were at some kind of state festival. The times that would love to see the Holiday Inn around the world in face of insurrections; who long for the day when the U.S. dollar collapses, taking American embassy. This is reassuring that e is the embassy, filled with millions of people who hated U.S. imperialism. The embassy was an isolated outpost surrounded by the enemy like John Wayne at the Alamo.

The U.S. embassy, an instantiation symbol of the past 27 years of U.S. domination of Iran is no mere building; it was 27 compounds that looked like some billionaire's country estate had been chopped down right in the middle of Bangkok and Rome. There were brick walls and all. It was in this embassy that the Shah was captured in 1953 coup that put the Shah back in power were carried out; it was here that U.S. intelligence and SAVAK worked; and it was through this embassy that the Shah received international recognition. In the perception of the masses during the revolution, there were all kinds of buildings, repair shops, warehouses, and who knew what else—sighs—since 1979.

On that day Moslem students dressed in the nation's clothes had overthrown the last days of the Shah. They entered the compound and began exploring the compound: the Imperialist regime, as well as the development of imperialism.

We exchanged greetings and introduced ourselves. The student we had the opportunity to interview was open and friendly. "Most don't believe we are human beings until they meet us," he said. He explained the history of domination of Iran by U.S. imperialism and the oppressive nature of the Shah's regime, as well as the development of the Islamic movement in the 1960s and '70s. Then we got into the trouble to take the U.S. embassy last November.

When the Shah was brought to the U.S., there was widespread feeling in Iran that some type of coup attempt was underway. "We felt that in order to bring the Shah back to Iran the U.S. imperialists needed international acceptance of him. Bringing him to the U.S. was the way to do that. Their propaganda machine would have been started and they would have made the Shah look good." When we decided to take the embassy, we were sure that the U.S. would resist, and we were prepared to be shot (the same risk they had taken many times during the revolution). We planned to stay for three days or so. Iran's Shah was not a friendly person. But millions everywhere in Iran did rise to support the takeover and the battle against the continuing U.S. intrigue against their revolution.

The Iranian people had only guessed was revealed 10 times over since the students captured the embassy and began exploring the compound, "The imperialists used Iran to get data on all the surrounding countries in our presence."

Continued on page 14
GOP Convention

Continued from page 5

It is precisely the political necessity dictated by the approaching war that has led to the emerging contradiction of the Republicans' adoption of a Virtual Democratic Party economic program. Whose hard name did Ricardo conspicuously drop during his speech? None other than Franklin D. Roosevelt, that liberating demi-god, that spontaneously, was president during World War 2 and developed the system of combining rabid warmongering and huge military spending with domestic democratization and new levels. In fact, the massive tax cut proposal (to companies and individuals) to stimulate capital formation and economic growth, which is the centerpiece of the Republican economic program — and a supposed return of John Kennedy's tax cut in the 60s. And the cry is "JOBS! JOBS! JOBS!"

Like the Democrats, whose economic program is today, is strikingly similar. The only real difference is the way (after all, a $30 billion trade deficit and driven by the U.S. and its ability to make other countries bear the bulk of the burden of rising costs) between the Democrats and Republicans on the issue of foreign policy, this, too, is being skirtsed—though the image makers), he has been careful to keep it in the background. He has taken his position against abortion, he has kept it in the back. But, the Republicans do not give up hope for growth and prosperity. They tell us that America's farmers must give up anything to the plan that the Department of Agriculture. Rather than to penalize our own people after all to declare war first before incurring stimulation, formulating the "Carter Doctrine" and planning the "Star Wars" program, a sign of the general war rhetoric.

The success of the tax cut during the first place. The distance between the Reagan and Carter, Reagan is very much in the running. He is by no means a "throw-away" candidate like Goldwater in '64, used to unite the country behind Lyndon Johnson when direct military intervention in Vietnam was found to be unavoidable. Precisely to make Reagan a generally acceptable candidate, a candidate who can unite the nation in its hour of crisis, a program to moderate the Reagan image is in full swing. While the bourgeoisie as a whole has not united around either Carter or Reagan, Reagan is very much in the running. He is by no means a "throw-away" candidate like Goldwater in '64, used to unite the country behind Lyndon Johnson when direct military intervention in Vietnam was found to be unavoidable. Precisely to make Reagan a generally acceptable candidate, a candidate who can unite the nation in its hour of crisis, a program to moderate the Reagan image is in full swing. While the bourgeoisie as a whole has not united around either Carter or Reagan, Reagan is very much in the running. He is by no means a "throw-away" candidate like Goldwater in '64, used to unite the country behind Lyndon Johnson when direct military intervention in Vietnam was found to be unavoidable. Precisely to make Reagan a generally acceptable candidate, a candidate who can unite the nation in its hour of crisis, a program to moderate the Reagan image is in full swing. While the bourgeoisie as a whole has not united around either Carter or Reagan, Reagan is very much in the running. He is by no means a "throw-away" candidate like Goldwater in '64, used to unite the country behind Lyndon Johnson when direct military intervention in Vietnam was found to be unavoidable. Precisely to make Reagan a generally acceptable candidate, a candidate who can unite the nation in its hour of crisis, a program to moderate the Reagan image is in full swing. While the bourgeoisie as a whole has not united around either Carter or Reagan, Reagan is very much in the running. He is by no means a "throw-away" candidate like Goldwater in '64, used to unite the country behind Lyndon Johnson when direct military intervention in Vietnam was found to be unavoidable. Precisely to make Reagan a generally acceptable candidate, a candidate who can unite the nation in its hour of crisis, a program to moderate the Reagan image is in full swing. While the bourgeoisie as a whole has not united around either Carter or Reagan, Reagan is very much in the running. He is by no means a "throw-away" candidate like Goldwater in '64, used to unite the country behind Lyndon Johnson when direct military intervention in Vietnam was found to be unavoidable. Precisely to make Reagan a generally acceptable candidate, a candidate who can unite the nation in its hour of crisis, a program to moderate the Reagan image is in full swing. While the bourgeoisie as a whole has not united around either Carter or Reagan, Reagan is very much in the running. He is by no means a "throw-away" candidate like Goldwater in '64, used to unite the country behind Lyndon Johnson when direct military intervention in Vietnam was found to be unavoidable. Precisely to make Reagan a generally acceptable candidate, a candidate who can unite the nation in its hour of crisis, a program to moderate the Reagan image is in full swing. While the bourgeoisie as a whole has not unite
of the days, where Jimmy Carter's own church, which has remained segregated despite his supposed voice against it, turned away some black people from the door. And of course on the other hand the Carter people are claiming that it was not as bad as it was set up to be by some Republicans, and we can probably say that this is one of those cases where people say about each other is true. Because this is the general nature of it, one of the good things about them having elections is it lets some of the dirt come to the surface. And if we can learn, understand and analyze it correctly we can use it to expose them and make a better situation.

Roosevelt

Let's go further back, how about Roosevelt? Roosevelt ran on a platform very similar to what Jimmy Carter's running on today. Not too much talk about the issues, just a general claim that he would bring people together and heal the wounds. All we have to fear, he said, is fear itself, which translated to the talk as having to do with the Depression of the 1930s. And interestingly enough they tied in not just the Roosevelt fortune, but also the Hoover fortune. This is Roosevelt's program, and they say, and they claim they brought to us out of the goodness of their hearts.

When Roosevelt ran almost a quarter of the people were out of work and the great weakness of the Depres.

So a delegation went down to talk to him and what they were saying was, well Jimmy Carter says it's time for all of us to have a good time, to exposé them and build for revolution.

