

Controversial questions with regard to Seema-Vishwavijay traitorous path

Introduction:- Comprehending the sporadic acts of opportunists

On 26th June 2026 the North Coordination Committee of CPI(Maoist) released a press statement. The statement is an unprecedented exposure of liquidators of our times. The statement brought a storm in the opportunist-liquidators-revisionists (OLR) camps. It was a watershed moment for the struggle against OLR. Nothing remained same after this statement. The storm in Ganga spread to Ravi and further to Kaveri. A wide range of Leninist literature bombarded the social media spaces. Debates on liquidationism which once occurred in Russia in 1908-1914 rekindled here in India. Many revolutionary mass organization of the country stood to expose the opportunist liquidationist line of Vishwavijay-Seema. One after another the opportunist came together to plan their new course of action against the party, they were vexed about the future which they are to take. New groupings were being made, new sorts of vows were taken. Some vows were short lived and some still persists. The vows to stand in support with the traitor Vishwavijay-Seema largely was short lived, through consistent struggle by Marxist-Leninist-Maoist forces some deviated forces were back on the correct political path. It become all the more important for some old monks from Kaveri region to pose as conciliators in order to shamelessly hide once liquidationist practice. It took a high level political idiocy and shamelessness from his part to proclaim that 'who met whom' is not important in politics. Besides he also made us proud by calling us left adventurist, for comrade Lenin had said that only genuine revolutionary communist forces are those whom the opportunist term anarchist. Similarly the old heroes of the Easter years showed their true colors but not a single opportunist dared to stand on the theory of liquidationism. No one said in open that liquidationism is correct in politics. Thus the statement settled many things, positions were taken, lines were marked, opportunist and liquidators were exposed and the revolutionary party structure was saved and strengthened. All this marks the victory of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism over Opportunism-Liquidationism-Revisionism.

What were the state agencies doing? Before we answer this question, it is important for the readers to understand that the party CPI(Maoist) now dominantly has unarmed existence but we are in a process to regain all that we have lost, this process is marked and determined by our firm commitment to our ideology that is Marxism-Leninism-Maoism (MLM) and our political line as decided in the 9th congress unity congress of our party. After having said this it becomes important to underline that henceforth the new thrust of enemy's attack on us would be largely political, ideological and organizational though it would never leave the military line of attack against us. The specific and unique feature of the statement of NCC was to frustrate the attempts of the state to send coverts inside the revolutionary camps, this was manifested in the statement's severe attack on CIA network in the country and therefore people like N.Ravi took the unpopular path in service of US imperialism and comprador Indian state besides attacking CPI (Maoist)'s NCC, he started asserting that the claims of CIA involvement in the anti-Maoist operation like the one mentioned in NCC statement needs to be substantiated by very strong evidence. The snake behind the green grass was exposed in the light of the day. One wonder how can a Maoist be such a great sympathizer for the CIA, by demanding not just evidence but a very strong one? As if this great gambler of revolutionary phrases was the one deeply pained by the attack on CIA by the party, his bleeding heart knew no recourse and it exposed itself in the public, the oozing of blood was visible to all. Ravi's sympathy for CIA is diplomatically unsound crude mixture of opportunists with the state agencies. Even when the traitor Seema-Vishwavijay

brought defamation suit against some party sympathetic revolutionary mass organisation, the snake opportunists, which have its best and highest representation in N. Ravi did not even have the diplomatic cursury of an opportunist to condemn it. They say no love can be hidden for long, they even say that one can not hide a golden plough, for being a plough a day will come when it would be taken into the agricultural field in order to till the land. Ravi took the plough of opportunist line which he had long hidden from the party into the field to till the land that is to attack the party. Opportunist can not play the game of snake for long. They can not be with the ruling class while simultaneously claiming to be Marxist. For short period, opportunist got an opportune time when CC got weak and the party committee grew vulnerable to attack by opportunist forces, Ravi could find an opportune time to come out in open and use all his past legacy to attack the party's committee NCC, working for reviving the party. Ravi became a toll in the hand of Imperialism to target and attack us. US imperialism buys people from the movement to attack the movement, this it has done with all the peoples movement. Opportunist and liquidators are the similar forces for the states.

Indian state psychological warfare and the attack on revolutionary mass organization and NCC CPI(Maoist)

Indian state and US imperialism ever since the launching of operation surajkund policy, a new strategy of warfare operated, the age old anti communist propaganda vehicle of US imperialism came into play. The state agencies along with CIA have a long history of attack on the revival process being conducted by CPI(Maoist) ever since the PB resolution of Aug 2024. Following can be the list of estimated attacks by the State which have been marked and exposed by the party and several other revolutionary mass organisations which have been sympathetic to our Party :-

1) Sent some state agents who used to move around the circles of the revolutionary mass organizations, they use to confuse the cadre, by posing as a sympathizer they wanted to collect all the information related to ug work of the party. Party marked and exposed such intriguers among the cadres, thus the attempt failed.

2) With repeated persuasion and by offering some posts/jobs/money etc.. or else by blackmailing some lower level cadres they either made such cadres state approver or turned them into their agents. Through these agents besides locating ug cadres and leadership; in the name of debates ideological and political attack on the party line was initiated. We all saw it in case of the ug-open debate which the party lead against Sumit-Sahil clique of Haryana a section of youth understood that to be able to fight brahmanical hindutva fascism one needs to be underground and preserve ourselves by destroying the enemy bit by bit. Similarly in revolutionary mass organization and magazine opportunist forces were created by state. We saw this in Ramnit issue which the Nazariya magazine handles, the state forces in collaboration with Ramnit initiated a debates on sexual relationship and communist morality, efforts were made to dismantle the revolutionary mass organizations. All these debates were clinched by the party; tons of literature on all these questions were produced, comrades sharpened their understanding on these question, new forces of ideological political cohesiveness and concreteness was exerted in the party. The enemy could not exterminate us, neither in political ideology sphere nor in organizational existence.

