New Stage in Bob Avakian Case

Gov't. Forced To Retreat, Maneuver

On Wednesday, November 14, Judge Carlisle Pratt, presiding in the case of Bob Avakian and the 16 other Mao Tse-tung Defendants, signed an order which dismissed the 25 felony/misdemeanor count indictment against all 17 of these defendants. Before the ink he used to sign his name was even dry (in fact, before the order was even filed with the court), Judge Pratt was extending all the cooperation he could to the U.S. Attorney's office in their plan to file an immediate appeal to this order before the District of Columbia Court of Appeals.

The legal grounds for this series of moves was the judge's ruling on the question of "prosecutorial vindictiveness." The defense had charged months ago that the piling up of charges to 25 felonies and one misdemeanor was "vindictive," and illegal. The judge had ordered the prosecution to cut some indictments to sharpen and focus its case and remove some of the blatant
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Amidst the twists and turns of the U.S. crisis over Iran two facts stand out. The first is that the U.S. is still number one when it comes to dirty deeds and treachery. The second is that the Iranian people's desire for independence and democracy will never be satisfied until U.S. imperialism is driven completely from Iran.

All week the U.S. press kept up the din about "saving American Hostages." Only liars like our government and the press, or very naive people believe that. One hostage, a twenty-year-old Marine who is apparently naive himself, brought this to light in a tape: "I don't think it is up to Carter to decide what's more important—American people who are here or the Shah... I think that he should put us first." But that's the whole point. The U.S. concern is not "American lives"—that's just good for public consumption. All along the U.S.' single aim has been to advance its imperialist interests in Iran and worldwide—and that means the Shah comes first.

Of course, when the Shah was in power in Iran, they didn't hesitate to blame him for "going against the U.S." and raising oil prices. The current contradictory behaviour shows that they were liars then, too, the Shah was a loyal U.S. servant. The only thing consistent between then and now is the constant effort to build up public opinion in support of U.S. imperialism. Last week the U.S. was still pretending that their invitation to the Shah to come to the U.S. was purely humanitarian. That was before the Iranian students occupying the U.S. Embassy...
“Official Guns” Turned on Greensboro Struggle

Greenboro, North Carolina. On November 15, another of the murdering Klansmen responsible for the massacre of 5 anti-Klan demonstrators in Greensboro, North Carolina turned himself in. He had obviously gotten the message which the capitalist class and their prostitute press has been working overtime to send as the most successful twist of the truth of what happened in Greensboro, and who is responsible. Back on set Tuesday, November 1st Klansmen and Nazi jumped the males from $10,000 to $15,000, and with every reactionary organization jumping on the bandwagon these dogs will soon be out.

In the two weeks since the cold-blooded murder was committed between the Nazi/Klan gang and the cops to millions who witnessed on national TV the “humday” arrival of police in full riot gear from around the corner moment after the fascists had done their dirty work, the bourgeoisie has been working to reverse the guilty verdict and protect their murderous pup-

pets. Their press has been filled with “personal interest” stories, interviews, speeches, statements of Klansmen about the plight of their “poor white families.” Rumors from unidentified police sources claim the Klansmen about the plight of their hired thugs in blue as the real Klansmen. About the case of the “suspicious” were stopped and ed “suspicious” were stopped and it directly into a vehicle tank. It was il legal for people to “cluster” in the neighborhoods. And as a last ditch ef it was pouring rain and freezing cold. It was a heated battle over a permit to prevent anyone from disrupting the demonstration. Against all this and in the spirit of one young Black woman who said, “When I left my mom was crying, but I’ve intrived too many impo-...
Editors

U.S. IMPERIALISM IS GOING TO HELL!

Where Are YOU Going?

Events of these past weeks in this country have been uncannily similar to the times we all grew up in—time after time, the shots are whipped-out, stomach turning show of reactionary American flag waving around Iran. "No more ships for the penguins" said Reagan, and they have their main show in the form of hypocrisy, public whipping and all. The shock attack against their enemy, the Chinese revisionist, is a repeat of the humiliation of the ruling class establishment. And this would be a major event in the history of the Chinese party.

The Chinese revisionist is headed down. You can fight to try to keep on top and many will—but in fact there is less chance of achieving this sinking goal than ever before. It is in the process of overthrowing this capitalist system and making revolution.

The rulers of this country recognize their crisis—and in the process they manage to cover up their failure. The most critical current event reflects this—it’s a brainwash to brace us for the hell they intend to put us through.

"The Horror"

We don’t get World War 2 type movies much any more. This reflects the changed position of the U.S. being top dog means you can’t pull what they pulled in the last two world wars—sitting back while others did the main fighting and dying and then swooping in to grab the spoils. No, the U.S. is on the front lines all over the world today. That’s clear from Vietnam to Iran to Western Europe. And they are facing a powerful enemy—one that they may not understand, and now capital and new Chinese rulers’ silence on Soviet revisionism, the total betrayal of revolutionary Marxism, of the Com

Chinese Revisionists to Revise Verdict on Soviets

The Chinese Communist Party has circulated an important document to officials that concludes that the Soviet Party is no longer the "class enemy" and thus a "revisionist, according to knowledgeable Chinese sources.

The document, appearing November 10 article in the New York Times. It is true, this develop-

The likely truth behind the Times ar-

Of course, there has been little or no criticism in the Chinese press of the revi-

Continued on page 16
Message from Ceylon Communist Party

Protest to U.S. Ambassador

His Excellency,
The Ambassador for the U.S.A.
Colombo.

Dear Sir,

I have been requested by the Central Committee of our Party to ask you to convey to the President and the Government of the United States of America our very strong protest against the persecution being waged against Comrade Avakian, Chairman of the Central Committee of the Revolutionary Communist Party of the U.S.A. and of his colleagues for having demonstrated last January against the visit of Teng Hsiao-ping to the U.S.A.

Not only is it the right to demonstrate a fundamental right in any society that claims to be democratic, but the visit of Teng Hsiao-ping to the U.S.A. and its purpose was such a reversal of the revolutionary policies followed by China, under the late Chairman Mao Tsetung, and against the interests of the international revolutionary movement that the comrades of the Revolutionary Communist Party of the U.S.A. had every right to express their protest by demonstrating against Teng Hsiao-ping.

We strongly protest against the persecution of these comrades who are facing charges which carry potential prison sentences of over 200 years for each defendant; and demand that you drop these charges against all these comrades.

Yours faithfully,
N. Sanmugathasan
General Secretary.

Message from Marxist-Leninist Organization of Canada IN STRUGGLE!

MESSAGE OF SOLIDARITY TO THE COMRADES OF THE REVOLUTIONARY COMMUNIST PARTY, USA, ON THE OCCASION OF THE HEARINGS THEY ARE SUBJECT TO, FOLLOWING THEIR PROTEST AGAINST TENG HSHAO-PING'S VISIT TO THE U.S.A., IN JANUARY 1979.

November 1979

Dear Comrades:

First of all, allow us to extend our warm and fraternal greetings to all the comrades of the RCP, U.S.A.

We have witnessed the recent developments of the striking power of the U.S. imperialists against the communists and progressives in your country. U.S. imperialism has unleashed a wild attack against your Party: more than 200 arrests of militants, including comrade Bob Avakian, Chairman of the Central Committee of the RCP. These comrades face more than 200 years in jail.

This attack is launched at the time when the denunciation of their reactionary policies, and to smash the Marxist-Leninist movement itself, once and for all.

In Canada, our Organization faces not only the Canadian imperialist bourgeoisie, but also its allies, mainly U.S. imperialism. In fact, and in spite of their temporary divisions, these two friends work hand in hand to deteriorate the living and working conditions of the people, to intensify the attacks against the communist movement, which has increasing influence in the masses.