John Kennedy

They've tried to present the Democratic Party in that light, and we have to learn something from this. Because every time that we rise up and win something from them, not only do they get harder and harder to beat, they give in a little bit, to take even more back. But every time we give our attention to trying to take away our understanding of how we won that, of our strength, of the potential that we begin to see in each struggle when we get united of what we can accomplish if we carried the overall struggle through and got rid of them altogether, and in the end, maybe a good society could be if we swept them and their system away once and for all. That's especially true what they can't stand for us to win and lose.

And Other Items From The Capitalist Election Trap Page

In 1976, during the last Presidential election campaign, Bob Avakum, Chairman of the Central Committee of the Revolutionary Communist Party, made a speech near the center of a major city where he spoke on this question. Since the same old game is now starting up again—only this time while the people in the class struggle are even higher as the moves toward world war stop up—it's important to look at the past to learn something about these old re-run tricks. Edited excerpts from the final part of the speech given in Los Angeles on election eve, are printed below. (The first part was printed last week.)

The Mine Workers Journal, put out by the officials of the mine workers union said, "Jimmy Carter's going to be the best hope for working people." Well, we've got news for them and for the whole class that they flunked for—the best hope and the only hope for working people is working people themselves. Because look at this Jimmy Carter a little deeper, not only is he supposed to be the savior of the working people in general, but for the poor, the Blacks and minorities in particular. When Jimmy Carter ran for governor in Georgia in 1970, during the campaign he threw his arm around Lester "Avehndle" Maddox and said they had the same ends and were in unity with each other. Then he turned around after getting elected and said the time for segregation and racism to come to an end is here; it's time for this to be over in the South. Now, what happened during the time he was governor, in the city of Atlanta alone during a period of 18 months, more than 20 people, most of them black, and a few white, were shot down and murdered by the police. And this was fought. Thousands of people demonstrated. Thousands more supported them. And some people said, well Jimmy Carter says it's time for all of this to come to an end. Let's go down and talk to him, we voted for him, we put him in, he's got to be responsive to us because he needed our votes to get in.

So a delegation went down to talk to him and what did he say? He said what they always say: the police chief and the cops in Atlanta are just good hard-working cops trying to do a job and keep the city safe and I support them, he said. And we should have expected no less and no more. And interestingly enough we had this little incident here lately, in the last couple of days, where Jimmy Carter's own church, which has
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then Iran's stations spied on the Russian ambassador. South of their stations like Behar, Ilam, and Kuhal were used to watch the Persian Gulf, and later were used to watch anything the CIA could spy on. After the CIA was expelled, the U.S. government continued to send computers to the embassy and then to hover over the CIA and the embassy with these computers. (The son, Stelio Subic, showed us one.)

The data was funneled through the communications center to the U.S. embassy, where the CIA ran the communications equipment. Inside this communications center, there was a big vault with ten minutes of data. And where the CIA station was, everyone was CIA, and it was the most sensitive part of the whole operation, centered around their spying on the Shah's health. The CIA also noted how those who were working in Iran knew that in the U.S. people from all different branches of the military were working on the hostage situation, and that they had expected the Bazargard government to be consolidated and subjected to pressure as early as December, those who revolved active attempts to build up consolidate imperialist forces within the country. "Mr. Tompelson met with foreign ministers and the counter-revolutionary terror organization—W, and forged illusory Ayatollah Mohammadi, one of the Shah's ministers. Mohammadi also assassinated a number of Iranian Islamic revolutionaries.) They need to go to court," a student told us. "Amir Ennezzi (a deputy prime minister in the 1979-89) was also an agent of the CIA; he helped the embassy get spy equipment out of Iran after the revolution, and was in jail now. We called him back to Iran for a briefing; he told us that he didn't inform the government and police of Iran. We also found dollars and rivals in the CIA section."

While the imperialists' talk of covert for the hostages, we were told that "the U.S. doesn't want these embassy people to talk to the American people about these things." One hostage told us that "when we go back the whole thing starts over again. We've been endangered in the U.S.

After taking the embassy, we knew that in this U.S. people from all different branches of the military were represented by the National Security Council who would choose the best one. The 40,000 U.S. military advisers had to control their control over this important base in the Middle East in order to keep their war bloc and to prepare for war against the Soviet Union. Since the Shah to flaccid the targets that the U.S. has employed have changed. The hostage question, while still an embarrassment, is still a source of chauvinist propaganda, and is at the center of the overall strategy to attack the Iranian revolution. Instead they are emphasizing a number of cover tactics: the mixing and organizing of forces within the Iranian military and exilis community; the continued attempts to try and destabilize Iran through economic warfare, by causing chaos as well as the recent series of military coup attempts; and of course continuing to explore contacts with the Iranian government who would be most likely to capitulate to them. If this point seems to be trying to destabilize Iran and create disorder and demoralization among the people there that they could not feel the need of a successful military coup. This has all been done under the name of low-key diplomatic efforts to free the hostages. People like Ramsey Clark as point man. But imperialist diplomacy is directly related to the petit-imperialist military aggression and in many ways is paving the way for the next escalation. This at this point seems to be trying to destabilize Iran and create disorder and demoralization among the people that they could not feel the need of a successful military coup. This has all been done under the name of low-key diplomatic efforts to free the hostages. People like Ramsey Clark as point man. But imperialist diplomacy is directly related to petit-imperialist military aggression and in many ways is paving the way for the next escalation. This at this point seems to be trying to destabilize Iran and create disorder and demoralization among the people that they could not feel the need of a successful military coup. This has all been done under the name of low-key diplomatic efforts to free the hostages. People like Ramsey Clark as point man. But imperialist diplomacy is directly related to petit-imperialist military aggression and in many ways is paving the way for the next escalation. This at this point seems to be trying to destabilize Iran and create disorder and demoralization among the people that they could not feel the need of a successful military coup. This has all been done under the name of low-key diplomatic efforts to free the hostages. People like Ramsey Clark as point man. But imperialist diplomacy is directly related to petit-imperialist military aggression and in many ways is paving the way for the next escalation. This at this point seems to be trying to destabilize Iran and create disorder and demoralization among the people that they could not feel the need of a successful military coup. This has all been done under the name of low-key diplomatic efforts to free the hostages. People like Ramsey Clark as point man. But imperialist diplomacy is directly related to petit-imperialist military aggression and in many ways is paving the way for the next escalation. This at this point seems to be trying to destabilize Iran and create disorder and demoralization among the people that they could not feel the need of a successful military coup. This has all been done under the name of low-key diplomatic efforts to free the hostages. People like Ramsey Clark as point man. But imperialist diplomacy is directly related to petit-imperialist military aggression and in many ways is paving the way for the next escalation. This at this point seems to be trying to destabilize Iran and create disorder and demoralization among the people that they could not feel the need of a successful military coup. This has all been done under the name of low-key diplomatic efforts to free the hostages. People like Ramsey Clark as point man. But imperialist diplomacy is directly related to petit-imperialist military aggression and in many ways is paving the way for the next escalation. This at this point seems to be trying to destabilize Iran and create disorder and demoralization among the people that they could not feel the need of a successful military coup. This has all been done under the name of low-key diplomatic efforts to free the hostages. People like Ramsey Clark as point man. But imperialist diplomacy is directly related to petit-imperialist military aggression and in many ways is paving the way for the next escalation. This at this point seems to be trying to destabilize Iran and create disorder and demoralization among the people that they could not feel the need of a successful military coup. This has all been done under the name of low-key diplomatic efforts to free the hostages. People like Ramsey Clark as point man. But imperialist diplomacy is directly related to petit-imperialist military aggression and in many ways is paving the way for the next escalation. This at this point seems to be trying to destabilize Iran and create disorder and demoralization among the people that they could not feel the need of a successful military coup. This has all been done under the name of low-key diplomatic efforts to free the hostages. People like Ramsey Clark as point man. But imperialist diplomacy is directly related to petit-imperialist military aggression and in many ways is paving the way for the next escalation. This at this point seems to be trying to destabilize Iran and create disorder and demoralization among the people that they could not feel the need of a successful military coup. This has all been done under the name of low-key diplomatic efforts to free the hostages. People like Ramsey Clark as point man. But imperialist diplomacy is directly related to petit-imperialist military aggression and in many ways is paving the way for the next escalation. This at this point seems to be trying to destabilize Iran and create disorder and demoralization among the people that they could not feel the need of a successful military coup. This has all been done under the name of low-key diplomatic efforts to free the hostages. People like Ramsey Clark as point man. But imperialist diplomacy is directly related to petit-imperialist military aggression and in many ways is paving the way for the next escalation. This at this point seems to be trying to destabilize Iran and create disorder and demoralization among the people that they could not feel the need of a successful military coup. This has all been done under the name of low-key diplomatic efforts to free the hostages. People like Ramsey Clark as point man. But imperialist diplomacy is directly related to petit-imperialist military aggression and in many ways is paving the way for the next escalation. This at this point seems to be trying to destabilize Iran and create disorder and demoralization among the people that they could not feel the need of a successful military coup.
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serious about re-establishing its rule (and it shouldn't be thought that the U.S. has shot its best shot in the Iranian army yet.) But what has been the government's response? Yes, they arrested a number of officers, and executed some. Some agents of the bourgeoisie have been exposed. But has there been a fundamental restructuring of the army? No there has not and there will not be one. And at the same time the government has used this opportunity to attack the Left and the Kurds, by implying that both were involved in these counter-revolutionary coup attempts.