3) To rekindle the ug-open debate some students were arrested and tortured, they were told that they are being beaten up because one comrade named Val went ug. This was enough for us to understand that the whole issue with the state is to ensure that no youth joins the ug life. The path shown by the party to

defeat fascism was an alternate for the youth to follow and hence to stop it the state had to use both its brute force and psychological warfare tactics. The state forces said to our comrades that do whatever you wish to but do not go ug and those who went open from ug life were welcomed by state and opportunists forces with a garland of flower.

4) A coordinated group of specific cover agents of the state with the opportunist liquidators of the party was formed. This group actively promoted dissents among the cadres against the leadership, pushed all the non Marxist theory and liberal politics of conciliation within the party structures and revolutionary platforms. An example of which was Prashant Rahi's activities which our party thoroughly exposed and shamed.

5) After the meeting of Trump with several other representative of European imperialist powers a resolution, the resolution said that the prime enemy of theirs is left wing extremism. In this our country and our party was categorically mentioned. Earlier to this when the US external affairs representative came to India, special mention was made of the party's revival work in north India. After this the opportunist liquidators renegade Devji went to meet the home ministry urging him to make the party legal and open. The whole of the party is being attempted to be liquidated. The comprador could boast of their obedience to the imperialist masters. No sooner had the resolution was undertaken than a shift attempt to nullify all the revival process was undertaken by the state forces. Devji being asked to represent the open legal CPI(Maoist) is in same direction.

6) In order to ensure extermination of the party, apart from creating a forged CPI (Maoist) which would be open legal and would participate in election, a sarakri Maoist party, another Liberation like parties and groups it became important to discredit or tag those on the party political line and true representative of the political legacy and political ideological military and organizational line of CPI(Maoist) as state agents, dictators, mechanical, unity-breakers, left deviation, extremist, left-sectarian etc... But who would do this? Certainly no agency of the ruling class will bring a statement carry all these foul propaganda. For this they have the coordination that have been mentioned in point (4) Thus we understand the pompous proclamation of NCC CPI (Maoist) as state agent by lap-dog of Imperialism and Feudalism, Vishwavijay-Seema. With this the state gained an upper hand, joined by another pet of US Imperialism and Indian comprador classes, N Ravi the group comprised of a CIA agent, Seema-Vishwavijay, N Ravi himself along with some seasonal appearances who though not member of this group, irrespective of their subjective desires, objectively support this group, of them are one old monk and some self proclaimed theoretically hot heroes of old times.

By going through the points mentioned above one realize that the present tactics of state repression on the revolutionary forces has taken a different turn. It now relies heavily on opportunist-liquidationist-revisionist elements. In the military sphere too they had formed DRG, that compromised of all the degenerated elements who either betrayed us or were expelled from the party for their indiscipline. Similarly here too the state is deploying the same method, it is relying on the OLR forces like Venugopal, Devji, Seema-Vishwavijay, Prashant Rahi etc... to strengthen its attack on the revival process of the party. One is left to ponder on the question which stand as elephant in the room. The question is what explain such a large number of opportunists and liquidators.

Why we have some many opportunists-liquidators?

The answer to these vexed questions lies in the political economy of our country. Nothing other than the vexed condition of the political economy and the social cultural crisis linked to it can explain the sprouting of numerous OLR forces in the country. Comrade Lenin said that liquidationism is a social phenomenon that do not have to do with just some individuals. In fact, individuals are the manifestation of the social crisis that lead to the phenomenon of Liquidationism in India as was in Russia during those times. Liquidationism or the renouncing of ug party for the sake of open legal amorphous organization not worthy to be called communist party is direct attack on the development of proletarian class into the universal class. It is an attempt to hamper the development of proletariat from class in itself to class for itself. If the party is liquidated proletarian struggle and its dictatorship towards state less and class less society stands liquidated too. Liquidationism ensures the continuation of the wage slavery by the proletariat. The higher urge for some classes in society to ensure the continuation of wage slavery by proletariat is reflected in Liquidationism, hence it becomes important to understand what was there in the society that increased the urge of certain class/es in the society to seek misery and wage slavery for the proletariat? What and whose class motives are being achieved by perpetuating this slavery? Certainly, we have to look for the political economy of petty bourgeoisie class struggle in the society to better understand this urge. The hopeless, destitute condition of petty bourgeoisie of Indian society is rooted in feudal Brahmanical order, influenced and shaped by absence of any bourgeoisie revolution, added to is the morse of Imperialism. The finance capital by investing heavily into the country creates a sphere of non-agrarian sector accelerated the formation of middle class. The middle class of our country comprising of the petty bourgeoisie intellectual has its roots in colonial modernity, a modernity that was shaped by Brahmanical feudalism and imperialism. Where freedom implies the freedom of choice in the Neo-liberal market whereas the Brahmanical order simultaneously controls the power of the superior caste and family authority.

