After 12 days on the mountaintop in his “domestic summit,” Jimmy Carter came
down with the word for America: “Stop cursing and start praying.” Even Moses
gave a more convincing performance. It was a pitiful prescription for solving a
“grave crisis.”

We identified “the true problems of our nation” as something deeper than the
ergy crisis, “deeper, even, than inflation or recession”—a loss of confidence in
this system and its future.

Sounding like a Sunday morning radio preacher, Carter gave a Sunday evening
sermonette on the “moral and spiritual crisis” of America. Woodenly clenching his
fist in an obviously rehearsed gesture, he exhorted Americans to regain national uni-

Continued on page 5

Two days after Nicaraguan dictator
Anastasio Somoza fled to his Miami
Beach retreat, Sandinista rebels seize-
ded the capital city of Managua as the
victorious people filled the streets with
cheers and celebrations.

Somoza’s special bunker-compound
was seized by Sandinista units, and
deniers of political prisoners were freed
from there. Cheering crowds pulled
down a statue of Somoza’s father,
General Anastasio Somoza Garcia, who
called just a bit of help from the U.S.
Marines. Somoza’s family
disappeared more than 40 years ago.

The final victory in the bloody civil
war in Nicaragua came after the failure
of the last-minute counter-
revolutionary treachery apparently
approved by the United States. Accord-
ing to an agreement reached by the rebel-
backed provisional government, the
United States and the shattered
Somoza regime earlier in the week,
Somoza’s departure was to be followed
by an immediate transfer of power to
the legitimate provisional government.
Instead, Somoza’s successor, Unizo,
suddenly announced that he intended to
fulfill the remainder of Somoza’s term
in office which ended in 1981.

This stupid reactionary apparently
used one Somoza’s fancy desk and set
chair and decided he liked it. He even
called on the Sandinistas to “lay down
Continued on page 3

WHAT WENT WRONG WITH VIETNAM?
Part 4: Conclusion—Page 7
Deceased of the New York narcotics racket. Actually, Galante was a vulture preying on human flesh—the head of the New York narcotics racket. Galante began his criminal career at an early age, but for a long time lived simply and unobtrusively. He brought special skills to the operations of the crime syndicate that has its own chain of command in New York and the French mob of Monaco. In 1960 Galante was convicted of narcotics trade and was sentenced to 20 years. Like many other jailed Mafia kingspin, he continued to control his operation from prison. The comparison of the drugs to shoot up, man? From this "high-class mobster," a young political nuisance in the U.S. By filling the contract, Galante quickly entered into the good graces of the Mafia boss. He was on his way to the top.

By the mid-1970s Galante was heading up the drug racket for the Bonannos. Galante was instrumental in forging the alliance between the Italian pangs of New York and the French mob of Monaco in turn, taking up the fight against the "French connection" heroin smuggling operation.

In 1960 Galante was convicted of narcotics trade and was sentenced to 20 years. Like many other jailed Mafia kingspin, he continued to control his empire from a jail cell. However, upon his release in February, 1979, Galante returned to New York to find his power base very much eroded. Galante was in the position of having to move fast to expand his operation or lose the picture entirely. Out of this "expansion or die" necessity Galante began to elbow his way into Atlantic City. His goal was to carve himself out a big hunk of the gambling world. The contract was carried out, the contract had been carried out, the party began.

In the streets, as word of Galante's death leaked out and spread through the communities, a celebration of a different character began spontaneously. People gathered in crowds of up to a couple hundred, dancing and cheering at the death of the hated Galante. "Where do you think all these kids get the drugs to shoot up, man? From this big-shot Mafia gangster," a young Puerto Rican said.

Meanwhile, the capitalists press sowed hypocritical morality. In the New York Post, four-inch headlines screamed a single word. "Geared!" Above a bloody picture of Galante's corpse. He didn't obey the merchants' code, the Post explained, so the rest of the more disciplined mobsters rubbed him out. Galante's methods were too "crude," experts explained. "Somebody from the Justice Department said, 'He (Galante) was wanted for the old system—loan-sharking, gambling, narcotics. That's too risky today for the job. He was out of tune with the cleaner, white collar racketeers who now prefer,'"
Less than a month after they were arrested for taking over a police station on the Northern Minnesota Red Lake reservation, five tribe members have been tried and convicted of all charges against them (and a sixth defendant, accused of conspiracy but not taking part in the takeover, was acquitted. Rather than seeing this as the end of a struggle of justice in an otherwise completely outrageous trial, tribe members involved in the struggle on the reservation say that the blindness of the evidence the prosecution was able to present against the man shows how unjust his arrest was in the first place, and how viciously the government was afraid that they consider "troublemakers" on the reservation.