Despite the fact that the religious leadership still has a hold on the masses and the trends and struggle among the revolutionary forces is complex, the masses continue to play a decisive role in this struggle. Workers and peasants across Iran have pushed forward their struggle to completely uproot imperialism and feudalism; there has been resistance among doctors and women to some of the reactionary Islamic decrees; and the Kurdish people are steadily gaining ground. In addition to this, millions of Iranians continue to wage heroic struggle against U.S. imperialism's counter-revolutionary coup attempts as well as any attempt to capitulate on the hostage question. This is why in fact the government and the parliament have been unable to quickly settle this issue as they would have liked to. In short, things are developing rapidly within Iran, but they're still up for grabs.

A Handsome Pin Cast in Silver

Available for Immediate Delivery
Limited Supplies

$25
Prepay Orders to:
RCP Publications
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Chicago, IL 60654
As a Vietnam war veteran, I am aware of the magnitude of U.S. war preparations. As a veteran of the May 24 action at Seabrook station, I am aware of the extreme tactics that are being used to protect two young men from their six-year-old petition. For two young men to appear before the court, their destructive power will be turned against them. At Seabrook, hundreds raised their voices and built barricades to save an energy source that the nuclear class wants to fuel its nuclear conquest of the world. The response was mass clubing, false arrests, heavy call, and heavy charges. Suspected "leaders" received special attention—management organizer Shirley Story was cut down in a mysterious hit-and-run accident; CDAS (Coalition for Direct Action at Seabrook) member Brian Feigenbaum was indicted by a jury to stand trial for a felony assault which he did not commit; I was arrested and charged with a felony assault which never even occurred. By taking a strong stand against their divide-and-conquer tactics and organizing movement-building support actions, we forced them to back down and drop their charges against us. The struggle will continue around Brian's case.

As a Vietnam veteran, I find it repugnant to sacrifice the lives of young idealists at your whim. As a Vietnam veteran, I find it repugnant to sacrifice the lives of young idealists at your whim. As a Vietnam veteran, I find it repugnant to sacrifice the lives of young idealists at your whim. As a Vietnam veteran, I find it repugnant to sacrifice the lives of young idealists at your whim. As a Vietnam veteran, I find it repugnant to sacrifice the lives of young idealists at your whim. As a Vietnam veteran, I find it repugnant to sacrifice the lives of young idealists at your whim. As a Vietnam veteran, I find it repugnant to sacrifice the lives of young idealists at your whim.

I am proud to be a member of several peace organizations here in the Bay Area. I am active in the peace committee of our church, First United Methodist. I serve gladly on the Commission on Missions in the church and served a three-year term as a member of the Commission on Social Concerns. In August 1978 I was arrested for trespassing at the Diablo Canyon nuclear reactor site, and spent a night in jail. In Solidarity,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Constance E. Kuruppu

The class struggle has taken upon itself to viciously persecute Glenn Gan and Steven Yip. The crime, as charged, is to have acted, on an American, the other an Asian—neither a legitimate representative of the people. As a Vietnam veteran, I find it repugnant that splashing napalm on the Vietnamese people from airplanes is cause for accolade, while splashing paint on diplomats who assist the process of war preparation draws the most profound condemnation. As a law student, I am disturbed and delighted, disturbed that the judicial system, ostensibly committed to justice, commits itself to a most ugly cover-up and attack on revolutionaries. However, I am delighted that the class nature of the system is again exposed because I hope it is on the verge of collapse. Accordingly, progressively righteous people must join in demanding that the state drop the charges.

Carter Dodge
Law student and member of the National Lawyers Guild

We are four of the Hawaiian sisters who, along with our brothers, stood up against the U.S. warmongers in the struggle to stop the bombing of Kahoolawe, which is used by the military as a target island in their war preparations. We proudly raise our fist to salute our brothers Glenn Gan and Steven Yip for their actions at the U.S. military's war machine, causing the U.S. government and judicial forces which protect the interests of the rich and powerful to consider us contemptible. We are two more scapegoats absorbing the rage of a threatened superpower. It is our collective responsibility to act against the illegitimate power and malignant policies of those who would control our world. It is also our collective responsibility to support each other in that struggle, wherever it is waged.

From 436 at the Rainbow Gathering in West Virginia

Sincerely,

By Special Delivery

June 17, 1980

Judge Ward
U.S. Federal Court
40 Foley Square, Times Square
New York City

Dear Judge Ward:

I am proud to be a member of several peace organizations here in the Bay Area in California. I am active in the peace committee of my church, First United Methodist. I serve gladly on the Commission on Missions in the church and served a three-year term as a member of the Commission on Social Concerns. In August 1978 I was arrested for trespassing at the Diablo Canyon nuclear reactor site, and spent a night in jail.

I am greatly interested in the trial of two brave young Americans, Steven Yip and Glenn Gan, the UN 2. I should like to say that I agree heartily with the opinions expressed in a recent letter protesting the lound and the freedom of expression of these two young idealists. This letter was written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast—the Reverend John T. Eggers from Jesey City. I join my appeal with that eloquent letter, written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast.

BY SPECIAL DELIVERY

June 17, 1980

656 Kingsley Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94301

Dear Judge Ward:

I amVT, as a peace activist, write a letter which is in the peace movement on the East coast—written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast—the Reverend John T. Eggers from Jesey City. I join my appeal with that eloquent letter, written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast.

I am proud to be a member of several peace organizations here in the Bay Area in California. I am active in the peace committee of my church, First United Methodist. I serve gladly on the Commission on Missions in the church and served a three-year term as a member of the Commission on Social Concerns. In August 1978 I was arrested for trespassing at the Diablo Canyon nuclear reactor site, and spent a night in jail.

I am greatly interested in the trial of two brave young Americans, Steven Yip and Glenn Gan, the UN 2. I should like to say that I agree heartily with the opinions expressed in a recent letter protesting the lound and the freedom of expression of these two young idealists. This letter was written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast—the Reverend John T. Eggers from Jesey City. I join my appeal with that eloquent letter, written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast.