With the deepening world crisis of capitalism, repressive means have become the everyday response of the reactionaries to the masses’ protest movements. In many countries, in Latin America, in Iran for example, the people have broken their chains. This indicates the need to show not only the defensive aspect of the struggle against repression, but also its offensive aspect. Indeed, the struggle against repression must not be limited to the organization of the defense of communists and progressives. It must also be a means of building the camp of the people, to unify the forces of proletarian revolution, those who will build the socialist society.

The Marxist-Leninist Organization of Canada in Struggle! strongly denounces the general repression exerted on the working class at every level. In this spirit, we firmly support your struggle against the repression of the communist and progressive forces in the United States. We are deeply convinced that all these trials, hearings, are for the communists an important occasion to take the offensive, using this tribune to oppose and reverse the propaganda against them.

The demonstrations held in January 1979 against the Chinese revisionists were denunciations of their reactionary line and of the restoration of capitalism in China. We must push this work further and mobilize our forces. Our Organization will continue this work by denouncing the repression against you, and by calling for support around your struggle. Our international solidarity will not be limited to this. It is comprised concretely in the more general struggle to rebuild the political and organizational unity of the Marxist-Leninists worldwide. We are convinced that this is an essential condition for a consistent struggle against repression, even today; but above all, it is a condition to the development of the struggle for the communist society.

Our Organization will thus continue its support to the RCP and to all anti-revisionist revolutionaries, everywhere, and all those firmly engaged in the building of the camp of the proletariat in their country and internationally.

In struggle against political repression!
In struggle against all imperialists and all forms of revisionism!
Long live proletarian communist internationalism!

Communist and fraternal greetings.
The Marxist-Leninist Organization of Canada IN STRUGGLE!

November 1979

P.S. Enclosed is the amount of $250 as a modest contribution on our part for the defense of the comrades. Moreover, we will call upon our readers to give financial support and to send you their contributions directly.

N. Sanmugathasan
General Secretary.

This System Is Doomed Let's Finish It Off!


"I've heard them all — I've even heard Malcolm X — but I've never heard anything like this.

-A Black worker from Detroit
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"It's hell one story of a story class and at its finest!"
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Vietnam Vets Stand with Iranian People

Veterans Day, 1979. It was a beautiful moment, coming on the heels of an 8-day week of flag waving, anti-Iranian hysteria when 30 seconds of truth finally hit the evening news. Members of Vietnam Veterans Against the War and revolutionary youth seized the Washington Monument.

On Monday evening for over an hour this memorial to reaction became a beacon of international solidarity between working people of all nations as the Vets barricaded themselves in the observation area. From the windows, five hundred feet up, they unfurled a banner reading, "Keep Your Bloody Hands Off Iran! The Shah Must Face the Wrath of the Iranian People!"

In a defiant act of solidarity with the Iranian people, the U.S. rulers were called to account.

Park officials were desperate to silence this bold challenge to the U.S. ruling class' propaganda blitz. Dozens of cops came pouring into the vicinity. When the Vets unfurled their banner, officials cut the lights to be sure no one would be able to read its message. This was something that Jimmy Carter, sitting in his Oval Office with the monster in front of him, could not have missed.

When the Vets dropped leaves from the monument's windows, police commence off the area, refusing to let the press or other spectators get near copies of the statement.

Police were forced to climb to the thousands of steps to get to the top of the monument. Then halting and putting, they stormed the Vets' barricades, smashing heads into the granite walls on top. They arrested 12 people, members of VVAW and volunteers in the campaign to Stop the Railroad of

Bob Avakian.

But this was not enough. Stunned by this action, federal officials cooked up the charge of "destruction of federal property," a felony, and demanded $7,000 ransom for the release of seven of the twelve arrested. The cops knew they were forced to release them on personal recognizance.

News of this action was broadcast on Iran Radio, making it clear to the Iranian people that they have a powerful ally in the class conscious workers in this country, especially those who have had to fight the imperialists' wars, and are working here to bring down their bloody rule.

In the San Francisco Bay Area the parades were not only marked by a feeble turnout, the ceremonies themselves didn't turn out as the organizers had planned, either. In fact, most of the bourgeois press refused to even report on the Bay Area's official ceremony despite the fact that in Albany, a small middle-class town across the Bay, where VVAW literally ran circles around the march. As in the past years, once again they allowed VVAW into the official march. And march they did, right up to the reviewing stand, where one VVAW activist ripped out the American flag, threw it on the ground and stomped all over it. While the march commandants stood there lighthearted and purple-faced, one brother wiped his shoes with the red, white and blue, and told spectators just what that bloody flag really stood for, and why people in Iran and around the world take delight in burning it.

Along the route, many people showed their support for the revolutionary veterans by raising their fists and applauding. They far outnumbered the handful of rabid reactionaries who were waving their little American flags at the vets and shouting "Go home! Go home! Go back to Russia!"

One young man in a wheelchair joined the VVAW contingent and went the length of the march, handing out VVAW leaflets to spectators. He said, "I was disabled before I was eligible for the draft. Otherwise, I'd have been drafted just like everyone else in 1968. My best friend was killed in Vietnam."

After VVAW had finished blowing the cover off the imperialist's pitiful chauvinist parade, they went back to the Revolutionary Workers Center to sum up the day. An older Black veteran who had marched with VVAW for the first time stood up to have his say. Yes, he had some flak about going out there so boldly at first, but now he was damn glad he had been part of the VVAW contingent. "We really delivered a big blow. Those kids (all the youth in the parade as well as those watching), when they go home they're gonna ask their parents Who were those vets, and what were they saying about World War 3, and why were they out there quoting 'Frag the Flag'?"

Cleveland

VVAW marched in with a banner reading, "In Iran and around the world the flames of revolution are spreading." Nothing like this had ever happened before at a Veterans' Day in Cleveland. The flag-waving patriots, members of the American Legion and Veterans of Foreign Wars, pitched a fit. How dare these revolutionary vets disrupt their patriotic breasting services?

Chicago

In Chicago, VVAW held a press conference on Veterans' Day demanding that the U.S. keep its bloody hands off Iran and that the imperialists send the Shah back to Iran to face a public trial and be executed.

44 Arrested in Chester March

Chester, S.C.—The fourth march against the Vietnam War in the city of Chester, S.C., which got underway this week, was the largest drawing a crowd of more than 2,000 people.

McClintock Poag, the son of Chester's late mayor, John Poag, led this week's march. He was joined by a number of local activists, including members of the SCLC field organizer and his group "The Red Cup" who were arrested during a protest in the city earlier this month.

The march began at the main post office and proceeded to the City Hall, where the demonstrators were greeted by a large crowd of police officers.

A police car was parked in front of the post office and a number of arrests were made.

One of the arrested protesters was Mrs. Patricia Poag, the mother of the murdered youth, and her fourteen-year-old daughter. These cops picked up people of all ages, even an eight-year-old child, also arrested the only two white people in the march.

The crowd was herded onto a waiting State Department of Corrections bus to be coerced at the local National Guard armory, the State Law Enforcement Division (SLED) and the local FBI photographed each one separately. Many of the outraged demonstrators refused to give the authorities their addresses in response to this blatant intimidation.

Groups of Blacks and whites began lining up on the streets to check out the arrests and the demonstrators started chanting against the cops—who stood side by side with their riot clubs ready. One woman on the march who was not allowed in the RM, "Those cops came over and rounded up people just like they used to do the slaves. Then they took them out to the armory behind the fence in the rain, knee-deep in mud. Worse than they'd treat a dog. When it got dark they put the women inside a bus and let the men just stay out in the rain."

As the bus of arrested demonstrators pulled away, the other marchers continued on—only they changed their destination to the law enforcement center—in a firm statement that this battle will continue.