Federal Judge Edward Devitt ruled that the five on bond awaiting sentencing, which has not been set yet, in return for a promise from the defendants not to "cause trouble." An example of what he meant by this was the relatively lenient motions in front of the reservation BIA office, directed against the government's protection of tribal chief Roger Jourdain's gun-shag reign over much of the tribe, which was the focal point of the jail takeover in the first place. While the authorities piously claimed that they had to have carried the struggle through "legitimate channels," the government obviously wants to shut every form of resistance on the reservation.

To get around the trial, a federal trial take place on the reservation. He did offer, to let the trial take place in the town of Bemidji or Fergus Falls—two towns near the reservation, with an all-white jury, the government was still afraid to let the defendants present a defense based on showing that the trial would take place and therefore who would be on the jury was part of the defenses. "It's their intention to use the excuse of the recently passed Speedy Trial Act when there's a danger that political movement and support for the defendants may build up and the situation on the reservations and the whole stinking legal system designed to preserve the way things are expected to be before growing numbers of people.

Just as the capitalists like to use the supposed impartiality of the law to hide the truth about who is doing the ruling and who is getting ruled over, so also they like to have a jury do their conviction for them in the name of the people. In this case, the question of where the trial would take place thus posed a constitutional problem, not only was it not allowed a trial under tribal jurisdiction, even though the Red Lake Reservation, where they were arrested, is supposedly sovereign Indian territory according to the treaty the government wrote when it put the tribe there. Federal law demanded a federal trial, because they were accused of crimes not against the tribe but against the government—thus by the government rules over reservations.

When the defendants asked that the federal trial take place on the reservation, with a "jury of their peers," this was characterized as made up of Red Lake tribe members and even the judge turned them down because there is no courthouse on the reservation. He did offer, to let the trial take place in Bemidji or Fergus Falls—two towns near the reservation which have become strongholds of prejudice against Indians in general and, as mentioned above, are among those most likely to be called as jurors.

What they ended up with was an all-white jury in Minneapolis. The defendants had said that no Indian jury would be acceptable, and they were right. Indians of all tribes know about the conditions they rebelled against. Even with an all-white jury, the government was still afraid to let the defendants present a defense based on showing that their actions were just. The judge also turned down motions to subpoena tribe members as jurors. The government will have made its case, timberland—could be stolen from the government are rallying more and more to the RCP! They are the most dangerous revolutionary organization in this country seriously working for revolution.

This investigation is a fraud, meant to pacify the gullible and nothing more. It certainly can't bring any justice.

Major Speaking Tour by Party Chairman

"To All Those Who Refuse To Live and To Do On Your Knees!"

Bob Avakian, Chairman of the Central Committee of the Revolutionary Communist Party, will be speaking throughout the country in the next several months.

When you hear Bob Avakian speak you will know why those who rule this country are desperate to put him away. Even aside from the fact that this supposedly independent investigation is to be conducted by a firm hired by the same agencies it's supposed to investigate, there is a much bigger fraud here. It may be that Roger Jourdain didn't violate a single law in the way he used federal money to build up a private police force and political machine to run the reservation. The guns of the BIA and the other federal programs he used to prop himself up with were never meant for the benefit of the Indians in the first place, since the BIA was first established a century ago to rule the conquered tribes. They were meant to oppress the Indians, so that their lands and other wealth—this case, timberland—could be stolen from them and their labor exploited extra.

Continued on page 13

This is your chance to hear the leader of the only organization in this country seriously working for revolution. Don't Miss The Chance To Hear Bob Avakian Speak & To Get Down With The RCP! It Will Change Your Whole Life!

When you hear Bob Avakian speak you will know why those who rule this country are desperate to put him away. Even aside from the fact that this supposedly independent investigation is to be conducted by a firm hired by the same agencies it's supposed to investigate, there is a much bigger fraud here. It may be that Roger Jourdain didn't violate a single law in the way he used federal money to build up a private police force and political machine to run the reservation. The guns of the BIA and the other federal programs he used to prop himself up with were never meant for the benefit of the Indians in the first place, since the BIA was first established a century ago to rule the conquered tribes. They were meant to oppress the Indians, so that their lands and other wealth—this case, timberland—could be stolen from them and their labor exploited extra.
**World in Struggle**

Eritrea: Liberation Forces Resist New Ethiopian-USSR Offensive

As more than 50,000 Soviet-backed Ethiopian troops launched a three-front offensive on July 14 against the liberation forces of neighboring Eritrea (located to the north of Ethiopia on the Red Sea), they immediately ran into stiff resistance. According to news reports, a column of 50,000 Ethiopian troops that were trying to drive inland from the Red Sea coast was beaten back by fighters of the Eritrean People’s Liberation Front. In this as well as previous military operations, the congressed army of the Ethiopian military junta has Soviet and Cuban “advisers” at all levels. It has billions in Soviet weaponry—everything from heavy artillery and MIG 23’s to napalm and anti-personnel bombs. The USSR’s continuing attempts to suppress the Eritrean people’s struggle for national liberation and independence is yet another stark exposure of the true nature of Soviet social-imperialism (socialism in words, imperialism in deeds).