I am proud to be a member of several peace organizations here in the Bay Area in California. I am active in the peace committee of my church, First United Methodist. I serve gladly on the Commission on Missions in the church and served a three-year term as a member of the Commission on Social Concerns. In August 1978 I was arrested for trespassing at the Diablo Canyon nuclear reactor site, and spent a night in jail.

I am greatly interested in the trial of two brave young Americans, Steven Yip and Glenn Gan, the UN 2. I should like to say that I agree heartily with the opinions expressed in a recent letter protesting the lound and the freedom of expression of these two young idealists. This letter was written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast—the Reverend John T. Eggers from Jesey City. I join my appeal with that eloquent letter, written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast.

I am proud to be a member of several peace organizations here in the Bay Area in California. I am active in the peace committee of my church, First United Methodist. I serve gladly on the Commission on Missions in the church and served a three-year term as a member of the Commission on Social Concerns. In August 1978 I was arrested for trespassing at the Diablo Canyon nuclear reactor site, and spent a night in jail.

I am greatly interested in the trial of two brave young Americans, Steven Yip and Glenn Gan, the UN 2. I should like to say that I agree heartily with the opinions expressed in a recent letter protesting the lound and the freedom of expression of these two young idealists. This letter was written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast—the Reverend John T. Eggers from Jesey City. I join my appeal with that eloquent letter, written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast.

I am proud to be a member of several peace organizations here in the Bay Area in California. I am active in the peace committee of my church, First United Methodist. I serve gladly on the Commission on Missions in the church and served a three-year term as a member of the Commission on Social Concerns. In August 1978 I was arrested for trespassing at the Diablo Canyon nuclear reactor site, and spent a night in jail.

I am greatly interested in the trial of two brave young Americans, Steven Yip and Glenn Gan, the UN 2. I should like to say that I agree heartily with the opinions expressed in a recent letter protesting the lound and the freedom of expression of these two young idealists. This letter was written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast—the Reverend John T. Eggers from Jesey City. I join my appeal with that eloquent letter, written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast.

I am proud to be a member of several peace organizations here in the Bay Area in California. I am active in the peace committee of my church, First United Methodist. I serve gladly on the Commission on Missions in the church and served a three-year term as a member of the Commission on Social Concerns. In August 1978 I was arrested for trespassing at the Diablo Canyon nuclear reactor site, and spent a night in jail.

I am greatly interested in the trial of two brave young Americans, Steven Yip and Glenn Gan, the UN 2. I should like to say that I agree heartily with the opinions expressed in a recent letter protesting the lound and the freedom of expression of these two young idealists. This letter was written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast—the Reverend John T. Eggers from Jesey City. I join my appeal with that eloquent letter, written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast.

I am proud to be a member of several peace organizations here in the Bay Area in California. I am active in the peace committee of my church, First United Methodist. I serve gladly on the Commission on Missions in the church and served a three-year term as a member of the Commission on Social Concerns. In August 1978 I was arrested for trespassing at the Diablo Canyon nuclear reactor site, and spent a night in jail.

I am greatly interested in the trial of two brave young Americans, Steven Yip and Glenn Gan, the UN 2. I should like to say that I agree heartily with the opinions expressed in a recent letter protesting the lound and the freedom of expression of these two young idealists. This letter was written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast—the Reverend John T. Eggers from Jesey City. I join my appeal with that eloquent letter, written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast.

I am proud to be a member of several peace organizations here in the Bay Area in California. I am active in the peace committee of my church, First United Methodist. I serve gladly on the Commission on Missions in the church and served a three-year term as a member of the Commission on Social Concerns. In August 1978 I was arrested for trespassing at the Diablo Canyon nuclear reactor site, and spent a night in jail.

I am greatly interested in the trial of two brave young Americans, Steven Yip and Glenn Gan, the UN 2. I should like to say that I agree heartily with the opinions expressed in a recent letter protesting the lound and the freedom of expression of these two young idealists. This letter was written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast—the Reverend John T. Eggers from Jesey City. I join my appeal with that eloquent letter, written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast.

I am proud to be a member of several peace organizations here in the Bay Area in California. I am active in the peace committee of my church, First United Methodist. I serve gladly on the Commission on Missions in the church and served a three-year term as a member of the Commission on Social Concerns. In August 1978 I was arrested for trespassing at the Diablo Canyon nuclear reactor site, and spent a night in jail.

I am greatly interested in the trial of two brave young Americans, Steven Yip and Glenn Gan, the UN 2. I should like to say that I agree heartily with the opinions expressed in a recent letter protesting the lound and the freedom of expression of these two young idealists. This letter was written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast—the Reverend John T. Eggers from Jesey City. I join my appeal with that eloquent letter, written by a priest who is in the peace movement on the East coast.
Statement of Support for UN 2

On June 25th, Glenn Gan and Steven Yip, the UN 2, were convicted of two felony charges—assault and conspiracy—for the political action of dumping red paint on the UN, U.S. Deputy Ambassador William van den Heuvel and Soviet representative Oleg Troyanovski. 

The action was part of a larger action involving the entire United Nations, not just the United States, and the United Nations was not an isolated, terrorist act, but a political statement indicating the United States and the Soviet Union alike as imperialist powers heading for world war and that the red flag belongs, not to the U.S.S.R. but to the people of the world struggling against the superpowers.

The government's intentions in the case of the UN 2 are clear as shown by time and again by the actions of Judge Ward in court. For example, he stated, "We've got enough problems in the world today, if I may say so, in Iran and Afghanistan; we have got enough problems with the Soviet Union, that [the UN 2] is not going to be the context of where we are in the world.

Press accounts of the U.N. incident reported the slogans, "Down with the U.S.-Soviet War; our flag, red, white and blue, on Monday, May Day," shouted while a red flag was raised in the Security Council chambers. Clearly the outrageous charges against the UN 2 stem not from any criminal act but because the action politically stunned both the U.S. and the Soviet Union. Below is a partial list of signers of the statement of support of the UN 2 demanding that these charges be dropped. It is followed by a selection of personal statements sent to the Judge.


Statement by Michael Nutter, Former Peace Corps Volunteer, San Francisco.

Statement by Florence Unger, Attorney, from Cortland, New York.

Statement by Portland Women's Health Center, Nurses.

Statement by Bartell Oiiman, Author and Professor, Political Science Department, New York University (NYU).

Letter to Judge Robert Ward from Reverend John T. Egan, Priest at St. Boniface Church, Jersey City, recently released after serving 11 days in a Washington, D.C. jail, 29 days in a Richmond City jail an an anti-war demonstration.

Letter to Judge Robert Ward from Robert, T. Egan, Priest at St. Boniface Church, Jersey City, recently released after serving 11 days in a Washington, D.C. jail, 29 days in a Richmond City jail an an anti-war demonstration.

Letter to Judge Robert Ward from Reverend John T. Egan, Priest at St. Boniface Church, Jersey City, recently released after serving 11 days in a Washington, D.C. jail, 29 days in a Richmond City jail an an anti-war demonstration.
The International Unity of the Proletariat: What it is and How to Fight for it.
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V. CONCLUSION

Many of Lenin’s polemics during the years 1914-1918, when he was struggling to bring about the conditions to form the Third International, are directed not just to right-wing parties, which had been widely disregarded among revolutionary-minded people, but also to certain sections of the old international. He wrote of M. N. Hyndman with contempt, while speaking—or saying nothing—of "Comrade" Kautsky with deference (or obsequiousness), even though the latter was a member of the old international. Rudolf Hilferding, a member of the old international, openly expressed that the workers had to renounce the class struggle because of the world war, and Lenin spoke to him about opportunism, and openly called for a Marxist International to be built up without and against the opportunists. Only one programme that shows that we have faith in ourselves and in Marxism and that we have proclaimed a life-and-death struggle against opportunism will win us the sympathy of the genuine proletarian masses.