Ever since the capitalist imperialist crisis of 1990s manifested as overproduction of commodities and inability of the people to consume due to fall in wages the gap between the urge and the availability of commodities (use-value) for classes other than ruling classes is widening besides the capital too was becoming unproductive and it could not fetch returns. In order to come out of the crisis the global finance capital started a new race of capital investment in oppressed countries. Huge investment was made in Neo-liberal commodities market that rested on instant gratification and ever insatiated demands of pleasure goods. Such capital inflow was largely in terms of extractive industry, IT sectors based appropriation of low paid skills, shopping malls, housing societies, roads and highways. This created a numerous section of middle classes, that includes petty bourgeoisie. Ever since 1990s, the number of middle class have only strengthened. The middle class formation process in a semi-colonial semi-feudal society like ours is a process of partial capital appropriation (no parallel alternate to social, political, economic and cultural life of village society is provided by the finance capital) of individuals who are being placed in destitution by the landlord based economy where the land is concentrated in few hands or few caste or kin groups, the capital from imperialist powers or the comprador capital push and pulls people from the village community level to the Neo-liberal market of imperialist finance capital. This is the conditions of the middle class in the country, ever since the growth of BJP-RSS power, Brahmanical feudal order got stronger and consolidated its hold in the villages, hence the land struggle sharpened, landless and agricultural laborer were put more in vulnerable conditions, the incidence of Dinara of Rohtas in Bihar is an example of rising feudal power, in this case the feudal

forces killed the bonded labor who was serving them angered by it the people burnt the property of the landlord. How is this different from Naxalbari?

This incidence highlights the crying need for land by the people but the opportunist revisionist forces do not see the question of land to the tiller and all the power to the Revolutionary Peoples Committee. The ruling class present the glittering urban centers as an alternate to people, they invite people to urban centers. Frustrated by conditions in villages people migrate to urban centers but what the finance capital sets to offer, disguised unemployment, unpaid labor, exploitation through long duration employment, alienation from work leading to mental health issues, attack on migrant workers and exploitation oppression in terms of ghettoization of Muslims, oppressed caste and groups. Such is the condition of the middle class in the present economy. It has its future ruined in drugs, anarchic sexual relation, post-modern thoughts of personal choice and radical freedom and dip into ever expanding market of Neo-liberalism.

A huge number of petty bourgeoisie stands to loses their job due to the assault of the capital through the weapon of AI. The condition is such that there are huge spontaneous outburst of youth protest against the government policies of blaming paper leak as a tactics to secure imperialism feudalism from being exposed as a system that has perceptual unemployment inherent in it. Added to it the repression by the feudal fascist forces on the petty bourgeoisie puts this class in clueless condition. Besides the devastating condition of the working class its party CPI (Maoist) is on the edge of existence but from the edge it is marching toward the central goal of world communism. The havoc condition of the petty bourgeoisie is represented by the opportunist in the party. The more dilapidated, hopeless, unprincipled and dumb driven this class becomes the more severe is opportunism in the party, it becomes liquidationism in concrete condition of its spiritual hollowness in material world. Liquidationism is the most depressed condition of the petty bourgeoisie, the most reactionary, the most renegade elements of the feudal and imperialist social and political economic world order. Such is the base of liquidationism in the revolutionary camp.

Why are the Indian petty bourgeoisie intellectuals weak in the struggle against opportunism-liquidationism?

The petty bourgeoisie's worry and indecisiveness in struggle against OLR is reflected when it realizes that one who were very near and dear to them till yesterday is being branded as a traitor by the party, hence the question is what is going on. In a society like ours where personal relations drives all the social, political and economic considerations how one is expected to be firm on political line and ideology by struggling against those near and dear ones whom till yesterday one considered guru, father, mother, brother, sister etc.. Due to this feudal trend of not placing politics and theory before a personal relation, many still buy this opportunist propaganda that a certain leadership who is basically dictatorial is running the show and they are up-to expelling anyone who disagree with them.

In Indian society the motley feudal bondage are yet to be torn aside hence the human relation are shaped by the feudal bondage, the bondage based on the Brahmanical social order that binds on person to its natural superior which is ordained by caste based Brahmanical ideology. This explains lack of any rigorous ideological debates between individuals and groups at best what we find is attacks on individuals based on class, caste, family, sex, color, height etc...Brahmanism makes ideological firmness and commitment a rare phenomenon. Brahmanism within the society rests on emotional

methods of reproduction and remains unconcerned for ideological clarity as long as its basic principle of dominance remains firm.

In Indian communist revolutionary movement, ideological struggles have been marked by Brahmanism of the feudal social order in which it stand placed. In the CPI before 1947 and even after it to some extent the congress party men were in a very good relation with CPI, in fact it was rare to see them differing. The Brahmanical halo of the congress leaders like Gandhi and Subhash swallowed the communist leaderships. In fact the communist party had become a tail of Congress. Even the CPI (M) which was formed on ideological issue merged with the CPI on ideological question and become one of it, though it remained a separate party. It was only the ideological clarity and consciousness of comrade Kanhai Chatterjee and comrade Charu Majumdar that the communist movement could get the breathing space in order to expand its influence and ensure its growth. But after the martyrdom of these comrades and lack of the revolutionary center, created confusion and the communist movement lost its ideological sharpness and strength.

It was only the ideological firmness and commitment of comrades in PW, PU and MCCI that our party CPI (Maoist) was formed in 2004, from then to the present times, we have not been able to completely follow the Leninist method of party building and Maoist method of leadership, it was because of this that we are facing severe crisis. Our party recognized this in Aug 2024 resolution that we have not been able to build a completely Bolshevik party and we have not been able to give concrete proper political and ideological guidance to the comrades and people at large. The Venugopal episode then occurred, leading into emergence of Opportunism-Liquidationism-Revisionism debate in the Indian revolutionary movement. Now the question is how Brahmanism is to influence the struggle against Liquidationism which our party is leading. What effect will it have on the comrades who are leading the spear head of the struggle? How will it fulfill the interest of the ruling class in this struggle; that is how it will ensure that no harm is done to the liquidators who are up to destroy and dissolve the party?