Israel’s “Lt. Calley” Gets Slap on Wrist

The bourgeoys press in the U.S. spares no effort to portray the struggle of the Palestinian people against the Zionist state of Israel as “fanatical terrorists” vs. a small heroic nation acting purely in “self-defense.” But a rare glimpse of the truth appeared in the Israeli press in early July about an incident the Israeli rulers would have rather kept under wraps.

During Israel’s full-scale invasion and occupation of southern Lebanon last March in a vain attempt to cripple the Palestinian resistance movement, an Israeli officer killed four Palestinian fighters—after they had already been taken prisoner. A military court-martial gave the officer 12 years in prison. Several months later, the court of appeals shortened the prison term to eight years. Then the Chief of the Israeli General Staff, Gen. Rafael Eitan, cut it further to two years. When this began to leak out, Israel’s military censorship at Gen. Eitan’s order forbade publication of the whole story on grounds of national security. The matter only became widely known when a deputy used her parliamentary immunity to bring it up in the Knesset and the military censor attached to the Knesset went to sleep on the job.

The discussion in the press that followed was a sickening display of Zionism and racism. Even the leader of a small “opposition” party complained that actions such as this “have tarnished the purity of Jewish arms.” Other Zionist apologists claimed it was an “isolated” incident. However, like the massacre of Vietnamese civilians at My Lai that Lt. Calley led (and who similarly got his sentence progressively reduced by the U.S. military brass and never spent a day in jail), this cold-blooded murder of Palestinian prisoners reveals what kind of war Israel is waging against the whole Palestinian people. For the Israeli armed forces, standard operating procedure is daily bombing raids in U.S. F-4’s on Palestinian refugee camps in Lebanon. Special ground-attack commando squads who specialize in assassinating Palestinian leaders, the torture and shooting of Palestinian youths in the West Bank who courageously stand up to the Zionist occupation of their homeland.

This is nothing new. It is a direct continuation of how Israel was founded in the first place—by the forcible theft of the land of the Palestinian people and the brutal suppression of their just revolution. In deeds, the removal of the Palestinians was carried out by the Israeli terrorist organization the Irgun (commanded by the same Menachim Begin who is now Prime Minister), which was particularly infamous for its calculated massacre of the whole Arab population of a Palestinian town in 1948 to terrorize the surrounding population and force them to flee for their lives. Then, as now, the international policy of the Israeli state is “the only good Palestinian is a dead Palestinian.”

West Germany: 50,000 “Rock Against the Right”

For two days, chants of “No Nazis in Frankfurt, nor elsewhere” were heard in Frankfurt, West Germany. In late June, 50,000 people streamed into this city for an anti-fascist music festival and to protest a planned national rally by the neo-Nazi German National Party (NPD). Though the neo-Nazis cancelled out when the city prohibited any demonstrations, “Rock Against the Right” (Rock gegen Rechts, or RgR) went ahead with its two-day music festival. Just the same, some 10,000 federal cops were stationed in Frankfurt throughout these activities to maintain “law and order.” The authorities were clearly ready to repeat their actions of last year, when riot police brutally attacked the occupation of one of Frankfurt’s public squares by 150 anti-fascists (thereby preventing the NPD from holding its gathering of hundreds of demonstrators).

RgR modeled itself after its British counterpart, “Rock Against Racism,” which was organized to take a stand against the racist attacks which have been spearheaded by the racist National Front against immigrant workers and the racist British National Party (NPD). West Germany has a large number of immigrant workers (from Turkey, Spain and other countries), and German right-wing organizations such as the NPD promote their “anti-immigrant” racism.

RgR, which headquarters itself as “seeking to combat racism and fascism in the pop culture,” has attracted the support of a sizeable number of progressive rock groups and folk singers.

**S.A.C. Wargames**

8:12 AM, a B-52 bomber rolls down the runway at Robbins Air Force Base near Macon, Georgia. Seventeen seconds later another B-52, rolling right behind it, takes off. Twelve seconds later the third B-52, followed by three KC-135 aerial tankers. The whole six-plane takeoff is accomplished in the space of a minute and a half—this is known as a MINIMUM INTERVAL TAKEOFF. The idea is to get going before a Russian submarine-launched missile can destroy the B-52’s on the ground. This is not being reported at Strategic Air Command Bases (SAC) all over the U.S. More than 650 bombers, aerial tankers, reconnaissance planes, and flying command posts are in the air. These are the same planes that did carpet bombing missions over North Vietnam during the Vietnam War.

The six-plane fly north through Atlanta’s air space and toward North Carolina. Over the northern suburbs of Knoxville, Tennessee, the bombers hook up with the tankers to gas up. B-52’s cannot safely take off with a full fuel load. For a round trip to Russia they must be refueled more than once. When they are fifty miles south of Minneapolis, a coded message from SAC headquarters comes over the intercom. The bomb Forecast, which is being relayed to separate targets in Russia. Over the intercom, the bomber’s navigator reviews the checklist of steps as their nuclear cargo is pre-armed.