Lenin himself was quite an "extremist" in defending Marxist prole"tarian" people!

In Struggle makes a big deal about what they consider the lack of unity among the people living in the same society, ourselves, whom they consider sectarian, and explain, if they had said something profound, "To proceed along the path of general international unity. Unity must clearly be posed as an objective to attain and we must put into practice any means of uniting the communist forces that want to do so." But in the face of the same kind of hypercritical nonsense in the service of the sectarian, they have not made clear the following uncontentious words: "Unity is a great thing and a great slogan. But what the workers' causes need is the unity of Marxists and non-Marxists, and opponents and opponents of Marxism. And we must ask everyone who takes part in struggle with whom..."

And on another occasion, "An adherence of internationalism who is not at the same time a most consistent and determined opponent of chauvinism is a phantom, nothing more. Perhaps certain individuals of this type will honestly consider themselves 'internationalists.' However, people are judged not by what they are of themselves but by their political behaviour.

For Lenin, as for all Marxists, the avoiding of sectionalism is not the highest of all tasks. Therefore we must fight for the international movement (where he definitely guarantees) that a split was necessary to fight for the unity of the people based on the revolutionary interests of the proletarian, not even—horror of horrors—within the International. The task is to organize the movement of the revolutionaries so that the genuine revolutionaries had to one way or another fix themselves as a reservoir of unity against the Chauvinist traditions. You see, Lenin had a very high standard of 'political behaviour.' This is what he considers the most important task to accomplish with the founding of a new international.

"An International does not mean sitting at the same table and making hypercritical and petulant resolutions... The International consists in the coming together (first ideologically, then in due time organizationally) of all (all of people capable of defending socialist internationalism in its entirety, not merely in a narrow 'service of the nation' sense but to 'shout' at the governments and the ruling classes of their own respective 'fatherlands'). This is no easy task; it is a very exacting task. There is no real need but one is not to be accompanied by reverse. However, for the revolutionary situation we have unprecedented opportunities—opportunities we have already accomplished only together with those who want to transform and are not afraid of a complete break with the defenders of sectionalism.

The truth is that In Struggle does not see itself in this role. It may very well be that revolutionary communist movement in particular urgency and importance at this hour.

The understanding of urgency and importance with In Struggle's view: "To say that the international communist movement in this world revolution in the world to be real means realizing that, under current conditions, it cannot come to power in a single country. It can come to power only in a world-wide movement in Iran and Afghanistan, to the revolutionists in Italy, France and Spain, to Arab nationalism, or to the most steadfast anti-chauvinist social democrats...

"In this case it has an as if, but overall it is also severe and very harmful. In the vast majority of the countries mentioned, as well as in many others, there is a danger of fragmentation, of splitting. However, the development of the world itself will pose the question of the international revolutionary movement in the entire world. In some cases, these communist forces are small and scattered, and in some countries there is no yet a communist international. But the possibility of world revolution is very high, and stressed again, the proletarian revolutionary movement is a world-wide movement and not one that develops only country by country. The very examples In Struggle gives of countries where aspects of a revolutionary situation are already developing and there is either or not a sufficiently strong revolutionary party should show the genuine communists the importance of international unity in making the revolutionary movement.

Here too words of Lenin, referring to the problem of the founding of the Third International in April 1917 are very relevant: "If socialists of that type are few, let every Russian worker ask himself whether we cannot unite all the forces of every country?"

We see that the only international coordination of committee of what already exists, an international organization which could do little more than radiate internationally support for the struggle in "tiny El Salvador," to use the example given by In Struggle: "the revolutionary organization in tiny El Salvador had to take on themselves for the most part, with their small limited resources, the task of organizing an international campaign to rally support for their cause and for the cause of the oppressed..."

What we seek is not just some international coordinating committee of what already exists, an international organization which could do little more than radiate internationally support for the struggle in "tiny El Salvador," to use the example given by In Struggle: "the revolutionary organization in tiny El Salvador had to take on themselves for the most part, with their small limited resources, the task of organizing an international campaign to rally support for their cause and for the cause of the oppressed..."

And here—this is the only example given—is an international anti-imperialist solidarity committee, and not a movement which is, as we say, not only a kind of "anti-imperialist solidarity" but also a kind of "anti-imperialist solidarity" with the Chauvinist enemies.

We believe that the development of the forces of the world's Marxist-Leninists must not be seen as simply linked to the need to amalgam and coordinate our international forces in a way rather as an amalgamation and application of Marxist-Leninism, especially in facing the danger of chauvinism which, as we have said, our goal is not just the unity of small vanguard groups, but rather the fighting unity of the entire international movement against chauvinism.

In Struggle stands aghast at what it considers the international unity of the old international movement. But Engels long ago explained such things in his famous letter to Bebel.

"One must not allow oneself to be guided by the cry of friendship. Those who have placed most of their lips on their tongues are the ones who sow the most division. The unity that is achieved in this way is a surface unity, of the surface only, and not a solid one."

Continued on page 24
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But the product of this collective labor which cannot be resolved within the power ful contradictions which prevent the ion of city and country, or with respect of women, in agriculture and the relation of the proletariat, the embodiment of socialized labor, which finally builds up and broken down. Capitalism is appropriated by a handful of propi tarions, the capitalists. This contradic-tion, the bourgeoisie is the domi­nating aspect of capitalist reality, because everything is made up of opposing forces and tendencies, and the possibility of understanding this in terms of the contradictions within capitalism is the basic contradiction. In capitalist socie­ty, the basic contradiction in terms of the productive forces and the productive relations of production is the contradiction between the capitalist class and the working class, between the bourgeoisie and the proletariat. In this contradiction, the bourgeoisie is the dominant class. But because this isn't only the aspect of capitalist reality, because reality is complex and ever-changing, the prol­etariat with interests and an ideologi­cal perspective against the bourgeoisie—because of this, under capitalism it is possible to grasp the social forces which are at play in the proletariat that will become the ruling class. In fact, it is only under capitalism that we can begin to know this. If Marx had lived in an ear­lier epoch under feudalism, he might have been a genius, but he could not have been a Marxist. Because the emergence of capitalism in the human history creates the material conditions of the proletariat and bourgeoisie—it is for the first time possible to know that socialism and communism will come.

The seeds of the future are present in society today. This growth of new relations creates the material conditions for future socialism and later communism—the classless society. The contradictions of capitalism drive the world toward these goals of human history. This dialectical approach to the productive power of socialized labor, bringing into play the forces of production, can be seen in a factory when workers start to build a new machine. But the product of this collective labor is not only the machine but also the new form of the productive forces and mode of production. The socialization of labor lays the material basis for socialism, but it is the development of the productive forces which is the social basis for the production of the collective product. This contradiction is resolved through the struggle of the proletariat for its own claims and the embodiment of socialized labor, which finally throws off the shackles of private appropriation.

It is similar in other areas—with respect for freedom, the struggle of women, in agriculture and the relation of production, the struggle of workers to national barriers, which are both built up and broken down. Capitalism creates the material basis for something higher; but at the same time it generates powerful contradictions which cannot be resolved within the social relations of capitalism and which therefore compel the bursting apart of capitalist society. The socialization of productive forces and the progressive development of productive relations is the condition for socialism and communism. That is why the idea of socialism began to appear as soon as the capitalist mode of production emerged out of the contradiction s of capitalism itself. As Lenin explains: "When feudalism was overthrown, and 'free' capitalist society appeared on God's earth, it at once became apparent that this freedom meant a new system of oppression and exploitation of the working people. Various socialist doctrines immediately began to arise as a reflection of and protest against this oppression." (Three Sources and Three Components of Marxism.)