Comrade Lenin said that “We can-not conceive of the social revolution being accomplished by the proletariat without this struggle, without clear demarcation on questions of principle.....and without a complete break between the opportunist, petty-bourgeois elements and the proletariat, revolutionary elements of the new historic force during this revolution.” Whenever this demarcation got narrowed we suffered defeat. This demarcation gets narrowed down due to Brahmanism in Indian context. Due to the influence of Brahmanism as an ideological forces abstracted under the cover of emotion of love, care, respect and honor, leaders are seen as person with a halo behind their heads; comrades in the revolutionary camps also build comradely relation with the halo of the leadership. Due to this relation with the halo; the leaders were seen as semi-god or if not god then at least as mother, father or to say the least brother and sister. This is something which is internalized in our society and thus it became common sense for most of the comrades in revolutionary camps. It was all okay when this leaderships were on the correct revolutionary path but when the same leadership turned into renegade to Marxism Leninism-Maoism, this halo based relation became a dangerous mark of ideological and political wavering and ambiguity among the comrades within the revolutionary camp. The proletariat interest demands from them to come out of this relation and struggle against the opportunist but the illuminating appearance of the halo strengthens the internalized Brahmanism. If this is not done then the danger of destruction of our party looms before us.

Let us be reminded of comrade Mao who explained to us how the inability to fight Liquidation can lead into complete destruction of the communist party. Comrade Mao said “Of course we cannot tolerate

these capitulator and anti-Communists, because that would amount to allowing them to sabotage resistance and wreck unity; we must resolutely oppose the capitulator and, in self-defense, firmly repel the attacks of the anti-Communist elements. Failure to do so would be Right opportunism and would harm unity and resistance.” The inability of some in and around the revolutionary camp to struggle against opportunism liquidationism and revisionism is due to the liberal attitude in them. Comrade Mao said that feudalism can also lead to individualism and liberalism, he said “Liberalism is a manifestation of opportunism and conflicts fundamentally with Marxism. It is negative and objectively has the effect of helping the enemy; that is why the enemy welcomes its preservation in our midst. Such being its nature, there should be no place for it in the ranks of the revolution.”

It is no exaggeration to say that in Indian context Brahmanism is the base of all kinds of opportunism-liquidationism-revisionism. Brahmanism not just promotes blind allegiance to feudal authority (Opportunist leadership) but also promotes unprincipled unity based on the emotion of love, care, respect and honor with the opportunists. This explains ever increasing number of conciliators in our struggle against Seema-VV and the inability of certain great and old heroes to understand the opportunist liquidators elements within the revolutionary camps. Most assiduous attack on opportunism-liquidationism-revisionism can only be made from an anti-feudal and anti-imperialist perspective, for this strict ideological political discussions and intervention and analysis has to be made. The feudal trends present in individuals makes one incapable of making a political analysis and reduces these issues to individual fights and hence many try to keep their feudal relations intact with the opportunist and liquidators.

Debates which CPI (Maoist) wants to conduct but the opportunist are afraid of

In the press release of 26th June, CPI (Maoist) declared a remarkable position. It said that Fear is a class process. That human nature is nothing but class nature in concrete. The statement exposed the politics of fear which the Brahmanical Hindutva Fascist (BHF) forces tries to create in the mind of the people. The politics of fear and the political economy behind it stands exposed through this statement. The people struggling against the BHF forces realizes that one can not uplift ones condition unless fear is surmounted by reasoning based on MLM. The state forces deploy bullets to create fear, we saw it in the protest in Delhi's Jantar Mantar against paper leak. Similarly in farmer protest we saw the use of brute force to create fear among the mind of people. even in CAA-NRC protest, methods of physical torture was deployed to create fear. It tried doing this in the workers movement too but the workers did not surmount to the fear, they even exposed the opportunist leadership of the movement, branded them as enemy of the workers and exposed them for their cooperation with the state forces, the workers were fearless they attacked the ruling classes and their state forces. We saw this spirit in Dinara too. The peoples movement in DK and Bihar-Jharkhand (BJ) was advanced proletariat struggle of the people lead by the party, where comrades defied death and became martyrs for the world proletarian revolution.

Fear as a emotion is the abstraction of class struggle of the certain historical material condition of the society. In the class struggle when the proletariat is united it struggles sharply with the capitalist and ensures its own material well beings in this ideological positions proletariat dominates over the ruling class ideology. The question of fear will lose the material ground if the proletariat remains united on their ideology that is on Marxism-Leninism-Maoism. In the absence of proletariat ideological commitment fear surmounts the individual and makes them incapable of functioning. As comrade Marx said ideology serves as a material force when it grasp the masses. Similarly unless and until the

ideology of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism (MLM) grasp the individual, fear will remain a motive force. How are we to seek the line of MLM in the fearful conditions? Logic should aid us, rational thoughts leading to concrete logical coherence with historical dialectical perspective in analysis of any material object, process or phenomenon will be an effective tool against fear. This is the formula for coming out of the fear, this is what can be induced from statement. Party has thus given a useful tool to the people to fight the BHF forces through the spirit of death defying courage similar to those in comrade Basvaraju, comrade Kosa Da, comrade Raju Da and comrade Hidma Da etc...