This particular B-52 carries four 1.1-megaton hydrogen bombs plus 8 SRAM air-to-ground missiles, each with a warhead larger than the Hiroshima bomb. This one plane is armed with 597 million pounds.

As they approach enemy territory, the pilot descends to 400 feet above the ground and sets his low level auto pilot as the wheat fields whiz by at 420 mph. As they approach Moscow, the navigator says, “The first target will appear in three minutes.”

The bombardier sets the crosshairs on the target. A light appears on the plane’s instrument panel, bomber’s “light not latched,” it reads. Then comes another light: “Bomb doors open.”

“The bombs away,” says a voice on the intercom.

“O.K., Zero, Four, Nine, next target,” says another voice.

During a little over an hour, four nuclear bombs and four SRAM missiles are sent to their targets. As the last SRAM is released, the bombardier and navigator exchange a thumb-up sign. From their standpoint it has been a perfect run.

Is this some Doctor Strangelove fantasy? No, it is operation Global Shield (to protect the U.S. against the for the greater glory of U.S. imperialism), the largest “war game” conducted by the Strategic Air Command in over twenty years, which took place the second week of July.

While the planes were on a simulated mission, and actually carried no real warheads (and never actually flew U.S. air space), it was the closest SAC has come to managing a real attack.

The Moscow they practiced bombing over was Moscow, Kansas. Rather than dropping real bombs, they sent electronic signals which were received on the ground, and the crew’s accuracy was scored. This latest round of the U.S. military’s “war games” (read war preparations) should dispel any doubts about whether World War 3 is really the horizon.
Continued from page 1

ruling class that he could rally the people and quickly turn around the situation facing the U.S. ruling class as they attempt to deal with their faltering economy, war, and declining empire, and prepare for war with their rival rubber counterparts in the USSR.

Carter’s Proposals

The following day, Carter gave two speeches in which he outlined more specific proposals involving oil quotas, development of energy resources, encouraging conservation, setting up special bureaus, etc. None of these are new proposals and several have been unsuccessfully tried before. Carter also reiterated commitment to nuclear power, saying that it is only through a new redivision of world in its own favor that it can fight the war.

The analogy and theme of war pervaded Carter’s speech. “We must deal with the threat of energy as we would deal with the threat of war” he said. Carter terms the energy crisis as a “national emergency” and a “momentous challenge”.

Loss of Faith

The U.S. answer? A dress rehearsal of the war. Carter’s whole performance has been a parable of the Water Tank” by Eizenstai, a product of his own political clump, a rehearsal that the bourgeoisie will not bring in $142 billion over ten years, but even if some such tax is put into effec, it is highly doubtful it will bring in anything like this amount. And as for all that $24 billion that is promised to the poor, Jimmy the Greek isn’t of very good odds on it.

Meanwhile, though, it is just the sort of language of release that works to try to fool the people. Just how much reality such “heart-felt” promises have been illustrated in Carter’s Monday speech to the Communication Workers convention, where he declared in ringing tones that he had ordered the Department of Justice and Energy to investigate whether the recent oil shortages had been helped along by deliberate actions of the oil companies—but neglected to say that this investigation had already given an internal report absolving 30-40 companies of any blame, and that Deputy Secretary of Energy, O’Leary, has been rushing around for the past two months declaring that only “foreigners” are to blame for the shortage.

And of course this has been Carter’s whole line too, as for instance when he declared in his Sunday speech that it is OPEC which is “the direct cause of the long [gas] lines.” So what does it mean when he promises soulfully to investigate the oil companies? Absolutely nothing but more bluffs.

The U.S. imperialists have a real war. Carter’s “good cop” routine, they throw to the masses, even as he calls for more sacrifice and belt-tightening. All of the speech programs are patterned after the “windfall profits tax” that was promised by Carter himself during the fall campaign. He is having “anybody who steps out of line and depletes the country will be at the press is complaining that Carter isn’t coming down hard enough on the depredators. About time to send in the muscle.”

Bourgeois Cost Con game

So their problem is how to sell this load of bullsh*t mixed with misery. Carter and his bourgeoisie allies are using every trick in their book to win this big-time “confidence” game. Of course this means a lot of well-armed bluff filled with all the gimmickry, shenanigans, and fraud that we can always expect from the bourgeoisie. It can also be used deliberately and outright lies, like blaming OPEC for gas shortages, and the increase in inflation and the unemployment as well, when even a conservative old Harvard cabinet columnist Patrick Buchanan can point out that this is “misleading when not untrue.” Of course the bourgeoisie has the edge about just which lie they’re going to tell us next. He has been removed from this particular campaign, since their basic game plan was leaked out to the public a couple weeks back in the Eisenstein memo. It called for just about every “surprise move” Carter has made—especially blaming OPEC. See R.P., July 13.