Engels, writing in more detail on this topic, says that the result of the current social order is that the productive forces and relations of production are in contradiction with the productive forces and relations of production. Engels: "When feudalism was overthrown, and 'free' capitalist society appeared on God's earth, it at once became apparent that this freedom meant a new system of oppression and exploitation of the working people. Various socialist doctrines immediately began to arise as a reflection of and protest against this oppression." (Three Sources and Three Components of Marxism.)

The idea of socialism, as Lenin and Engels explain, that according to the materialist conception of history: "...the ultimate causes of all social changes and political revolutions are to be sought not in the heads of the people, in their growing insight into eternal truth and justice, but in changes in the modes of production and exchange. It is the same recognition that existing social institutions are irrational and unjust that reason has become treason, and kindness a snare. A sign that changes in the modes of production and exchange have silently been taken place with which the social order adapted to earlier economic conditions is no longer in keeping. From this it also follows that what's happening now, is what's rising out of the contradiction s of capitalism which finally compelled the bursting apart of capitalist society. The socialization of productive forces and the progressive development of productive relations is the condition for socialism and communism. That is why the idea of socialism began to appear as soon as the capitalist mode of production emerged out of the contradiction s of capitalism itself. Lenin explains: "When feudalism was overthrown, and 'free' capitalist society appeared on God's earth, it at once became apparent that this freedom meant a new system of oppression and exploitation of the working people. Various socialist doctrines immediately began to arise as a reflection of and protest against this oppression." (Three Sources and Three Components of Marxism.)

Engels, writing in more detail on this topic, says that the result of the current social order is that the productive forces and relations of production are in contradiction with the productive forces and relations of production. Engels: "When feudalism was overthrown, and 'free' capitalist society appeared on God's earth, it at once became apparent that this freedom meant a new system of oppression and exploitation of the working people. Various socialist doctrines immediately began to arise as a reflection of and protest against this oppression." (Three Sources and Three Components of Marxism.)

The idea of socialism, as Lenin and Engels explain, that according to the materialist conception of history: "...the ultimate causes of all social changes and political revolutions are to be sought not in the heads of the people, in their growing insight into eternal truth and justice, but in changes in the modes of production and exchange. It is the same recognition that existing social institutions are irrational and unjust that reason has become treason, and kindness a snare. A sign that changes in the modes of production and exchange have silently been taken place with which the social order adapted to earlier economic conditions is no longer in keeping. From this it also follows that...
Joint Communique from the Revolutionary Communist Party of Chile and the Revolutionary Communist Party, USA
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Cambodia may also be opposed. An anti-imperialist world war could break out soon and there is a very great likelihood that it will break out in the next ten years unless it is prevented by revolution. A war must be avoided at any cost and the struggle against imperialism war preparations and preposterous so that if revolution is not able to prevent a war it will be in a position to turn an anti-imperialist war into a war against imperialism, against the military. It is time to end all wars.

5) Not only is the development of the objective situation creating more fertile ground for revolutionary struggle in various countries, but there are actually growing revolutionary struggles in some parts of the world and already within the last few years revolutionary movements in such places as Iran, Nicaragua and elsewhere have been thoroughly strengthened by mass revolutionary struggle. While, as yet, none of these struggles have advanced to the stage of actually achieving the dictatorship of the proletariat, they clearly indicate the potential for such a development.

6) The need to carry out a thorough discussion and summation of the experience of the anti-colonial (or neo-colonial) and imperialist movements and their political leaders and politicians is necessary, in particular, to achieve a deeper understanding of revolution so as to better combat its pernicious influence and to continue and deepen the struggle against it even in our own ranks.

7) The urgent need for the ideological, political and organizational unity of the genuine Marxist-Leninists throughout the world. Such unity will only come about through bitter ideological and political struggle against opportunism; no good will come from trying to hide or obscure differences, still less by treating major questions of demarcation as irrelevant or mere topics for sterile academic debate.

8) The need to secure its active struggle in the last line of the anti-colonial revolution and the political leadership of the whole of the international communist movement, the proletarian revolution and the working class of the world. It is the work of both Parties that the class consciousness of the international working class is deepened and the dangers are menacing, the possibilities for revolution in each respective country and in the world as a whole, are greater still. With this perspective both of our Parties pledge to fight for the full capacity for the defence of Marxism-Leninism and the contributions of Mao Zedong, for the victory of the revolution and the advance to communism throughout the world.

July 1980

Signature
Central Committee,
Revolutionary Communist Party of Chile
Central Committee,
Revolutionary Communist Party, USA

NEW PAMPHLET AVAILABLE

This pamphlet has been written to arm millions now awakening to political life with this science of revolution. It is a reprint of a series of articles which appeared recently in the pages of the Revolutionary Worker newspaper, a paper which summed up and carried on the introductory work of an important book to be published soon, The Science of Revolution (RCP Publications).

This book will very sharply and thoroughly explain the fundamentals of Marxism-Leninism, Mao Zedong Thought and the line of the Revolutionary Communist Party, USA. This pamphlet serves as a very basic introduction to this profound body of thought written up in the book. As Lenin said, "Without revolutionary theory, there can be no revolutionary movement!" The difference is between fighting blindly or fighting consciously with your head. This pamphlet is a handout of struggle and is written to show the main ideas that one must know.
Lenin on War and the Arming of the Proletariat

The following paragraphs are excerpted from a (missing reference)

... An oppressed class which does not strive to learn to use arms, to acquire arms, only deserves to be treated like slaves. We cannot, unless we have become bourgeois pacifists or opportunists, forget that we are living in a class society from which there is no way out, nor can there be, save through the class struggle and the overthrow of the power of the ruling class.

In every class society, whether based on slavery, serfdom, or "fice it to recall that in all capitalist countries without exception troops (including the republican-democratic militia) are used against strikers. A bourgeoisie armed against the proletariat is one of the biggest, fundamental and cardinal facts of modern capitalist society. And in face of this fact, revolutionary Social-Democrats (communists—R) are urged to "demand" "disarmament." That is tantamount to complete abandonment of the class-struggle point of view, to renunciation of all thought of revolution. Our slogan must be arming of the proletariat to defeat, extricate and disarm the bourgeoisie. These are the only tactics possible for a revolutionary class, tactics that follow logically from, and are dictated by, the whole objective development of capitalist imperialism. Only after the proletariat has disarmed the bourgeoisie will it be able, without betraying its world-historic mission, to consign all armaments to the scrap-heap. And the proletariat will undoubtedly do this, but only when this condition has been fulfilled, certainly not before.

If the present war arises among the reactionary Christian socialists, among the whimpering pacifists, among the bourgeoisie, only horror and fright, only aversion to all use of arms, to bloodshed, death, etc., then we must say, Capitalist society is, and has always been, horror without end. And if this monstrous retraction of all wars is now preparing for that society an end in horror, we have no reason to fear an end in despair. But the disarmament "demand," or more correctly, the dream of disarmament, is, objectively, nothing but an expression of despair in a time when it is evident that only the bourgeoisie itself is paving the way for the only legitimate and revolutionary war—civil war against the imperialist bourgeoisie.

A lifeless theory, some might say, but we would remind them of two world-historical facts: the role of the trusts and the employment of women in industry, on the one hand, and the Paris Commune of 1871 and the December 1905 uprising in Russia on the other.

The bourgeoisie makes its business to promote man-made disasters and to radicalise the proletariat. If it follows orders, it demands, it desires and achieves the disarming of the proletariat. The bourgeoisie is duping the masses by disguising imperialist war as "peace." The bourgeoisie makes it its business to promote man-made disasters and to radicalise the proletariat. If we fight? We explain that trusts and the trusts, drive women and children into the factories, subject them to corruption and suffering, condemn them to extreme poverty. We do not "demand" such development, we do not "support" it. We fight it. But how do we fight? We explain that trusts and the employment of women in industry are progressive. We do not want a return to the situation of the trusts and the employment of women in industry. We fight them.