Besides the statement sharply said that Marxism and not Feminism is what the people need, this assertion in the age of identity politics is remarkable. While some claims that there is something called proletariat feminism or Marxist feminism. The press release asserted that this is nothing but post modernism. For there is no need for the proletariat to have any other ism other than MLM because MLM has the universal application on all the issues concerning people. This is the extension of the struggle against post-modernism which the party had proclaimed in the PB Aug 2024 circulars. Post modernism has created a condition where petty bourgeoisie indecisiveness is turned into subjective introspection of the biological-social-political-economic-cultural-organizational process initiated by a historical material force abstracted from a production relation. Whenever the social order is pregnant with the development of productive forces to be delivered as rapture of production relation towards the higher stage of social material development, crisis stands inbuilt in it and in these crisis period the middle class particularly the petty bourgeoisie looks inside itself, Existentialism and Phenomenology aids them in this. This breaks the class solidarity and confines them to struggle for experience and existence based on the politics of choice and radical freedom. Feminism of all kinds essentialize experience of women to struggle against patriarchy, this leads to identity formation. While Marxism traces patriarchy in private property, state, religion, family structures and male power. All these sources of patriarchy are not due to existence and experience theory but rather due to the social political structures of production process.

One more very important question which the statement raises is the definition of Marxism itself, it said he is only a Marxist who is the member of a communist party. This is an important distinctive mark of Marxism and opportunist intellectuals. The post modern attack on Marxism reduced Marxism to academic narrow circles and thereby made it toothless. While if we see the history of Marxism we will realize that comrade Marx and comrade Engels membership of the communist league and their being part of many different parties and organization at different times was the cause for their developing the theory for the liberation of proletariat. MLM is a proletarian ideology that stands to liberate proletariat and is not just the pleasure seeking material of the neck bent petty bourgeoisie in Neo-liberalism.

In Toto the statement made following contribution to the historical question of OLR in communist movement:-

- 1) Exposed the connection between post modernism and opportunism and liquidationism
- 2) Exposed the Brahmanical Feudal roots of OLR, by underlying the feudal Brahmanical ethos of liquidators like Seema-Vishwavijay.
- 3) Exposed the ruling class weapon of fear as a mean to exterminate the party
- 4) Asserted that Marxist should be a part of communist party structure
- 5) Exposed post-modernist attack on MLM that tries to integrate Marxism with Feminism

Anyone who really wants to raise any political ideological question of what so ever nature and extent must follow these debates, dare to write against the political stand and not be confined to the general

snaky acts of opportunist of the Brahmanical kind. We ask them to shed some Brahmanical morals and instead of using personal networks to attack and discredit the party, have some might by answering the political questions head on.

The inability to understand the Carillon call of intensifying OLR struggle

Many opportunists are having restless nights. For the team that once burned Manusmriti burned Dastak this time. The opportunist who supported Manusmriti burning did not stand to support Dastak burning. Why this difference? Liberals supported Manusmriti burning because through determined historical struggle of the oppressed and exploited masses they were pulled into burning Manusmriti but since the burning of Dastak as the representative of struggle against OLR has not grown to the extent where they feel dragged into burning Dastak, they stand to oppose it. Until the working class consistently struggle against OLR and wins over the vast masses of people to their side, Seema Azad's magazine Dastak will be Saraswati Devi's for some degenerated liberal intellectuals. Brahmanical stomach just can not digest that how come a magazine which is the representative of Saraswati Devi should get burn. The imagination of Brahmanical opportunist of our age finds magazine burning disgusting as something which can not be pardoned. One among them was saying that it was all going good till the time an organization burnt the Dastak magazine. The individual who seek forgiveness from Saraswati Devi for having accidentally put ones leg on the book/notebook/magazine or for that matter a single piece of paper can not tolerate a burning. One needs to appreciate the extent of disgust in the individual. In feudal society there can not be a source of knowledge without halo around it. Can we then say that the opposition to magazine burning by opportunist is coming from their Brahmanism? Yes, the opportunist's emotion in and around Dastak is nothing but Brahmanism. Dastak once used to be a weapon in the hand of the party to educate the masses but with time we failed to put a Leninist structure to guide it and the result is before us, it turned into an anarchist magazine guided and controlled by the dictator and social fascist Seema Azad.

With the political degeneration of Seema the magazine too turned into a reactionary one. After 2024 we do not find any meaningful reference of feudalism in the magazine, except for some passing comments on feudalism, there is no sincere efforts to formulate and concretize the vast experience of anti-feudal struggles being led by the revolutionary party and the people. It is clear that Seema-Vishwavijay had stopped recognizing feudalism as principal contradiction of the society instead they say capitalism and individual choice and radical freedom dominates in our society, the only one evergreen topic is petty bourgeoisie criticism of BJP-RSS devoid of political economy analysis. In one of the issues of the magazine, the article sharply attacked communist movement for being anti-woman while opportunistically denying the role of revolutionary left in bringing a sharp understanding on Brahmanical feudal patriarchy. Neglecting all the progressive aspects of the communist movement and the huge number of participation of woman comrades in the revolutionary left lead movement, the magazine tried to bring in the feminist perspective in its most crude form. Similarly in many of its issue it has gone to the extend of rejoicing the festival of election, as the other ruling class parties they wanted BJP to be defeated. The height of traitorous act was reached to its apex when traitor of Indian revolution Kobad Gandhy article was carried by it.

The bourgeoisie limit to knowledge production is often sanctified by feudal values and ethos prevailing in society like India, where semi colonial semi feudal mode of production dominates. The idea of burning Dastak when placed in the matrix of semi colonial semi feudal social order appears different to different classes within the society. Some of the liberal petty bourgeoisie intellectuals who gets easily

terrified by the feudal fascism of BJP-RSS also finds fear in the path which the communist party or organization has taken because the path of the communist revolutionary is not the bed of roses it is the path in which one class violently overthrows the other therefore it is most violent and most cruel act. The weak hearted liberal bourgeoisie tries to create new hue and cry over Dastak Magazine they say:-

- a) This is a extreme case of hatred.
- b) What has the magazine to do with Seema-Vishwavijay?
- c) Political struggle should be political ideological and magazine burning is not a political act.
- d) Magazine burning is a left deviation.