Just what are their basic fool-the-people tricks?

First trick—muster all the public opinion in their phrase “democratic” arsenal. No sooner does Carter go off the air than the public opinion polls begin. Lo and behold! The American people were convinced. True, they admitted in passing the first poll televised on national TV after his speech was of well-to-do and “upper middle class” people. True also that even after that was loaded with the answers that people were “supposed” to give. But never mind—broadcast them anyway—the results were favorable. Carter’s authority, his “get tough” approach is gaining in popularity. The purpose of all this is to spread the message to all of us that if you didn’t respond favorably to Carter’s inspirational message, you are odd and belong kind of a freak. The real purpose of all this is to create public opinion, test it, to measure.

Second trick—focus our anger on an external enemy. So it’s “blame OPEC.” Not only is this a convenient scapegoat to take the heat off our own problems, they make as hectic the crisis, it’s good training for later when it will be all-out mobilization against the Soviet Union—and this is what they’re really aiming toward. After all, it’s never too soon to whip up chauvinism toward “those dirty foreigners.”

Third trick—the “bad cop” routine. Right now Carter plays the good cop—sincere, helpful, understanding a man of the people, that the bourgeoisie will definitely send in the “bad cop” (including Carter himself) as a follow-up, “therefore corruption—anybody who steps out of line and depletes the country will be at the press is complaining that Carter isn’t coming down hard enough on the depredators. About time to send in the muscle.”

HINT: IT IS NOT ENERGY

7 WAYS TO SAY “WAR”

GUESS THE HIDDEN MESSAGE WIN THE PRIZE BEFORE CURTAIN 3

July 20, 1979—Revolutionary Worker—Page 5
**Hunger Strike, Outrage at Walla Walla**

The reprisals against the prisoners at the Washington State Penitentiary in Walla Walla in the wake of the July 7 rebellion in the No. 8 wing of the prison continue. And the vicious abuse by the prison authorities has been met head on. 230 prisoners remain in the prison yard while the pigs complete repairs on damaged prison cells. The rest of the prison remains on 24-hour lockdown. Many in the yard have no clothes except underwear and no blankets to protect them from the blistering summer sun. It took a week for prison officials to even allow the inmates to sit in the shade of a prison wall. There are only two toilets for all the prisoners and those who have attempted to use them have been periodically shot at by the guards.

But these inhuman conditions and vicious reprisals have been met by the growing struggle of the prisoners and supporters. On July 15, a hunger strike began, involving at least 300 prisoners by the prison officials' own accounts (undoubtedly the real figure is much higher). The prisoners are demanding the removal of the prison administration. On July 18 a state senate committee visiting the prison was met at the prison gate by a demonstration of prisoners' families and supporters, demanding medical teams be sent in to provide medical care that has been denied inmates since June 15. The blazing flame of rebellion continues to burn at Walla Walla despite the authorities' vicious attempts to put it out.

**Letter From the Inside...**

Following is a letter from one of the prisoners in segregation at Walla Walla:

Dear comrade,

I'm in the process of drafting up another article on recent developments here. We're on a food strike here in segregation in solidarity with the population and protest of the recent brutality here.

I talked to both the investigators from DSHS and the FBI so far. What's real clear here is that the state's desperate to cover its ass. Both of these pig agencies are working out a bizarre "set up" theory. They're trying to establish a defense (for their brutality) based on the fact that we not only set them up but did the injuries to ourselves! If it weren't for the tape recording I made and Carl Harp's serious condition, I actually believe they'd get away with everything.

In any case there's a real good chance of retaliation some time in the future. As it is Danny and I (and Carl) have been identified as the real potentials for trouble in a law suit. A detective calmly told me all the state needed to do was get rid of me to dispose of the tape's admissibility. So I expect words to become actions soon.

I'll keep you posted as things here take shape.

Dare to Struggle, Dare to Win, ML
Part 4: Conclusion

In the face of a major setback or defeat, the leadership of the revolutionaries need to analyze and synthesize the causes of this defeat or setback. This is especially important in the U.S. where the movement is relatively weak. The war, and the movement which developed in opposition to it, struck the fabric of U.S. society at a very basic level. Bourgeoisie was dealt a severe blow.

Under the class basis of this, it is helpful to recall a penetrating analysis made by the revolutionaries in China in 1946:

- "The Vietnamese people waged a bitter and bloody fight against the U.S. imperialists and the Vietnamese people..."

- "The Vietnamese people...""...fought...""

- "We recognize that the Party's declaration of dissolution was a genuine act of solidarity with the Vietnamese people..."

- "The Vietnamese people...""...fought...""

- "We recognize that the Party's declaration of dissolution was a genuine act of solidarity with the Vietnamese people..."