The bourgeoisie is duping the masses by disguising imperialist war as "peace." The bourgeoisie makes it its business to promote man-made disasters and to radicalise the proletariat. If we fight? We explain that trusts and trust that the trusts are trusts and the trusts. Only by cursing all war and war and everything military, only by demanding disarmament? The women of an oppressed and really revolutionary class will never accept that shameful role. They will say to the sons: be prepared to go on the offensive. Women will be ready to fight, to work for the war, for the revolution, for the world revolution.

... The bourgeoisie is duping the masses by disguising imperialist war as "peace." The bourgeoisie makes it its business to promote man-made disasters and to radicalise the proletariat. If we fight? We explain that trusts and the trusts, drive women and children into the factories, subject them to corruption and suffering, condemn them to extreme poverty. We do not "demand" such development, we do not "support" it. We fight it. But how do we fight? We explain that trusts and the employment of women in industry are progressive. We do not want a return to the situation of the trusts and the employment of women in industry. We fight them.

The bourgeoisie makes its business to promote man-made disasters and to radicalise the proletariat. If we fight? We explain that trusts and trust that the trusts are trusts and the trusts. Only by cursing all war and war and everything military, only by demanding disarmament? The women of an oppressed and really revolutionary class will never accept that shameful role. They will say to the sons: be prepared to go on the offensive. Women will be ready to fight, to work for the war, for the revolution, for the world revolution.

The whole of social life is now being militarised. Imperialism is a fierce struggle of the Great Powers for the division and redivision of the world. It is therefore bound to lead to further militarisation in all countries, even in neutral and small ones. How will proletarian women oppose this? Only by cursing all war and everything military, only by demanding disarmament? The women of an oppressed and really revolutionary class will never accept that shameful role. They will say to the sons: be prepared to go on the offensive. Women will be ready to fight, to work for the war, for the revolution, for the world revolution.

The bourgeoisie is duping the masses by disguising imperialist war as "peace." The bourgeoisie makes it its business to promote man-made disasters and to radicalise the proletariat. If we fight? We explain that trusts and the trusts, drive women and children into the factories, subject them to corruption and suffering, condemn them to extreme poverty. We do not "demand" such development, we do not "support" it. We fight it. But how do we fight? We explain that trusts and the employment of women in industry are progressive. We do not want a return to the situation of the trusts and the employment of women in industry. We fight them.

The bourgeoisie makes its business to promote man-made disasters and to radicalise the proletariat. If we fight? We explain that trusts and trust that the trusts are trusts and the trusts. Only by cursing all war and war and everything military, only by demanding disarmament? The women of an oppressed and really revolutionary class will never accept that shameful role. They will say to the sons: be prepared to go on the offensive. Women will be ready to fight, to work for the war, for the revolution, for the world revolution.

The whole of social life is now being militarised. Imperialism is a fierce struggle of the Great Powers for the division and redivision of the world. It is therefore bound to lead to further militarisation in all countries, even in neutral and small ones. How will proletarian women oppose this? Only by cursing all war and everything military, only by demanding disarmament? The women of an oppressed and really revolutionary class will never accept that shameful role. They will say to the sons: be prepared to go on the offensive. Women will be ready to fight, to work for the war, for the revolution, for the world revolution.

... The bourgeoisie is duping the masses by disguising imperialist war as "peace." The bourgeoisie makes it its business to promote man-made disasters and to radicalise the proletariat. If we fight? We explain that trusts and the trusts, drive women and children into the factories, subject them to corruption and suffering, condemn them to extreme poverty. We do not "demand" such development, we do not "support" it. We fight it. But how do we fight? We explain that trusts and the employment of women in industry are progressive. We do not want a return to the situation of the trusts and the employment of women in industry. We fight them.

The bourgeoisie makes its business to promote man-made disasters and to radicalise the proletariat. If we fight? We explain that trusts and trust that the trusts are trusts and the trusts. Only by cursing all war and war and everything military, only by demanding disarmament? The women of an oppressed and really revolutionary class will never accept that shameful role. They will say to the sons: be prepared to go on the offensive. Women will be ready to fight, to work for the war, for the revolution, for the world revolution.

... The bourgeoisie is duping the masses by disguising imperialist war as "peace." The bourgeoisie makes it its business to promote man-made disasters and to radicalise the proletariat. If we fight? We explain that trusts and the trusts, drive women and children into the factories, subject them to corruption and suffering, condemn them to extreme poverty. We do not "demand" such development, we do not "support" it. We fight it. But how do we fight? We explain that trusts and the employment of women in industry are progressive. We do not want a return to the situation of the trusts and the employment of women in industry. We fight them.

The bourgeoisie makes its business to promote man-made disasters and to radicalise the proletariat. If we fight? We explain that trusts and trust that the trusts are trusts and the trusts. Only by cursing all war and war and everything military, only by demanding disarmament? The women of an oppressed and really revolutionary class will never accept that shameful role. They will say to the sons: be prepared to go on the offensive. Women will be ready to fight, to work for the war, for the revolution, for the world revolution.
Painting by well-known Russian painter S. Karpov shows workers in a factory distributing arms among themselves during the 1917 revolution.

had in mind, not war in general, but precisely the present war and spoke, not of "defence of the fatherland," but of "hastening the downfall of capitalism," of utilizing the war-created crisis for this purpose, and of the example provided by the Paris Commune. The latter was an instance of a war of nations being turned into a civil war.

Of course, such a conversation is an easy matter and cannot be accomplished at the whim of one party or another. That conversation, however, is inherent in the objective conditions of capitalism in general, and of the period of the end of capitalism in particular. It is in that direction, and that direction alone, that socialists must conduct their activities. It is not their business to vote for war credits or to encourage chauvinism in their "own" country (and allied countries), but primarily to strive against the chauvinism of their "own" bourgeoisie, without confining themselves to legal forms of struggle when the crisis has matured and the bourgeoisie has itself taken away the legality it has created. Such is the line of action that leads to civil war, and will bring about civil war at one moment or another of the European confrontation.

Appeal on the War

Worker Comrades:

The European war has been in progress for over a year. All things considered, it will last for a long time, because, while Germany is best prepared and at present the strongest, the Quadruple Entente (Russia, Britain, France, and Italy) has more men and money, and besides, freely gets war material from the United States of America, the world's richest country.

What is this war being fought for, which is being marketed unparalleled suffering? The government and the bourgeoisie of each belligerent country are squandering millions of rubles on books and newspapers so as to lay the blame on the foe, arouse the people's furious hatred of the enemy, and stop at nothing so as to depict themselves as the side that has been unjustly attacked and is now "defending" itself. In reality, this is a war between two groups of predatory Great Powers, and it is being fought for the partitioning of colonies, the enslavement of other nations, and advantages and privileges of the world market. This is a most reactionary war, a war of modern slave-holders aimed at preserving and consolidating capitalist slavery. Britain and France are lying when they assert that they are fighting for Belgium's freedom. In reality, they have long been preparing the war, and are waging it with the purpose of robbing Germany and stripping her of her colonies; they have signed a treaty with Italy and Russia on the pillage and carving up of Turkey and Austria. The tsarist monarchy in Russia is waging a predatory war aimed at seizing Galicia, taking territory away from Turkey, enlisting Persia, Mongolia, etc. Germany is waging war with the purpose of grabbing British, Belgian, and French colonies. Whether Germany or Russia wins, or whether there is a "draw," the war will bring humanity fresh oppression of hundreds and hundreds of millions of people in the colonies, in Persia, Turkey and China, a fresh enslavement of nations, and new chains for the working class of all countries.