This new attack by the police has come on the heels of a two-week-long anti-communist barrage in the local papers. From editorials to articles to political advertisements, the ruling class is screaming about how terrible it is that "outside agitators," especially the communists, are messing with their peaceful little lynching town. The city council publicly shuddered that the demonstration might clash with their Veterans' Day services and "endanger" life, liberty and property in the city. It is clear that one city ruler and his henchmen are alarmed at the determination of the people of Chester to carry forward their struggle. And they have pulled every tactic to try and crush the people. This latest anti-communist barrage is only one more way to try to cover up that it is their class which is responsible for such "liberties" as the lynching of a young Black man who defied their slave traditions and dared to date a white girl.

And when the people refuse to buckle under, or fall for their line, they bring out their armed pigs to further display their concern for "life and liberty" and the American way of life.
Iranian Defendant's Internationalist Stand

Continued from page 4

appearance of vindictiveness. The prosecution, having gained the opportunity to dismiss and the prosecution's appeal—the question has moved to a higher level of discussion. The move involves nothing but politics.

The military tactic in this case represents a major tactical retreat on the part of the U.S. government. In the Servlet Armed Forces of the United States, we have let go of their tactic of pursuing non-stop war against Bob Avakian and the other defendants. On the other hand, this retreat is aimed at sending the message to the people that they cannot take up the fight to free their people and free the government's ass.

And beyond this, the capitalists could move against Bob Avakian "out of court" and might be facing a direct embarrassment through a political trial. Ten years ago, Black Panther leader, Fred Hampton, was shot by the cops while a case of his was on appeal. The government had some heavy political necessity on their minds when they made their retreat. The pre-trial hearings had not been very revealing; the imperialists had already the political defense had had a major blow itself internationally. Months earlier the Party had determined that this case could only be won by taking it directly to the people, and a lot had been accomplished already:

* A nationwide speaking tour by Bob Avakian had drawn thousands, particularly among the workers and oppressed. He had been in a mass meeting every day, and had talked directly to the Chairman's revolutionary message.

* People like anti-war priest Philip Berrigan, former Secretary of State, Henry Kissinger, and revolutionary poet and musician, Scott Heron and many more, including ground troops and soldiers who had signed a statement of support to appear in the Washington Post at the opening of court.

* Messages of support had come in from revolutionary parties and organizations around the world. Whether it was a statement in the Revolutionary Worker from communist Iran, a support to the U.S. Ambassador in Ceylon, they definitely got the message.

From the nature of the court battle up to now, the government also knew that their arena—the balled halls of the courtroom—would also become a political battleground. The hand of high government in the attack on the January 29 demonstration was bound to be exposed. Some of their agents and informers were going to have to face and this loss had to be weighed against the influence it would have in the long run.

And finally the odor of political persecution in a U.S. court would have again been exposed around the world and the world.

* People they are searching for new ways to attack, to continue what they started early this year. Orders to attack the January 29 demonstration again by Hua-ping clearly came from on high— from the Oval Office on Pennsylvania Avenue, in consultation with FBI officials who have been pressured people in this country. These are people from the RCP in-the face of this attack, to rally many workers and others to stand with the RCP and against the move against Bob Avakian. Theodge of Mao Tsetung in the streets of Washington, D.C., that could isolate the revolutionary Party and the broad masses of workers and other oppressed people around the world.

The imperialists viewed the fact that they had Bob Avakian and dozens of other revolutionaries in the clutches of their rule as a golden opportunity. They grabbed the chance to try to strike down revolutionary leader Bob Avakian and the RCP under surveillance for more than 10 years. After the police attack on the march, when 78 had been arrested, including Bob Avakian, the machinery of the bourgeois state moved fast to strike what they hoped would be a death blow to this revolutionary organization and its leadership. Within hours of the attack charges were upped from a misdemeanor to a felony, and within months, charges were upped from a misdemeanor to a felony, and within months, one of the most powerful musicians to be exposed. Some of their agents and informers were going to have to face and this loss had to be weighed against the influence it would have in the long run.

* The article goes on to talk about the situation in which Avakian had presented a broad-based critique of Western capitalism, and then continued.

**Continued on page 7**
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Since the ebbing of the revolutionary movements of the 1960s and before the rise of the 1980s had struck their system in full force, the imperialists believed that the Revolutionary Communist Party was in fact today the isolated “sect” they always tried to link to the U.S. to rally to the imperialists, they counted on the inability of the revolutionary leadership that openly declares its plan to lead millions to overthrow the imperialist rule, to unite many thousands now to oppose this government attack.

In a period in which millions are not yet actively involved in political struggle, the עצות that they feared to attract were being suppressed, demoralized, or confused in the wake of the decade of the ‘70s, and the events of the decade before us are not yet clearly to many—our rulers did not believe the RCP could unite broadly, both with those who had not fought the 1960s, and look with anticipation to the 1980s, and with the forces that the new upsurges of struggle developing today are bringing to the fore. They failed to take into account the flammable combustion that takes place when the science of Marxism is applied by a revolutionary Party in a living way to the real conditions that the masses face, conditions which today raise big questions for millions about the way that they are forced to live, and are drawing new bands of the thousands to seek a road out of this hell.

They sent out their spies to infiltrate meeting rooms and the country, to check out the nationwide speaking tour of Bob Avakian launched by the RCP in the face of the August 13th reindictment of Avakian and the other defendants on the 25 felony counts. In horror and shock, these government agents recorded at the sight of thousands across the country leaving their homes and away from the din of the TV set by the revolutionary vision presented by Chairman Avakian. They watched as workers and many others left the tour and, with a growing scientific understanding of the nature of the world, based on the political line of the RCP. These individuals fled back to headquarters to report that hundreds hadn’t had their lives even changed through Comrade Avakian’s speaking tour, and were getting active in the battles to free Bob Avakian and the Mao Defendants, but more, were stepping forward to fight for revolution. The enemy struck back—attempting to set up Chairman Avakian on phony charges. His speech in Los Angeles was misquipped by an L.A. Times reporter in a way that set him up for charges of threatening the people of Los Angeles. That individual fled back to headquarters to report that hundreds had their lives even changed through Comrade Avakian’s speaking tour, and were getting active in the battles to free Bob Avakian and the Mao Defendants, but more, were stepping forward to fight for revolution.

With 150 volunteers in the battle to stop the railroad of Bob Avakian, already in D.C. and with hundreds of volunteers spread forward nationwide, a decision was taken to rally on the 18th and the demonstration on the 19th, getting housing for all those troops who are raising a banner of class struggle. The ruling class and those who do its bidding have been very under attack. With the ruling class fighting back with an increased political struggle that will rock the city on that weekend. Through rumor mongering, arm twisting, and all kinds of political maneuvering, they have gotten a number of churches, which have had a progressive role in the past, to refuse to house people.

St. Stephens Church has been the central focus in all this. The church has a reputation based on past practice of taking the side of the oppressed and those fighting against injustice, and it is influential among progressive clergy in the D.C. area. When first contacted by the Committee to Free the Mao Defendants, church leaders were friendly and agreed to house a number of volunteers. Shortly afterwards, they backed down. Since then they have not only refused to house volunteers who do not have a Chase bank account, but also for jobs and months and traveled thousands of miles to fight on the front lines in D.C., but they have also refused to house people coming from all over the Midwest, South and East Coast for even one or two days on November 18th and 19th. Why has St. Stephens, which in the past housed the Black Panthers when they were a revolutionary organization under attack by the government, and the Iranian students when they demonstrated against the Shah during this visit here—why are they lining up with the U.S. government, the very forces they have stood in opposition to in the past? Why have they ignored their First Amendment rights and the thousands of people who have had first-hand experience dealing with the RCP and who have urged them to provide housing?