- "The Vietnamese people...""...fought...""
Nicaragua

Continued from page 1

their arms! and forget the past. He attempted to rally the national guard to his support and continue the civil war to suppress the revolution.

Urrutia stayed in power only a short time. On July 14, 1979, FSLN guerrillas and urban fighters took over the San Francisco Mission District in San Francisco, California. The revolutionaries seized the chancellory and arrested the old officials. The revolutionaries announced their surrender. The U.S. had hoped to keep the chancellory and its staff in order to receive so-called "aid." But Urrutia had demanded in negotiations that the former government be "integrated" into the new one. But as Urrutia stayed, negotiations between the government and the Sandinistas broke down. Then when he fled, so did the guard commander.

FSLN supporters demonstrate in the San Francisco Mission District on July 14, 1979. Colonel Feretti

Nicaragua Chancellory Seized

"The Hardest Part of the Struggle Begins Now"

(Washington, D.C.) With the Sandinista victory still fresh, six Nicaraguans in D.C. walked in and took over the Nicaraguan chancellory. They told the old officials that they no longer represented people of Nicaragua and told them to leave. One of the Nicaraguans said, "This act represents the Nicaraguan people's struggle to break any links with the ousted government and to clean up the scum left over from the Somoza regime." The ambassador, Guillermo Sánchez Secada, who had been fired by the provisional government a day earlier, wasn't quite ready to leave. In a last ditch effort to hold on to his position he called in the D.C. pigs to break up the takeover. The cops wasted no time. One cop bashed through a door, threw a 52-year-old Nicaraguan woman up against the wall and grabbed for his gun. He began yelling, "Get out of here." After 1 1/2 hours the Nicaraguans decided to leave.

As they got outside the chancellory the cops arrested three leaders of the takeover. Two Nicaraguans were thrown to the ground, handcuffed and beaten. The crowd outside swelled to a hundred as the police action against the peaceful takeover exposed again the nature of the U.S. government. When the smoke had cleared three Nicaraguans were arrested for assault on a federal officer and two Nicaraguans were in the hospital.

The Nicaraguans went to the chancellory celebrating their victory over Somoza. Even after the cops attacked, the people's determination was strengthened. As the 52-year-old Nicaraguan woman was being taken away in a stretcher to the hospital she gave a clenched fist. Another of the Nicaraguans in the takeover said, "The hardest part of the struggle begins now. We want to be independent. We have to rebuild our country, 30,000 people have died to rid Nicaragua of Somoza and set up a people's government. We will not lay down our guns like they did in Chile."
companies, the government and the rest of their class "handle" the pesticide.

For more information call (212) 924-4387.

The outrage shows that the public relations isn't everyone else, but also "the capitalists who make (DBC)"—an unusually high number of cancerous tumors developed. Those rats had tumors all the way up to their brains. We're going to have an outbreak of similar problems if workers, I'm pretty sure," one scientist told the Los Angeles Times.

Workers Expose Chemical Sterilization

In fact, the public first heard of DBCP in 1977 by accident when workers at the Occidental Chemical plant began talking among themselves about why they couldn't have children. They demanded tests and found that 35 out of 114 had been sterilized. One third of the 412 workers in other companies were also sterile. And where DBCP was used, a third of the farmworkers and pesticide applicators tested suffered from low sperm counts or were sterile.

The chemical companies were going by their cold-calculating rule—what they don't know won't hurt them. As early as 1958 Shell got a "confidential report" that UC Stahler that DBCP sterilized test animals. Again in 1961 another researcher at Dow Chemical found the same results, but arbitrarily recommended, without any supporting evidence, to limit exposure to a "safe level" of 1 ppm—a level one thousand times greater than what health experts recently set as safe. When asked why the workers weren't warned, Howard Kunitz, Shell's corporate manager for safety objects, "When the significance of the infertility occurred (in 1977) the workers were warned within a matter of hours." Shell can probably be counted on to just be as quick with a warning after they've killed a worker.

Yet despite being known as a cancer causer since 1973, DBCP was labeled "safe" by the U.S. Department of Agriculture until the Occidental incident in 1977. California growers were using 800,000 pounds a year (13 million pounds across the country). As if sterilization wasn't enough, DBCP settles on the fruits and vegetables as a cyanogenic residue. There's no idea how many will be hurt since the cancer won't show up until many years later (not that the growers, chemical companies and the government are too eager to find out). By that time the victims will just be recorded as routine cancer deaths.

The case of DBCP and the pesticide horror show exactly how powerful technology in the capitalists' hands is, undetected by others, denying only their profit-mad drive. They invent powerful poisons to kill one pest, only to kill off the natural enemies of other pests and create new and more serious pests.