All these questions comes from political perspective, a perspective which is reflection of opportunism-liquidationism-revisionism in peoples movement. If anyone who is a genuine Marxist will agree and believe that communist party is most invaluable weapon in the hand of the proletariat. Opportunist-Liquidator-Revisionist (OLR) are the enemies of the people, party and people's movement and therefore all the questions raised by them are only a process to weaken the party's struggle against OLR in order to exterminate the party itself. In order to not make mistakes of political nature at first we must understand intention behind the questions posed above. For an act to be analyzed and in order to predict the future motion of the act it is important to mark that the question on Dastak burning is a method to shield the liquidators, to give them a weapon to attack the party. In order to educate the revolutionary section of the society and people at large, it is important to highlight our understanding on all the above points. Therefore let us take the points one by one in order to develop a concrete understanding on the issue of Dastak burning.

1. It as said that this is an extreme case of hatred agaiinst Seema-Vishvavijay and their work. Hatred is a class term. We love or hate according to our class perspective and ideology. So far as the question of hating dastak is concerned , one needs to be a communist to have immense hatred for Dastak. Similarly, to love Dastak, one needs to be a genuine liberal bourgeoisie intellectual, liquidator of the noble order. The one who hate will do all that is in their capacity to accomplish their goal. Similarly, the one who love will do all in their capacity to accomplish the deep love for the individual, process or phenomenon, such being will do all within their behest to develop the love to a higher level. In our society, love has several methods of expression, i.e. one might dine with Seema-Vishvavijay, one might help them recover them from loss of eyesight or anxiety attack, high b.p. due to CPI(maoist)'s NCC statement exposing them. Whereas the person who hates them will ensure that their politics, the roots of the birth of Seema-Vishvavijay like individuals is eliminated and therefore they will write against them, make video statements against them, burn Dastak (which is the mouth organ of Seema-Vishvavijay liquidationism) confront and threaten Seema-Vishvavijay to do away with their traitorous anti-Party act. The genuine Marxist who hates Seema-Vishvavijay will ensure their liquidationism is smashed and they are left with people and influences. Such is the course of class hatred against Seema-Vishvavijay. As and when they move toward accelerating their attacks on Party, comrades and movements, the genuine marxists will take steps to expose, neutralize and smash their politics but if Seema-Vishvavijay as a biological entity work to exterminate us, manages physical attacks on us or try to attack or exterminate our movement, then hatred will go on accelerating.

We can correlate this with the struggle by a landless peasant against the landlord. As the exploitation and oppression of the landlord increases in quantity and quality, resistance to it also increases step by step and a day comes when the peasants take up arms to exterminate the landlord. One just needs to study news reports coming from Dinara block of Rohtas district of bihar where the bonded landless

labourer used to be exploited by a landlord. The landlord killed the labourer for he has dared to escape from the traditional hold of the landlord and asked for his wages. After which the people's anger against the landlord grew severe. The result was the thunderous act of revolt in which the landlords palace as burnt and nothing remained as it was in the past. His power was mingled into ashes. Similar is the situation here. In the revolutionary communist section of the people, the struggle between opportunists- liquidators- revisionists and Marxists- Leninists- Maoists is the continuation of the class struggle which we have mentioned above. As and when the OLR forces stage attack on genuine MLM forces, their party organizations and leadership, etc. , the class hatred against the landlord who is concretized in the revolutionary camp as OLR forces increases. It can even go to the extent as happened in the Dinara incident. Having said so, Dastak burning is still a weak development of hatred against OLR. It all depends on OLR forces' attack on MLM forces. With the rising attacks, steps are taken by MLM forces or their Party to stop them. This process can go upto the extent of annihilation of some specific OLR forces, if and when the dynamics of class struggle demands. Party responds to the attack by OLR forces. As their attack increases in intensity, so does our attack against them. There can be no extremes in this reply, and we cannot take a weighing machine to measure if or not the reply was befitting. To do is the petty bourgeoisie fear of proletariat dictatorship. Com. Mao had warned the petty bourgeoisie against the cries of extremes in the anti- feudal struggle against the landlords in Chinese countryside.

2. It was said that when Seema-Vishvavijay were being attacked, then what was the point of burning Dastak. This question too has its roots in OLR. Dastak was the mouth organ of heir opportunism. Ever since it got hijacked from the party and assimilated into a single individual's weapon's against MLM and self assertion of a liberal bourgeoisie who was once in a revolutionary rank, was the first to elope when repression from ruling class intensified. Such being the connection of the liquidators and the magazine, it had following role in strengthening politics of OLR-

A. Dastak was once a Party- led magazine. The legacy of Party created a sympathy for the magazine among people. Only when the traitor Kobad's article came in Dastak, that it became clear that Dastak is an opportunist magazine. This assertion of legacy of the Party structure and Party- led movement is what is dear to the opportunists and their camp. Now when Dastak has completely being monopolized by Seema-Vishvavijay liquidationist politics, there is no space left for the communist revolutionaries to be in and around Dastak.

B. Dastak was used as a pretext to attack the revolutionary magazine Nazariya. It was said that Dastak is the only revolutionary magazine in which such compass meaningless assertions attempts were made to attack Nazariya. In the name of criticizing articles of Nazariya, its team and leadership were envied upon. Seema spread false accusations against the Nazariya magazine, from boycotting the magazine, to forming group against Nazariya, Dastak provided a good identity for her to carry such liquidationist act. Seema-Vishvavijay got screenshots of Nazariya's articles from the group of State agents and thereafter Seema amplified the attack on the magazine. Such has been the historical connection between Dastak, Seema, State agencies and liquidationism.