A few facts shed light on the forces at work here. The most vocal force in opposition on the St. Stephens vestry, or governing board, is a gentleman named Bill McKay, a wide-eyed, pipe-smoking “liberal” who also happens to be assistant editor of the Washington Post magazine. McKay is a bishop on the very same Washington Post which has consistently blacked out stories on Bob Avakian and the Mao Defendants, since the October 24th demonstration. McKay brought in a copy of the Revolutionary Worker to the decisive vestry meeting, and pointing to an article on Bob Avakian, he urged that the RCP is dangerous and deserves whatever it gets. He succeeded in uniting the rest of the vestry that if the RCP were allowed to use St. Stephens, violence might result. One woman who overheard part of the meeting described the atmosphere of fear and turmoil among the church leaders, some of whom grudgingly went along, afraid of government reprisals against the church.

Busy behind the scenes in all this was an ambitious career woman who, up to a year ago, was a member of the congregation at St. Stephens. This aspiring, hard-working, ex-cop was none other than Mary Allen Abrecht, the prosector in the trial of Bob Avakian and the Mao Defendants. With all the zeal Mary Allen has shown in trying to railroad Bob Avakian to jail, she must have worked overtime spreading lies about the RCP, so the St. Stephens vestry has caved in to the rumor mongering intimidation campaign of the ruling class, influencing a number of churches to go along with them.

While some church leaders openly sided with and fought for the government against the RCP and the masses of people, others, more out of fear, have failed to take a principled stand. They perceive the political climate in this country, including especially the masses of people, to be a sea of reaction which they can’t stand up to, much as they might wish to. Like many members of the middle classes who played a progressive role in the ‘60s, they are overwhelmed by the apparent strength of the bourgeoisie and the lack of a mass revolutionary movement, saying, “We don’t like this, but we can’t do much about it anyway because no one else will do anything about it.”

This flies in the face of the response of many in the St. Stephens congregation, who couldn’t believe that their leadership wouldn’t provide housing for people coming to D.C. to demonstrate against the vicious repression of the government in this case. It stands in opposition to the stand taken by progressive clergy all over the country and churches in the D.C. area who are coming forward to provide housing. And most importantly, it stands opposed to the people who live in neighborhoods surrounding St. Stephens and all over the city, poor and working people, mainly Black, who are opening their homes to people from out of town and who will be coming to the rally on Sunday and taking to the streets on Monday.
Opponents' Response to Greensboro Massacre

Let the Murderers Investigate Their Crimes

In the wake of the murder of 5 members of the Citizens' Committee to Investigate Greensboro (CPML) and the Guardian newspaper, the police refused to rehire Toni. The Shelton plant, this International Woodworkers of America local of 1,400 (which includes only 50 women) has endured comments by the company's refusal to rehire Toni. The union leaders continue to press for the investigation of these murders.

The union leaders continue to press for the investigation of these murders. The CPML and the Guardian newspaper, in their editorial thusly, "The entire left and all progressives should unite around Greensboro in order to keep the momentum of movement seriously capable of cleaning out the police party." The RCP has joined in previous CWP anti-police campaigns and others who wanted to turn back the imperialists.

In the very week that the bourgeois newspapers ran articles about the success of the white movement, the RCP has joined in previous CWP anti-police campaigns. The RCP has joined in previous CWP anti-police campaigns and others who wanted to turn back the imperialists.

Last summer Toni Gilbertson, a 22-year-old one of the first to get shot in the country was a member of the Citizens' Committee to investigate Greensboro. Toni got shot, then filed a sex discrimination suit. After 26 days on the job, she was fired. Since then, more women have stepped forward to expose other perverted forms of religious discrimination; but the times for them have gone by. They have been asked to take off their brassieres; one, if she wore a bra, others, if they didn't. They have been asked to leave their positions as we stand, with the Kathleen Harris shop at the center of the courageous struggle of the women.
(6) On the Historical Process of the Proletarian Revolution
Of necessity, only a general summary of some theoretical questions relating to the process of proletarian revolution—is it possible, and is it necessary, to put some important scientific basis for discussion of these points but also provide an important part of the overall framework for the discussion as a whole.

Having some sweep in our view of the process of proletarian revolution is important not only for those who grasp the nature of the international socialist struggles today but also specifically in light of the recent major setbacks of the international proletariat—specifically the Chinese revolution, which is a socialist country. That is very unlikely. Undoubtedly only in this country but world-wide. In this, too, Mao Zedong took up key questions relating not only to the particular major spiral from (the conclusion of) the French revolution and the reversal in the Soviet Union (in the years ahead.

To say this now is not the same thing as saying it would have suffered a reversal and been transformed into a bourgeois state, a capitalist society.
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a moment or in any way changed its nature—not only not barter, but its very nature. This is because the class and people here, it is right now maneuvering to grab more areas to plunder in the world and will, if it sees that it will not succeed, maneuver even in accordance with its vampire-like nature; and more than that, must itself resist this threat to its very existence at its own be
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World in Struggle

Mexican Opposition Paper Shut Down

Tijuana, Mexico. The opposition newspaper here has unleashed a storm of protest in this city on the United States-Mexico border. The newspaper, Adelante Baja California (ABC) was closed down recently by the Mexican government because of its consistent criticism of corruption and the use of U.S. military assistance. The ABC has been particularly critical of the U.S. military's role in suppressing Palestinian protests and the occupation of Palestinian lands.

The ABC has been publishing reports of the recent U.S. military occupation of the West Bank and Gaza Strip, and its coverage of the ongoing Palestinian protests has been particularly critical. The ABC has published articles exposing the use of U.S. military assistance to suppress Palestinian protests, and its coverage of the U.S. military's occupation of Palestinian lands has been particularly critical.

The ABC has been particularly critical of the U.S. military's role in suppressing Palestinian protests and the occupation of Palestinian lands. The ABC has published articles exposing the use of U.S. military assistance to suppress Palestinian protests, and its coverage of the U.S. military's occupation of Palestinian lands has been particularly critical.

Hua Diao-feng of China recently completed his three-week tour of Western Europe, stopping off in France, West Germany, Britain and Italy. While the press made much of the fact that this is the first time a Chinese head of state has ever traveled to the West, the trip was considerably overshadowed by the ABC shutdown. It is well known that Hua Diao-feng, the Chinese head of state, has been closely watched by the Chinese government.

The ABC has been publishing reports of the recent U.S. military occupation of the West Bank and Gaza Strip, and its coverage of the ongoing Palestinian protests has been particularly critical. The ABC has published articles exposing the use of U.S. military assistance to suppress Palestinian protests, and its coverage of the U.S. military's occupation of Palestinian lands has been particularly critical.

The ABC has been particularly critical of the U.S. military's role in suppressing Palestinian protests and the occupation of Palestinian lands. The ABC has published articles exposing the use of U.S. military assistance to suppress Palestinian protests, and its coverage of the U.S. military's occupation of Palestinian lands has been particularly critical.
Interview with Kampuchean U.N. Ambassador

Thiounn Prasith

As the Vietnamese invade, once again the country-side is filled with refugees.

The people rebuild their country, producing a surplus of food.

RW: First of all, we'd like a general statement from your government regarding the slanders which continue to fill the American and the world press, which blame the current devastation and starvation in Kampuchea largely on the Republic of Democratic Kampuchea and Pol Pot—a general statement on what you consider to be the causes of the current situation. There is also a big thing in the press right now—portraying countries like the United States in a humanitarian light. We'd like a statement as to how your government sees the controversy over aid going to the victims of war in Kampuchea. Then a third thing: recently there was a reactionary demonstration led by In Tarn, the former Prime Minister of the Lon Nol regime, in front of the United Nations. In Tarn portrayed himself as a leader of the "third force" movement opposed to both your government and the government of Heng Samrin, and seeking to forge an alliance with Prince Sihanouk. We'd like your comment on that as well as on the cable which Prince Sihanouk is supposed to have sent Time magazine which said that "the No. 1 danger and menace threatening the innocent Cambodian people is the genocidal regime of Pol Pot, and... Cambodian nationalism is enemy No. 2." Is my opinion that it is necessary that the regime of Pol Pot must first be eliminated by the Vietnamese army.