In California 24 major pests today, each causing more than one million dollars in damage, became such hazards because of the anarchy. Scientists couldn't understand why they don't pour money into developing and using more scientific methods called integrated pest management, IPM—combining crop rotation, biological controls and only selective pesticide use. Three scientists say they can cut down fifty percent of the pesticide use with the same results. But what the scientists know: they will not be made public because they have grown "too much food." DBCP or the infamous DDT aren't exceptions. The key is always money, profit, fighting now to lift all restrictions and expand its use. The growers and government officials, cynically making themselves that the only criterion for restricting a deadly pesticide is how much it costs. This is the shape of things to come.

In September 1978, the growers and chemical corporations got the EPA to give the green light on DBCP. Growers can again use it widely on pineapple, tree fruit, grapes and flowers. They are fighting now to lift all restrictions and expand its use. The growers and government officials, cynically making themselves that the only criterion for restricting a deadly pesticide is how much it costs. This is the shape of things to come.
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For over a decade Avakian has been a central figure in the development of the revolutionary movement in the U.S. He was closely associated with the Black Panther Party in its most revolutionary period and was an influential figure within SDS at its height in the late 1960s. He became involved in the actions at Berkeley that gave birth to the Free Speech Movement of the U.C. Berkeley campus. Avakian was among hundreds arrested in actions that ignited campuses across the U.S.

The Vietnam War was a major issue confronting students and the whole country at that time. Thousands studied, debated and demonstrated over U.S. involvement there. Avakian, like many, had questions: Could it be true that the U.S. government was there for the benefit of the Vietnamese people?

The explosion in Selma, Alabama shook the last bits of illusions from his eyes and propelled him into action against the war. "If the government would not hesitate to unleash dogs (human and otherwise), bayonets and clubs against Black Americans, they could only be up to no good in Vietnam."

By 1965, Avakian says, "I hated this country, the way it treated Black people and others, as well as people around the world; the bloody fangs, the hypocrisy, the way it turns people into petty 'money first,' racist pigs. I hated everything it stood for—a religion and all. So I loved it when the U.S. rulers, pompous, pious, murdering pigs that they are, got their ass kicked in Viet-
nam. Anything against their 'American way' was worth checking out."

In 1966, Avakian dropped out of school and became a repairer for the radical, left-wing magazine Ramparts. At that time an acquaintance correctly prophesied, "One day you're going to be a professional revolutionary." While working at Ramparts, he met Eldridge Cleaver. It was the first time he heard anyone talk about armed struggle to overthrow the ruling class in the U.S.

He remembers covering a Panther rally protesting the police murder of Black people. "There were 20-30 Black dudes in leather jackets, berets and gloves—and with guns, I kept thinking, 'Now this is a revolutionary organization with a purpose and discipline.'"

That was not the prevailing opinion at the time. When the Panthers first became known, pacifism was a widespread sentiment among many who considered themselves progressive. Liberals and "leftists" alike were appalled at the revolutionary, armed rebellion of the Panthers. But Avakian saw it as a very good thing. He led and won the struggle with the California Peace and Freedom Party to support the Panthers and their campaign to free Huey Newton. The alliance between the Panthers and the Peace and Freedom Party developed even stronger ties between the Panthers and Avakian. At a "Free Huey" demonstration held on Newton's birthday in the spring of 1968, Avakian was the only white political activist to speak on the same stage with Black militants as Eldridge Cleaver, Bobby Seale, James Foreman, Rap Brown and Stokely Carmichael.

From Political Activist to Revolutionary Communist

In 1968, Avakian was the driving force in forming the Revolutionary Union (RU), forerunner to the RCP. Cleaver tried to talk Avakian out of it, because some leaders wanted to stay in the SDS. But Avakian resisted, saying, "It's a matter of principle. If the RU does not form, I will not participate in the SDS."

Avakian was sentenced only after the trial, because the RU was considered a dangerous revolutionary organization. He was arrested and charged with obstructing the peace, malicious mischief, and desecration of the flag. Avakian was convicted and sentenced to 30 days in jail, "or no more than 30 days in jail," as the judge put it. Avakian was released, but the RU was still a very controversial and frequently unpopular cause.

The explosion in Selma, Alabama shook the last bits of illusions from his eyes and propelled him into action against the war. "If the government would not hesitate to unleash dogs (human and otherwise), bayonets and clubs against Black Americans, they could only be up to no good in Vietnam."

The report doesn't say that Avakian was sentenced only after the trial, because the RU was considered a dangerous revolutionary organization. He was arrested and charged with obstructing the peace, malicious mischief, and desecration of the flag. Avakian was convicted and sentenced to 30 days in jail, "or no more than 30 days in jail," as the judge put it. Avakian was released, but the RU was still a very controversial and frequently unpopular cause.

By 1965, Avakian says, "I hated this country, the way it treated Black people and others, as well as people around the world; the bloody fangs, the hypocrisy, the way it turns people into petty 'money first,' racist pigs. I hated everything it stood for—a religion and all. So I loved it when the U.S. rulers, pompous, pious, murdering pigs that they are, got their ass kicked in Viet-
nam. Anything against their 'American way' was worth checking out."