C. Dastak promoted sexual opportunism. It was for sexual liberalism going to the extent of uncritical acceptance of bourgeoisie feminist intellectuals who had sharply attacked communist movement, with the bourgeois slanderous verbiage. Dastak was always a personal feudal property of Seema, which she herself enjoyed and consumed. She used this magazine to turn the people's perception of the revolutionary struggle led by our party upside down into sympathy for legal, open struggle. The magazine was a tool in the hands of the ruling class to attack the initiative of people and convert them into appendage of ruling class by propagating liberalism, reformism, economism and constitutionalism. We ask when did Dastak talk about armed struggle? To order to accomplish this Dastak uses an

opportunistic method. Seema-Vishvavijay's opportunism always had a snakey character, so is their mouth organ. Dastak had revolutionary pretensions in order to attract the revolutionary section. After initial attraction, the opportunist magazine floods the readers with vast amount of liberal petty bourgeoisie literature that has nothing to do with Marxism- Leninist-Maoism, added to this as an occasional change of taste, anti- party content also finds space in the magazine. One cannot term a magazine revolutionary communist magazine if UG Party is not behind it. If there is no UG Party structure behind a magazine then in essence, the magazine will remain a reformist opportunist magazine. Being a liquidationist magazine, its fate will lie in ashes. For the concept of love and hatred in communist space, increases or decreases with the communist commitment. We loved Dastak till it was in Party's hold, we hate and burn Dastak when it has been confiscated by the degenerated liberal bourgeoisie liquidators.

3. We are being advised that political struggle should be political in all its forms, there should be no room for personal attacks and extreme attacks like burning Dastak. That revolutionaries has never taken recourse to burning a magazine, that in GPCR , capitalist roaders burned magazine, that revolutionary leadership criticized iconoclasts in the city of Kolkata during the days of Naxalbari. All these opportunists' assertions amounts to nothing when we seek objective historical facts. Censorship on the literature of the enemy class was always a method of the communist Party to exercise proletarian dictatorship over the capitalist roaders. The history of Soviet Union during com. Lenin's time, com. Stalin's time and the history of Chinese socialist State during com. Mao's time narrates many such acts of censorship wherein the enemy class literature was vanished, confiscated, banned, burned, etc. In Naxalbari's time, com. Charu hailed iconoclasts' activities. He termed them revolutionaries. Political struggle does not only takes the form of article writing, criticisms and speeches, it takes other forms too. It can become more sharp like burning/confiscation of the liquidator's literature and annihilation of class enemy. The GPCR was one such struggle where many of the capitalist roaders where persecuted in public for their books , articles, speeches against the proletariat interest.

We saw that despite such rigorous struggles, forces like Lin Piao creep into the Party and capitalist restoration took place. The conclusion which we draw from GPCR is that the struggle against modern revisionism should be more intense, more unwavering in its ideological political attack on enemy forces. In the present time when our Party is placed in a position where the question before us is if or not the Party will remain as a Party. The question before us is how to smash Venugopal's liquidationism manifested in the face of different OLR elements. A sharp political struggle exists today and therefore sharp political act needs to be undertaken to follow up the objective material reality and needs of the class struggle. With this in mind, if people and cadre of any revolutionary mass organization takes actions like burning Dastak, then it would be opportunists ignorance to turn it non political. If realizing the great damage which Venugopal did to the people at large and Party in particular, some individuals or for that matter a Party cadre kills Venugopal, then only the opportunists-liquidators-revisionists will criticize it as a non political act for their thought writing article or a book or a booklet is a political act., while doing anything that tends to physically exterminate the material biological motive being Opportunism-Liquidationism-Revisionism is too much for too much ignorant souls.

The petty bourgeoisie in revolution had always been suspicious of being swayed to the "extremes". It wants to be in middle path forever. Its pendulum like condition is the truth of its position in class struggle. The kind- hearted Brahmanical petty bourgeoisie in Indian society cannot consider military as extension of politics in some other forms. Military actions frightens the soft- hearted petty bourgeoisie. Hence they say one should confine ourselves in reading and writing and not take military steps in OLR struggle. We say writing against OLR and defeating them on ideology, politics and organizational front

shall be our principle method. But when military method becomes necessary to defend our ideology, politics and organizational front, then we will not take our steps back from it. Burning Dastak is radical military act, a sharper form of struggle. Isn't it an obvious expression of the forces who wants to express their immense hatred for the OLR forces. The burning represents a very deep hatred against the traitor of the revolutionary line laid down in 9th congress-unity congress.

The opportunists in their defense are saying that only fascists literature should be burned and that Dastak is a democratic magazine. They do not realize that opportunism is fascism. This is idiocy under the garb of Marxism. It is not for nothing that revisionist parties in State power are called social fascists. If a magazine is opportunist, then it is the magazine of the ruling class, no way weak in its attack against MLM forces and Party. Anyone who do not consider this should think for themselves.

Why did Seema-Vishvavijay called the Party a State agent?

Why did they sent legal notice of defamation against the mass organisations?

Why did Seema-Vishvavijay attacked and sent legal notice to the journalist that carried Party's press release.

Why did Seema-Vishvavijay spread false accusation, plotted and conspired against the Party leadership?

Why did Seema-Vishvavijay joined CIA and NIA group that was active to expose and target UG network?

We ask our kind hearted liberal petty bourgeoisie intellectuals to make a rare use of their intellect. Do all this not amount to fascism under the garb of Marxism? What else other than this is social fascism? We make no difference between class enemies and OLR forces. This is what com. Lenin has taught us. Not accepting this is anti- Leninism.