Ambassador Thiounn Prasith: First of all, about the famine. Every writer who visited Kampuchea before the Vietnamese invasion has testified that there was no famine. On the contrary the conditions of the people were improving. During the three and a half years between 1975 and the Vietnamese invasion, we succeeded in solving the problems of food, housing, clothing and medicine.

But now, the Vietnamese kill our people and they shout about our government killing our people. We of course had no reason to kill our own people. On the contrary, we were well aware of the necessity to mobilize all of our people to defend the victory won in 1975, to improve the conditions of life in Kampuchea, and to defend our independence against any Vietnamese attempts to swallow our country.

If our government was slaughtering our own people, why are the people now supporting our government? Why are the Vietnamese aggrandizing, with 220,000 troops on Kampuchean soil, now bogged down in the people's war of national resistance led by our government? I do not say that we made no mistakes. It is difficult to avoid making any mistakes. But generally speaking, we succeeded in solving the fundamental problems of the people of Kampuchea, and any people who visited Kampuchea can testify to that. So now the Vietnamese have deliberately created famine. Why do they do this? Because they were defeated in their fundamental objective: winning a lightning victory and taking possession of all of Kampuchea.

The first large-scale Vietnamese aggression against our country was at the end of 1977. This aggression was defeated by our army in January 1978. And then after the Vietnamese signed a military treaty with the Soviet Union, and got a tremendous influx of Soviet military aid, they began their second aggression on December 5th, 1978. At the present time, without massive Soviet military assistance, the Vietnamese could not continue to wage the aggressive war.

But anyway, even with this aid, the Vietnamese cannot control, cannot take possession of the whole of Kampuchea. So, they have resorted to famine and to massacre. Have you heard of the massacre of the people of Lidice, in Czechoslovakia, by Hitler and the fascists during the Second World War? There have been hundreds of "Lidice" atrocities in Kampuchea. Up to last September, according to our own estimations, the Vietnamese had already massacred half a million of our people.

And along with massacre, they have deliberately created famine. They destroyed all dams and canals, any tool or means of agricultural production. And they concentrated the people in the villages and forbade them to go out to carry out agricultural work because they fear that the people will try to contact the guerrillas. Up to now, they have already starved our hundreds of thousands of our people.

So in the ten months of Vietnamese occupation, even while they spread the charge that our government slaughtered three million of our own people, they have been pursuing a policy of all-out slaughter.

As for the problem of aid to the victims of famine, the Vietnamese have spread propaganda about the famine and asked for humanitarian aid for two reasons: one, to get the humanitarian aid and divert it to their own army, and also, to get recognition of the puppet regime.

But now, because the international organizations have insisted on distributing the aid directly to the people, the Vietnamese have refused to allow this, because they cannot divert this aid for their own ends, for their own army, and the humanitarian organizations do not recognize the puppet regime. The Vietnamese feel the need to block this aid because of that fear that this aid would in fact reach the Kampuchean people so the Kampuchean people can continue to fight against them. The Vietnamese wish to crush the struggle of our people by famine. For us, on the contrary, the position of the government is to appeal to all humanitarian organizations, all international organizations, all friendly countries, to give humanitarian aid, so that the people can carry on fighting against the Vietnamese puppet regime.

Ambassador: Sure! The Vietnamese are very pernicious. They destroy everything and they say that we destroy. They loot rice from our country and send it to feed Vietnam, but they lay in their propaganda that they are giving rice to our people. In fact, they are sending rice to Kampuchea—but that is for their army. Take the Soviet Union—they say they have already sent in 200,000 tons. If 200,000 tons of rice had indeed reached the people of Kampuchea, as even the New York Times pointed out, there would be no question of famine for at least two months. In fact, that figure is a lie, and the tonnage that is sent is sent to feed the Vietnamese army.

RW: I understand that one of the main reasons the Heng Samrin government objects to aid going through truck routes from Thailand is that they fear that the trucks will be commandeered by the liberation forces.

Ambassador: Sure, and also that the relief workers will see for themselves that everywhere there are Vietnamese, and that there is nowhere a so-called "Heng Samrin army."

RW: Is the Heng Samrin regime still denying that there are any Vietnamese troops in Cambodia, attempting to maintain a fiction of...?

Ambassador: No, that they can no longer deny, even the Vietnamese no longer try to deny that. Now they say their troops are in Kamphuchea in accordance with the so-called treaty signed by the Heng Samrin regime two months after the occupation of Kampuchea.

RW: The Vietnamese are currently
Looking for an opening amid the chaotic political situation in Kampuchea (Cambodia), U.S. imperialism is using every possible means to destabilize the country and to pave the way for a U.S.-led counter-revolution. Tarn was given several key assignments, which has contributed to the thoroughness with which the U.S. and its allies have attempted to oust the leader of the “Democratic Party” (Khuoream) and the Lon Nol regime, as the most plausible candidate. Though Kissinger finally considered that the #1 danger and menace of the Cambodian people and me myself is the U.S.…

Behind the Prison Walls

My return to Cambodia does not mean that I have to keep its seat in the United Nations. But I can never give in on my own views out of consideration for others. In the interview in this week’s RW, to quote him as saying at the time, “The new government, of course, allowed Sihanouk no room to interfere. China’s new alliance with the U.S. imposed upon the Cambodian people a choice but to agree. Consequently, just a few days after the revolution in Kampuchea…"

Kissinger to authorize a full evacuation. For the moment, Kissinger held him off, arguing that an evacuation of Khmer Rouge troops would be catastrophic in the face of the Vietnamese invasion. "I told my own people not to fight, to save their precious human lives," he said. "There is no reason to risk their lives."

At the meeting in question took place in U.S. Ambassador John Holdridge, informed Sihanouk’s chief of staff, Sir Sarit Sihanouk, that the Vietnamese army was 100 miles away from Phnom Penh, and that Vietnamese colonialism is enemy #2. It is my opinion that the Vietnamese must first be eliminated by the Vietnamese army. Though the prince’s cable is far from the most blatant or vengeful statement of his “position,” this has been his strategy almost since the beginning of the Vietnamese invasion. "I told my people not to fight, to save their precious human lives," he said. "There is no reason to risk their lives."

Shine the Light of Revolution Behind the Prison Walls

The following excerpt from a recent New York Times article on the Cambodian issue is worth quoting: "What kind of worms are crawling around in Phnom Penh these days?" "Two Foreign Ministry officials who interpreted for a group of American diplomats who were in Phnom Penh these days were appalled by their experiences: one as a minor official in Marshal Lon Nol’s Information Ministry, the other as assistant director of a British-American tobacco company agency in Phnom Penh.

It is not surprising that the Vietnamese are employing reactionaries and traitors and arranging for a new, more direct form of Cambodian anti-revolutionary activity. In the interview in this week’s RW, to quote him as saying at the time, “The new government, of course, allowed Sihanouk no room to interfere. China’s new alliance with the U.S. imposed upon the Cambodian people a choice but to agree. Consequently, just a few days after the revolution in Kampuchea…"
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Iran

Continued from page 1

made the secret memoirs they had found, which proved the U.S. knowing full well that admitting the Shah could lead to more losses on the U.S. Embassy, was planning and determined to bring him back.

During the week, the U.S. made some headway. Not, again, around getting rid of hostages, but in getting concessions from the Iranian government—a government whose opposition to U.S. imperialism is far from thorough. But all through the week the students in the U.S. were rejecting one after another their government's sellout attempts. For the U.S. the stakes were high. As Newsletter commented, "Since the fall of Vietnam American stature has declined almost everywhere... the" siege in Teheran may yet prove to be a turning point.