In 1966, Avakian dropped out of school and became a repairer for the radical, left-wing magazine Ramparts. At that time an acquaintance correctly prophesied, "One day you're going to be a professional revolutionary." While working at Ramparts, he met Eldridge Cleaver. It was the first time he heard anyone talk about armed struggle to overthrow the ruling class in the U.S.

He remembers covering a Panther rally protesting the police murder of Black people. "There were 20-30 Black dudes in leather jackets, berets and gloves—and with guns, I kept thinking, 'Now this is a revolutionary organization with a purpose and discipline.'"

That was not the prevailing opinion at the time. When the Panthers first became known, pacifism was a widespread sentiment among many who considered themselves progressive. Liberals and "leftists" alike were appalled at the revolutionary, armed rebellion of the Panthers. But Avakian saw it as a very good thing. He led and won the struggle with the California Peace and Freedom Party to support the Panthers and their campaign to free Huey Newton. The alliance between the Panthers and the Peace and Freedom Party developed even stronger ties between the Panthers and Avakian. At a "Free Huey" demonstration held on Newton's birthday in the spring of 1968, Avakian was the only white political activist to speak on the same stage with Black militants as Eldridge Cleaver, Bobby Seale, James Foreman, Rap Brown and Stokely Carmichael.

From Political Activist to Revolutionary Communist

In 1968, Avakian was the driving force in forming the Revolutionary Union (RU), forerunner to the RCP. Cleaver tried to talk Avakian out of it, because some leaders wanted to stay in the SDS. But Avakian resisted, saying, "It's a matter of principle. If the RU does not form, I will not participate in the SDS."

Avakian was sentenced only after the trial, because the RU was considered a dangerous revolutionary organization. He was arrested and charged with obstructing the peace, malicious mischief, and desecration of the flag. Avakian was convicted and sentenced to 30 days in jail, "or no more than 30 days in jail," as the judge put it. Avakian was released, but the RU was still a very controversial and frequently unpopular cause.
Vietnam

Continued from page 7

From which included Vietnamese from all classes who opposed U.S. interference. The Vietnamese Party (actually the south Vietnamese Workers Party) was formed in 1944 and never heard of, in Vietnam or abroad. Fighting on, they became the other Vietnamese leaders (but above all from their practices) it can be seen that they view the question of the working class as an organizational one. The united front had to organize and organize and organize. This could be done as easily underground as openly — and with all the advantages of one.

Here can be seen the seeds of what went wrong in Vietnam. The liquidation of the leadership which came from the French; or its downplaying in the south against the Vietnamese proletariat was a tactical error. It occurred because the basic outlook of Ho Chi Minh and other leaders was that, if he can carry the revolution beyond liberating the country, and for that reason did not see the need to win the support of the working class. They did not openly propogate communist ideology or struggle to make use of manpower, to make use of the economic forces. They did not openly propogate the need for a national revolution, but only a first step ushering in an even greater struggle against imperialism and the capitalist way of life. This is a purely optical error.

This is not the place to discuss the distinction that was drawn between the nationalism of the bourgeoisie and the petty-bourgeoisie. The one is, in essence, no such distinction exists. Instead of making use of manpower, the bourgeoisie fought ideologically as well as practically to prevent the working class from realizing the line in command of the communists.

No Such Thing as Mlasses

Continued from page 8

Continued from page 10

Continued from page 11

something starting

With this kind of view, the "en
ding the capitalist mode of produc-
tion, and making an earth-shattering and

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namese Workers Party) which included Vietnamese from


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnamese Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.

ECONOMY

Vietnam Party (actually the south Viet
namesian branch of the Vietnamese Workers Party which


culture of exploitation of man by man.
The decision to publish a 1979 UAW strike would set the stage for a new era in American labor history. (July 17, 1979—Revolutionary Worker—Page 13)

Cleveland, July 19, The Ford Brookpark engine plant in Ohio has been brought to its knees by workers fighting against the firing of one of their own. The workers, some of whom have been working at the plant for 20 years, are demanding that the company reopen the plant to allow them to go back to work. The struggle is part of a broader movement to fight against the capitalist class and build a revolutionary socialist revolution.

The workers at Ford Brookpark have been struggling for their jobs and against the company's efforts to break their union. The struggle is part of a larger movement to fight against the capitalist class and build a revolutionary socialist revolution. The workers at Ford Brookpark are demanding that the company reopen the plant to allow them to go back to work. The struggle is part of a broader movement to fight against the capitalist class and build a revolutionary socialist revolution.

The workers at Ford Brookpark have been struggling for their jobs and against the company's efforts to break their union. The struggle is part of a larger movement to fight against the capitalist class and build a revolutionary socialist revolution. The workers at Ford Brookpark are demanding that the company reopen the plant to allow them to go back to work. The struggle is part of a broader movement to fight against the capitalist class and build a revolutionary socialist revolution.