4. Lastly, the opportunists are saying that burning Dastak is left deviation. The accusation of left deviation has come in almost all the revolutionary work which the Party and revolutionary forces have been doing since August 2024. Following are some instances wherein the accusation of left deviation was made-

A. When the Party said that going UG is more important than open, legal work.

B. When an RMO conducted wall writing on Naxalbari and election boycott.

C. When Party expelled opportunists- liquidationists- revisionists forces from its primary membership.

D. When leadership of Nazariya went UG and attack on RMO was unleashed by State forces.

E. When some individuals (3) were termed State agents.

G. When Party publicly exposed Seema-Vishvavijay.

H. When Dastak was burned.

With regard to all these points, it appears as if the concept of left deviation is ambiguous. But one should not be naive to consider these attacks sprouting from ignorance of basic Marxism. The points from A to H are basic practice of any communist organization or Party, worthy of being legacy holder of com. Lenin and Bolshevism. being sharp and radical on Party's 9th Congress- Unity Congress political line is termed as Left deviation by the right opportunist forces. One is reminded of a quotation by com. Lenin, "In Germany, only those leaders seem to have remained honest socialists whom the opportunists revile as anarchists."

Left deviation is anarchic practice devoid of people's participation whereas we revolutionary organization and the Party have huge number of youth who have left their homes and are in a process

to built mass organization and struggle. To term us Madhav Mukherjee, one has to be an SNS, the great grandson of Vollmar, the first liquidator of German Social-Democratic Party. We have vast number of legal democratic mass organisations which are guided by UG teams and committees. This is the Leninist principle of Party building and mass organisation building. One has to be politically blind to right opportunism and liquidationism to not been able to see our open legal struggles. We are not just doing UG work, but we are also building open, legal struggles, but yes, we are giving more stress on UG work, because it is the only way to save the Party and accomplish NDR. And in order that we do it, we should be merciless towards liquidationist forces. Being committed to this line, we pride ourselves on being termed mechanical, bureaucratic, sectarian, left extremist, dictator, etc. by the right opportunist forces. These are batches of honor for us. This places us in a very good company of com. Lenin. We are therefore on very correct path that leads to NDR. Victory shall be ours!

Conclusion: The roots of opportunism-liquidationism lies in political economic understanding

In the struggle against OLR forces, comrade Raju Da and Kosa Da must be our example, it was because of their far sightedness and their capacity to expose Venugopal level traitor and bring in public the criticism of Neo-prachand line being taken by liquidator traitor Venugopal, that party is still alive and have sufficient energy to march ahead to accomplish the central task of preserving the party, PLGA and united front; reviving the Guerrilla zone and Guerrilla bases that have suffered temporary setback and working to build party plga and united front in plain, urban, forested hilly regions and by participating in spontaneous people uprising in order to build the three magical weapon of party, plga and united front. Ever since the consolidation of feudalism in Gupta period to the present day, the social basis of our country is feudalism, on this base stands imperialism. In the language of Marx and Mao, we may say that here too imperialism has made feudalism its main prop; imperialism protects feudalism and tries to make it everlasting. Thus Indian society is a semi-colonial semi-feudal society. In such a situation, when imperialism-feudalism hold sway over the Indian society, and feudalism acts as the basis, as the main prop, then how is it possible that with both imperialism and feudalism said to be in the state system, the CBB, leaving them lagging behind, dominates over them and retains the status of leadership. Secondly, should we take into consideration the number and influence of representatives of feudal classes in comparison to those of the CBB, we would find more representatives of the feudal-landlord class in Parliament, Vidhan Sabhas and bureaucracy. In their elections, although the CBB do play a role by way of funds, yet here too it is imperialism that plays the main role and feudalism the principal role, we all know that feudal caste system and the nexus between sarpanch-contractor-politician determine the election game. Thus, both feudal ruling classes and the CBB have joint dictatorship in the state and feudalism is the principal contradiction in the society.

When it is said that CBB is leading the state then it is akin to saying that feudalism has been weakened due to CBB capital. That means CBB that have been present due to Imperialism is progressive. That implies that comrade Lenin was wrong in saying that Imperialism is decadent stage of capitalism that obstructs development, the line making CBB the leading aspect in the state is anti-Leninist. Then why is this formulation coming up? This formulation is neo-prachanda line (Prachanda line said that the political condition has changed as capital has penetrated in large quality and quantity leading to a necessity of changing the path of struggle, Prachand proposed that the path of struggle would be amalgamation of Protracted Peoples War and insurrectionist line) that paves the way for the opportunist to distort the party military line. According to this formulation CBB leads the state and feudalism is weakening. And according to this the form of struggle which remains for the communist is to

participate in election and join the legal stream and make the party open. This is same as the liquidationist of the Lenin's time; Larin the great liquidationist said that Russia has grown capitalist and feudalism does not remained anymore hence every work by the communist should be open and legal. The Larin of UP, Seema-vishwavijay true to their opportunist snaky politics never presented their political economy line with clarity. They say that ug work has lost its importance and in given political economic condition legal and open work should be stressed upon. Now let us judge the political economy of the strategy which they have been proposing. Stress on legal open work to the extend of eliminating the necessity or belittling the ug work is an indication of the fact that in political economy they have concluded the dominance of CBB. It no longer remains a secret. On need to read comrade Lenin`s social structure of state power and liquidationism to understand such snaky move by the opportunist on the question of political economy. The arguments of Seema-Vishwavijay sounds very similar to the renegade liquidators like Venugopal, Devji who are saying that since CBB has grown stronger, therefore the only option is to lay down arms and join the legal struggle. In the same tone but with a different style the likes of Ravi are saying that CBB is primary. The question is how are they different from other and infamous traitor liquidators like Venugopal and Devji.

-Raju Da