So now, as at the beginning, their maximum aim is for a U.S. coup in Iran. All last week the U.S. had been working feverishly to gain back the advantage in Iran, after being rocked on its heels by the initial powerful outburst from Iran's people. While the ruling class kept up its barrage of anti-Iran rhetoric, America First flowing in this country, they were also busy attacking Iran in the arena of international public opinion.

The Shah's doctors firmly insisted that the poor ailing butcher couldn't possibly be moved for up to six months, an indication to Khomeini that the U.S. was not about to give up on the Shah.

Meanwhile the U.S. ranted and raved that Khomeini's government was a "repressive" breaking all international laws. This self-righteous drivel, coming from the U.S. Embassy rejection of one after another of the Iranian government's offers, shows the U.S. is not out to really keep the Shah in power in the first place with a bloody coup in 1953, and who trained the Shah's AVAK agents in sophisticated torture methods would be funny if their intentions behind it were not so deadly. Furthermore, the growing ferment of the western European allies and reactionary "client" states like Mexico and Saudi Arabia to denounce Iran, while their arch rival the Soviet Union called for the hostages to be released. The Soviet Union of course was operating out of its own superpower interests, which dictate any move against any movement which they themselves don't control and use. The Pope did his part too for inauguration, knowing that the Shah is there that he was not out to really lead them to stand up against the U.S.

Meanwhile the U.S. ranting and raved that Khomeini's government was a "repressive" breaking all international laws. This self-righteous drivel, coming from the U.S. Embassy rejection of one after another of the Iranian government's offers, shows the U.S. is not out to really keep the Shah in power in the first place with a bloody coup in 1953, and who trained the Shah's AVAK agents in sophisticated torture methods would be funny if their intentions behind it were not so deadly. Furthermore, the growing ferment of the western European allies and reactionary "client" states like Mexico and Saudi Arabia to denounce Iran, while their arch rival the Soviet Union called for the hostages to be released. The Soviet Union of course was operating out of its own superpower interests, which dictate any move against any movement which they themselves don't control and use. The Pope did his part too for inauguration, knowing that the Shah is there that he was not out to really lead them to stand up against the U.S.

Military Threat

Back up their threat they began rattling the sabres even louder. A joint American-British naval fleet of nineteen warships began moving into the Indian Ocean just south of Iran. The Pentagon put 2700 paratroopers at Fort Hood on "quick reflex exercises." The U.S., seeing that the Khomeini government was showing weakness, then threw out the rugby carrot, while they continued waving the big stick. All of a sudden the Shah's doctors reported a medical miracle—the Shah would be well enough to leave the United States in as little as one or two weeks.

This was in effect a sop that once the hostages were freed, the Shah would return not of course to his native Iran, but to Mexico. But the well publicized attack on Khomeini's "support of terrorism" that Carter gave at a faithful servant of American chauvinism on Mexico, and the "Meany's farewell wasn't just rhetoric intended for the toad's gratification. While Khomeini may well want to take the sop, he would have to sell it to the Mexicans who'll never forgive him if he should throw in the towel and leave the Shah in one place—that is standing in front of the barrels of their guns. Further the U.S. does not want to send the Shah anywhere.

Keeping Iran in this country is important for the imperialists, not just as a symbol of how big and bad they are, but because if the Shah should return, he would bring political ties with reactionary elements in Iran that will be necessary to call on in the event of a counter-revolutionary coup.

Two students in captured U.S. embassy in Teheran use U.S. flag to haul garbage, horridinous, and tried to use this photo to whip up chauvinist frenzy in the U.S. for the use of the symbol of U.S. imperialism.

Continued from page 1

This week's anti-Iranian activities by various stripes of Lame-brained flag-waving reactionaries under the direction of the U.S. imperialists have demonstrated quite clearly why many people around the world look at America as the most racist and mindless chauvinists around. With actions such as the mass recitations of the "Pledge of Allegiance" and the singing of "God Bless America" along with flag burnings, the students' signs and the beatings and even shootings of Iranians, it is no wonder why more and more people, for example, some people believe Americans have smaller brains than Europeans.

Many of the slogans uttered by these students were typical of the anti-Iranian reaction not only of their reactionary viciousness, but also their lack of even the most elementary element of thought beyond remembering the few jingoistic slogans drilled into their brains (on one campus some even forgot the words to the Star Spangled Banner as they began to sing it). Check out such classics as: "We Want Hostages!" "Ban Iran!" "Deport! Deport! Deport!" "Conservative, Burn an Iranian!" "We Love The Shah!"—to name just a few. And of course all the time favorite "Camel Jockies Go Home!"—the fact that there are no camels in Iran does not bother these numb-skulls in the slightest. As long as it is reactionary, chauvinistic, and similar to something that is "on the front page of a加以." movie, it will be gladly parroted and swallowed whole.

"In these "Juggernauts" that are portrayed as average Americans venting their outrage at the Iranian people for the "repressive"" tactics, the job wouldn't be complete without the internationalists, who are usually whole GIs who are "just rarin' to go," ready to invade Iran. One young greenhorn of the 82nd Airborne, fresh from the streets of Brooklyn, is quoted as saying, "I'd give 'em 24 hours to let the hostages go if they knew we'd just have to go in and get them." Another student says that if we don't get the hostages, "we'll just have to go in sometime for the oil. No point waiting." No doubt these kids dream they are John Wayne in the Green Berets when they go to sleep. But if they go to Iran they will be snapped awake real quick. The Iranian people will not bow down and allow themselves to be rolled over. It will be a whole different story when these "brave young men" experience the misery of fighting a reactionary war for the U.S. imperialism.

In unleashing this wave of blood-thirsty hysteria the ruling class has particularly concentrated on the college campuses, realizing full well that students play a key role in influencing many more times their numbers. The Frat rats, the Young Americans for Freedom, and various ad hoc groups of reactionary students have been the shock troops carrying out the Iranian flag burnings, the beastings of Iranians, and attacks on rallies held in support of the Iranian people calling for the return of the Shah to Iran.

At a forum called jointly by the Iranian Student Association, the Revolutionary Communist Youth Brigade, and the Revolutionary Worker at Ohio State University in Columbus, 450 people packed into a student lounge and the debate was hot and heavy for hours. A Black Vietnam veteran cut right into the question being asked: "You people who want to go to war... you're ignorant of the history of what this country did in Iran. I know what war is really about, I saw it in Vietnam." Many left the forum saying, "I can't believe American, now I'm not sure.

At a forum at the University of Illinois, Chicago, Cisena Student, a student from the Dominican Republic responded to the reactionary calls of "If people don't like it—If Iranians don't like it here, they can go out! And if anyone else doesn't like it they should get out!" He said, "You talk about who people belong here and why we are here. I'll tell you why I'm here. I'm from the Dominican Republic and I'll tell you what the U.S. did there. The leftists and the pro-imperialists got together to free our country. On April 24, 1965, we were winning. On April 28, 1965, 22,000 American Marines invaded our country. They killed people in the streets—shot down hundreds of people. And if I go back there now, the U.S.-run government would kill me. So don't tell me about the Iranian students breaking international law. And don't tell me about who's got the right to be in who's country."

In many places the debates took place outdoors at rallies and counter-rallies of hundreds and a few of thousands. On Iran from which as well as words were changed in many cases. The police, of course, were on hand to back up the right-wingers. The rallies of reactionaries were built with the complete cooperation of college authorities.

On Friday, November 10, an unsigned
To the Revolutionary Worker

The same kind of crap

Something happened to my grandmother in California years ago during all the anti-Japanese hysteria. The rulers of this country whipped up prior to World War 2, and I feel others must know about it.