What are the tasks of the working class with regard to this war? The answer to this question is provided in a resolution unanimously adopted by the socialists of the whole world, at the Basle International Socialist Congress of 1912. This resolution was adopted in anticipation of a war of the very kind as started in 1914.

This resolution says that the war is reactionary, that it is being prepared in the interests of "capitalist profits," that the workers consider it "a crime to shoot each other down," that the war will lead to "a proletarian revolution," that an example for the workers' tactics was set by the Paris Commune of 1871, and by October-December 1905, in Russia, i.e., by a revolution.

All class-conscious workers in Russia are on the side of the Russian Social-Democratic Labour group in the Duma, whose members (Petrovsky, Badayev, Muranov, Samoilov, and Shagov) have been expelled by the Tsar to Siberia for revolutionary propaganda against the war and against the government. Revolutionary Social-Democratic labour in Russia is also represented by the Social-Democratic Labour group in the Duma "here and now." In Germany, calls against the war and against the government are being published legally. Tens and hundreds of the finest socialists of Germany, including Clara Zetkin, the well-known representative of the women's labour movement, have been thrown into prison by the German Government for propaganda in a revolutionary spirit. In all the belligerent countries without exception, indignation is mounting in the working masses, and the example of revolutionary activities set by the Social-Democrats of Russia, and even more so in any success of the revolution in Russia, will not fail to advance the great cause of socialism, of the victory of the proletariat over the blood-stained bourgeois exploiters.

The war is filling the pockets of the capitalists, into whose pockets gold is pouring from the treasuries of the Great Powers. The war is provoking a blind bitterness against the enemy, the bourgeoisie doing its best to direct the indignation of the people into such channels, divert their attention from the chief enemy—the government and the ruling classes of their own country. However, the war which brings in its train endless misery and suffering for the toiling masses, enlightens and steels the revolutionary workers, a nd feels the finest representatives of the working class. If perish we must, let us perish in the struggle for our own cause, for the cause of the workers, for the socialist revolution, and not for the interests of the capitalists, the landowners, and so-called "progressives"—this is what every class-conscious worker feels, and feels. Revolutionary Social-Democratic work may be difficult at present, but it is possible. It is advancing throughout the world, and in this alone lies salvation. Down with the tsarist monarchy, which has drawn Russia into a criminal war, and which opposes the peoples! Long live the world brotherhood of the workers, and the international revolution of the proletariat!
The International Union of the Proletariat: What it is and How to fight for it
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limited intelligence who want to stir everyone into one-nonsense brew, which, the moment it is left to itself, throws up the differences again but in much sharper contrast... or else they are people who understand what Mullberger, for instance, or consciously want to adulterate the movement. For this reason the biggest sectarian and the biggest brawlers are often the same with us. Nobody will ever be as troublesome to the people who have been their lifetime given us more trouble and been more treacherous than the buffers for unity.

A party proves itself venomous by sulking and being able to stand the spilt. The movement of the proletarian necessarily passes through different stages of development. Sometimes the people get stuck and do not join in the further advance; and this alone explains why it is that actually the "solidarity of the proletariat" is everywhere being realized in different party groupings, which carry on life-and-death fight and which, as Christian sects in the Roman Empire did, amidst the worst persecutions.

Henry Kissinger was to be Secretary of State and then vice President and to saw to it that America would be a great world power. He was to be the leader of the world and all would be well. But it was not to be. The United States was to be defeated in Vietnam and then in the Middle East.
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In the article "Inside the Revolutionary War in Kurdistan," on page 4, the last sentence of the first paragraph should read: The first international, which lasted from 1848 to 1872, served to propagate revolutionary Marxism across Europe. It never achieved hegemony within it.

In the article "The Socialist International," on page 21, the last sentence of the first paragraph should read: Under these conditions, the projects of revolutionaries became more difficult for them to demagogically claim that the Kurds and the revolutionary movements in the remaining countries, which had to be suppressed.
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An interesting and important article on the current political situation in the United States and the role of the G.O.P. in it.

Henry Kissinger was to be Secretary of State and Alan Greenspan was to be the head of the Treasury Department. But these were not to be. The United States was to be defeated in Vietnam and then in the Middle East.
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In the article "The Socialist International," on page 21, the last sentence of the first paragraph should read: Under these conditions, the projects of revolutionaries became more difficult for them to demagogically claim that the Kurds and the revolutionary movements in the remaining countries, which had to be suppressed.
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in the kind of crisis, the growing depression, the war, and what you might call the future for us, and which in fact under this system is the only future for us.

The System's Laws

Now some people say, "I'm talking about something terrible here and that's true. I could stand up and I could say, if we go out and vote, if we get in the right man, especially if we get in the right man, we're not unemployed won't be so bad. Housing will be better. Education will be better. Health care won't be so bad. Maybe we can stop this thing, try to get some kind of another war. Discrimination will come to an end. All these crises will be put right. You can even point to another lion as a politician wasting your time. Because none of that is true. Because this system operates according to certain rules, and those rules mean that these things are going to happen and get worse until we rise up and act in an all-around way and eventually overthrow it.

If you went to a doctor and you had stomach trouble, and the doctor ran some tests on you, you would find out that you had a cancerous tumor growing in your stomach, and you'd want to go talk to your kid to the doctor and the kid was young, and the doctor analyzed it and said if we cut this tumor out, he said, "It's going to be worse. They're going to make it worse. They're going to make it worse and put you in a weaker position.

And so some people say, "Well, what's going on?" And the answer is that you've got to talk about how they operate in all these situations. And that's what we've got to do.
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led demonstrations and they went to the Capitol steps and met with the Capitol police. The police charged down the steps, and said, "The next time we fight, it’s gonna be to take these steps." And that’s the problem that they’re going to have to deal with. It’s not enough to be talking about sending arms to young kids, go kill your own neighbors, go kill your own mother, your own sister, your own brother, and as our movement grows and we’re starting and build our strength more, and our movement grows, and the more people we can work correctly with those people, we’re going to win them over. It’s gonna be a long, hard process, and say go 10,000 miles away in one of our little khaki uniforms and go kill other people with this. We can’t do that. We can’t do that. People say, "How can we learn where to point it and how to use it in the correct way. But the point that we can do is that we can’t do that.

If we ran outside is do it now we’d be foolish and setting ourselves up to get smashed. The point we’re at now is building up our organization, our strength against them. And that brings us back to the elections. This system is a great and as people are forced to fight back against raising that banner of our class and put it forward and get a scientific analysis of how this system works and why it can’t provide any future for us and can only provide more contradictions, more and more people are going to come forward. It’s like a dam, this system is a dam holding back and holding society back, and right now the water’s up in the dam and water is shooting through there and there, but you know what happens when a dam gives? It goes to go with a tremendous force and power. And that’s what we’re building up for. And that’s what we have in our eyes and our sights.

And this is the way we have to take it and build around this election.

But it’s not just a question of being passive, or apathetic, it’s a question of getting out, doing something, being a part of a stand, making a clear political statement that can begin to shake the system. Let them be less. Let them be less. And let them be less, and they’re going to be trying to cover everything up because they know they can’t fully understand, that they have no future. That all they can offer is more and more evils. But for us, we have no reason to despair, we have no reason to fear—our struggle is going to be long, it’s going to be complicated, it’s going to have many fronts, and there’s going to be twists and turns, but the future belongs to us. And it’s a future that no sister is going to give us, politician or otherwise. It’s a future that we’re going to take and forge with our own hands through our own struggle, and tomorrow, election day, can be an important part of that.