My grandmother was alone with her kids late one night, when a carload of shotgun-carrying white teenagers pulled up to the home next door, where another Japanese-American family lived. The teenagers pried out of the car, walked up to the house, busted in and opened fire, murdering the young couple right in front of their children.

I am writing the RW because I have been outraged by the anti-Iranian sentiment being promoted everyday by the media. But when I heard about an incident in Berkeley recently, I could not remain silent.

Three teenagers viciously hurled rocks through the windows of an Iranian man's home in the middle of the night, after checking the names on mailboxes in the area to find an Iranian victim.

The man returned with shotgun fire, and one of the teenagers later died in the hospital. Now the authorities have filed murder charges against this man!

The media's intent is clear: "This cold blooded, dirty Iranian has killed one of our children! There's no telling what these Iranians will do next!" Just substitute "Jap" for Iranian and you've got the same kind of crap that was filling the newspapers, magazines, movie theatres, and airwaves prior to World War 2. The government and media blasted the public with anti-Japanese propaganda, arrested or suspected of spying for Japan.

One front page story plastered all over the nation told how a Japanese-American farmer had been arrested and the nation "saved." His crime? His crops, the government claimed, had been planted in a way that formed a huge arrow that could be seen from a plane overhead, pointing in the direction of the U.S. military installations.

"Every Jap is a spy" was their message. From the "anti-Jap" to "kill a nip" hysteria, to the government harassment, imprisonment, and mass deportations of Japanese-Americans accused of spying, to the 110,000 Japanese-Americans shipped off to the concentration camps in the middle of the U.S. desert, the "sentiment of the times" was systematically molded and unleashed by the rulers of this country. "What happened to these Americans of Japanese ancestry was an unfortunate page in the history of this great country," our government has told us in shameless hypocrisy. "It was a dark spot in our past." And "We must do everything possible to make sure it will never happen again."

Their words ring ever more hollow and sickening as right now they are reenacting their whole vicious con game.

Two things were clear to me after hearing about the Colorado incident: first, the rulers of this country, the capitalists, don't give a damn about ANYBODY's kids—they'll have young kids murdered in the streets or in their homes, or turn them into murdering racists and ship them off to fight and die in the next world war for their blood-soaked profits. And second, unlike the teenagers who hurled the rocks and fell for the crap the government is filling their heads with, the Iranian man in Colorado did a righteous thing—he fought back against his oppressors and shot them down that's the only fighting response to any racist dogs who attack and especially the only response way overdue in their capitalist masters!

Signed,
A Japanese-American who will not stand by and watch it happen again.

To end leaflet advertised a "Free the U.S. Embassy, Death to the Ayatollahs" rally at the student union building at the University of Washington in Seattle. When the reactionary.leaders arrived to set up, revolutionary agitation had already begun and banners reading "U.S. Imperialism Keep Your Bloody Hands off Iran" were already in place. A crowd of close to 2,000 gathered. The reactionary rally never was held. The reactionaries were challenged to a debate, but refused, preferring instead to chant such infantile slogans as "bullshit, bullshit" and "stop the bitch" (referring to the woman revolutionary who was addressing). They started up the Pledge of Allegiance which was followed up with the Star Spangled Banner and the Battle Hymn of the Republic. This response only helped sharpen up the mass debate which raged in spite of the wishes of the right-wingers. After considerable back and forth the reactionaries left as the crowd thinned out to around 1,000. As they split they held up a sign, Nuke Iran, and one chanted "Neutron Bomb, Neutron Bomb" in a fitting farewell.

On Nov. 15, at the University of California at Berkeley, a noon-time crowd swelled from 400 to 1100 in Sproul Plaza, scene of many anti-war rallies during the late 1960s and early 1970s. The RCYB and student-formed Committee against the Harboring of Criminals called a rally demanding the U.S. send the Shah home to face the Iranian people. During the weeks, hundreds had signed a fifty-foot-long statement supporting the Iranian people and against the flag-waving reaction in the U.S.

The university administration refused to turn on the loudspeaker system, even though it had been reserved. But despite this the rally went ahead with several speakers including Bob Saibel, a revolutionary writer and activist, who recently returned from a 2 1/2 month stay in Iran (see Saibel's series of articles in recent RWs). An ex-Marine member of VVAW, who lost a leg in combat, denounced the John Wayne patriotism being whipped up and demanded no intervention in Iran.

The burning of an American flag incensed a number of organized reactionaries, mainly Moonies, who chanted "Release the Hostages" and "No Blackmail." A large part of the crowd chanted back, "Send the Shah Home." In response to the provocations of the reactionaries, the rally was turned into a teach-in at 1200 and degenerated for a solid three hours.

The day to day political apathy has been shattered as the children of the '60s awaken to political life with a jolt. And wherever the reactionary garbage has been called out by a revolutionary line, it has revealed fertile ground among the students to stand with the people of the world against U.S. imperialism.
IRANIAN STUDENTS TAKE ON ATTACK

Three self-proclaimed immigration officials who took the lead in whipping up anti-Iranian hysteria in Washington, D.C.,

On November 16, President Carter announced that General Benjamin Civiltti had begun deportation hearings against Iranian students with a visa violation. It was an old war-trump trick that the bourgeoisie pulled out of the closet—another in a reactionary series of attempts to whip up a storm of racist anti-Iranian hysteria. Formerly called kids going after attempts by four Iranians of the Gouvernor of Minnesota, played in the press for days until Nov. 10 they admitted it was all a mistake (1), to the freezing of Iranian assets in the U.S., the media flocked to the news that hadn't been in years.

We spoke with two Iranian students to get their reactions to this latest series of attacks. “They want to build up a lot of anti-Iranian sentiment to cover up the Iranian peoples’ cause and keep the American people from realizing why the Iranian people are demonstrating against the U.S. I think they’re also trying to take the focus off what is really happening in Iran.”

“We are being fingerprinted and photographed, because the immigration has no photos of us...they want to show us they’re tough. It looks like we are in some form of concentration camp. I feel like a Jew in Hitler’s Germany, I’m scared the police will stop me, you know.”

I knew a guy who had to run out of his house in the middle of the night, and cause the immigration was after him.”

The ruling class is trying to work from both sides of the fence with the harassment of the Iranians. On the one hand they whip a lot of hatred towards the foreign born and at the same time they reap the added bonus of bringing their files up to date on any potential “troublemakers.” On top of this, they’ve done their best to paint a picture of “loyal American workers” in Iran.

Iranian People

Iranian Left

Imperialism and Iranian reactionaries, for all their intrigue, have not been able to compromise the revolutionary left. While direct news from these forces has been almost nonexistent, it’s known that the Union of Iranian Communists have been organizing and sending mass demonstrations of the unemployed, including a brief takeover of the labor ministry, under the slogan, “Down with fascism, Down with reactionaries.” In fact some of the photos released in U.S. papers as Modern students demonstrating have actually been photos of these unemployed demonstrations.

The revolutionary left has all along backed the demand that the Shah face measures that have multiplied the Iranian people’s cause. They haven’t thought their provocation of the masses during the Cultural Revolution, an epithet which submerge themselves beneath the Islamic government. They haven’t forgotten that the only force capable of routing imperialism and pushing the demand for a new order that isn’t socialist, isn’t the Shah, or if your brother had died, their file cards are up to date on any potential “troublemakers.”

Iranian Left

Imperialism and Iranian reactionaries, for all their intrigue, have not been able to compromise the revolutionary left. While direct news from these forces has been almost nonexistent, it’s known that the Union of Iranian Communists have been organizing and sending mass demonstrations of the unemployed, including a brief takeover of the labor ministry, under the slogan, “Down with fascism, Down with reactionaries.” In fact some of the photos released in U.S. papers as Modern students demonstrating have actually been photos of these unemployed demonstrations.

The revolutionary left has all along backed the demand that the Shah face measures that have multiplied the Iranian people’s cause. They haven’t thought their provocation of the masses during the Cultural Revolution, an epithet which submerge themselves beneath the Islamic government. They haven’t forgotten that the only force capable of routing imperialism and pushing the demand for a new order that isn’t socialist, isn’t the Shah, or if your brother had died, their file cards are up to date on any potential “troublemakers.”

Whatever the continued intriguing that they watch us, they’re not the ones with the power to influence the masses. They still face the serious problem of the Iranian people. They didn’t believe in the Iranian people’s cause of overthrowing the Shah, yet it happened. They now have to bring the Shah into the U.S. would touch off the powerful explosion and antagonistic feeling that the Shah has been forced them to take measures that they would never have dreamed of taking. Measures that have multiplied the Iranian people’s hatred of American imperialism. And changing this hatred must be what they’re scared the police will stop me, you know.”

I knew a guy who had to run out of his house in the middle of the night, and cause the immigration was after him.”

The ruling class is trying to work from both sides of the fence with the harassment of the Iranians. On the one hand they whip a lot of hatred towards the foreign born and at the same time they reap the added bonus of bringing their files up to date on any potential “troublemakers.” On top of this, they’ve done their best to paint a picture of “loyal American workers” in Iran.

Iranian People

continued from page 15 be the U.S. or the Shah. He once served as the Shah’s religious advisor, advising the Iranian people in chains. He has announced upon arrival that “the reference is carefully made to the two

Sino-Soviet

Continued from page 3 tracts and withdrawal of specialists (in the early 1960s—RIV) by the Govern-

ment of the Soviet Union (officially bad policy by “the Government,” perhaps, with no blame attaching to the Soviet Communist Party). At almost the same time, a Chinese delegation arrived in Moscow to begin these talks—and one price they might demand of China is openly repudiating the late Premier Chou En-Lai’s policy of “Old Glory”, to play on the frustration and anger the American people from realizing that the U.S. role has been not just in Iran but in other underdeveloped countries everywhere, like Africa and China. The phosphates and rubber that a country has supported the worst fascists and the Shah who has offered them to live here. We have a right to demand that the government to have sent the Shah back.”
The ruling class’ necessity to wipe out the proletariat will have won, upon seizing power in an international proletariat; otherwise they will once again attack the Revolutionary Workers Center. This time they put up signs saying, “KKK” and “FUCK off revolution” and “No more revolution shit!” KKK.

The Klan altered their usual middle-of-the-night raiding tactics, by coming out in the evening, undoubtedly taking heart from the beating given them in the past 2 weeks by the ruling class. This time the Klan was held exposing the Klan, the police were asked, “Have you ever heard of the Minutemen?” and said, “The Oakland Minutemen work for us.”

The cops were full of their usual threats and racist taunts as they hauled out in the evening, undoubtedly taking heart from the beating given them in the past 2 weeks by the ruling class. This time the Klan was held exposing the Klan, the police were asked, “Have you ever heard of the Minutemen?” and said, “The Oakland Minutemen work for us.”

They are still sharpening their revolutionary politics whetted.

One response from a young sailor was heard about the conference and he had come, because he really was the only revolutionary leadership that and to make revolution.

One Black woman got in the cab with her, a boy of 10 or 12 years old.

They listened and listened. When it came to the place where it says if you just stand around and eat ice cream, you can’t go into the ring and fight Ali, the mother turned to her son and said, “I told you that would happen.”

Many people who had been active in the 1960s had a very good response to the tape. One man said, “Well, at least they’re active.”

"If I could give it to that lady, she would be the Klan. I’d come play for all the children, all the neighbors—she’d lost it so much.”

And we must intensify our work to continue to defend Bob Avakian and the RCP from any and all such attacks to prevent them from becoming ever more widespread.

The Klan attacks revealed.

**Vietnam Vets**

Continued from page 5

One TV station in particular couldn’t get this stuff out unchallenged, so they sent in a young man who went up to VVAW and he had to come, because they really were the only revolutionary leadership that could have gotten the message out.

The tape drove its message home. But the Klan attacks revealed.
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And we must intensify our work to continue to defend Bob Avakian and the RCP from any and all such attacks to prevent them from becoming ever more widespread.

The Klan attacks revealed.

**Central Committee Report**
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Ambassador
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engaged in a dry-season offensive. Can you give me a brief outline of what the current military situation is? Ambassador: Yes. The dry season will be very crucial. The Vietnamese will try to wipe out the resistance of our people. But they cannot wipe it out. Why? Because there are four factors. First, there is the morale of the army. At the beginning of this year, when they invaded our country, the Vietnamese sent in 120,000 crack veterans. Now, there are 220,000 troops in our country. On the surface, it would appear that they should be even stronger. But in fact, they are weaker. Why? Because now we have a large number of people. The National Army of Vietnam in Kampuchea is composed of young soldiers in their twenties. They have been misled by the Vietnamese in the past. Now they are very angry against them. The fourth thing: The Vietnamese because they have seen the nature of Vietnam expansionism and hegemonism. And besides these regular forces, there are numerous guerrilla units. There are some of this aid to perhaps feed some crops for food. Now they have to send all supplies from Vietnam, which is very hard pressed to deliver. The third thing is that at home the Vietnamese are facing more and more difficulty. The condition of living of the Vietnamese now is lower than before. And the fourth thing, the status of Vietnam in the international arena is getting lower and lower.

RU: They've just lost all the prestige they gained as a result of the war against the U.S. Ambassador: Right, now everyone can see the nature of Vietnamese expansion and hegemonism.

RU: At the beginning of this year, we had to fight a frontal war. We cannot oppose the Vietnamese as a frontal war because our army is weaker than the Vietnamese army. But now we have transformed our regular army into numerous guerrilla units. There are about 100,000 men under arms. These regular guerrilla units contain from ten to one hundred fighters—no more—and they are waging the guerrilla war everywhere in the country. And they kill many Vietnamese every day, dealing them severe losses in soldiers and materiel. And besides these regular guerrilla forces, we have three other forces. The regular guerrilla forces organize in the villages, organize the movement of struggle and resistance in the village, and organize an indigenous guerrilla unit that will defend the village.

Third is the people themselves. At first some people were not aware of the danger of the Vietnamese, of the annexation. Now they are very angry against the Vietnamese because they have seen that the Vietnamese come and massacre their family, raped their daughter and wife, and destroyed everything. Now, all the Kampuchean people are against them. The fourth thing: The Vietnamese had organized a so-called "Defensive Council." The Kampuchean people, some Kampuchean people who had been misled by the Vietnamese in the beginning, Now, the Kampuchean in the Guard are turning their weapons against the Vietnamese, against the communists, against the Vietnamese, and crossing over to our army.

We are sure that in this dry season the Vietnamese will try to wipe out our people, destroy more of our country, and make our country fall into chaos, as in 1970. Why is this? Because they are unable to remain in the villa
ges, some of whom are represented by In Tan, Prince Sihanouk has played, frankly, a contradictory role or a vacillating role in the whole situation. How does your government view the situation and the other forces involved in this whole situation?

Ambassador: I would like to ask you one question—have you ever seen an aggressor who accepts withdrawal when he has not lost? But in this case, the Vietnamese think they are still stronger, more powerful. It is the same for the Vietnamese. They think they are still stronger, more powerful than the Vietnamese. We are sure that in this dry season in Kampuchea, some of whom are represented by In Tan, Prince Sihanouk has played, frankly, a contradictory role or a vacillating role in the whole situation. How does your government view the situation and the other forces involved in this whole situation?

RU: I'm going to ask you one question—have you ever seen an aggressor who accepts withdrawal when he has not lost?

RU: I'm going to give you a brief outline of what the Vietnamese army in Kampuchea are...